RESOLUTION No. 15-346

OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF NEVADA

RESOLUTION ACCEPTING A MENTALLY ILL OFFENDER CRIME REDUCTION (MIOCR) GRANT FROM THE BOARD OF STATE AND COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS (BSCC) IN THE MAXIMUM AMOUNT OF $750,000 TO INCREASE THE CAPACITY OF NEVADA COUNTY'S TREATMENT OPTIONS FOR MENTALLY ILL YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS DURING THE PERIOD BEGINNING JULY 1, 2015 AND ENDING JUNE 30, 2018

WHEREAS, the County of Nevada, Probation Department desires to participate in the Mentally Ill Offender Crime Reduction Grant Program, funded through the State Recidivism Reduction Fund and administered by the Board of State and Community Corrections (hereinafter referred to as BSCC); and

WHEREAS, the Chief Probation Officer was authorized on behalf of this Governing Board to submit the grant proposal for this funding; and

WHEREAS, Nevada County Probation was awarded $750,000 in MIOCR grant monies; and

WHEREAS, state grant funds received hereunder shall not be used to supplant expenditures controlled by this body; and

WHEREAS, Nevada County Probation Department agrees to provide all matching funds required for said project and abide by the statutes and regulations governing the State Grants Program (including General Terms and Conditions 610) as well as the terms and conditions of the Grant Agreement as set forth by the BSCC.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Board of Supervisors of the County of Nevada, State of California, hereby directs:

1. That the County of Nevada accepts the grant funds in the maximum amount of $750,000 for the grant period July 1, 2015 through June 30, 2018.

2. That the Nevada County Chief Probation Officer is authorized to execute Board of State and Community Corrections grant agreement, number BSCC 923-15 and any non-financial amendments thereof.

3. Funds to be deposited into 0101-20320-2011000/445010.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Nevada at a special meeting of said Board, held on the 21st day of July, 2015, by the following vote of said Board:

Ayes: Supervisors Nathan H. Beason, Edward Scofield, Dan Miller, Hank Weston and Richard Anderson.

Noes: None.

Absent: None.

Abstain: None.

ATTEST:

JULIE PATTERSON HUNTER
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

By: _____

Edward C. Scofield, Chair

7/21/2015 cc: Probation*
AC*(hold)

7/23/2015 cc: Probation*
AC*(release)

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
STANDARD AGREEMENT
STD 213 (Rev 06/03)

AGREEMENT NUMBER
BSCC 923-15
REGISTRATION NUMBER

1. This Agreement is entered into between the State Agency and the Contractor named below:

STATE AGENCY'S NAME
BOARD OF STATE AND COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS

CONTRACTOR'S NAME
NEVADA COUNTY

2. The term of this Agreement is: July 1, 2015 through June 30, 2018

3. The maximum amount of this Agreement is: **$750,000.00** Seven Hundred Fifty Thousand Dollars and No Cents

4. The parties agree to comply with the terms and conditions of the following exhibits which are by this reference made a part of the Agreement.

Exhibit A:	Scope of Work	3	pages
Exhibit B:	Budget Detail and Payment Provisions	3	pages
Exhibit C:	GTC 610: General Terms and Conditions	3	pages
Exhibit D:	Special Terms and Conditions	3	pages
Attachment 1:	Application for Funding/Grant Proposal	43	pages

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, this Agreement has been executed by the parties hereto.

CONTRACTOR

CONTRACTOR'S NAME *(if other than an individual, state whether a corporation, partnership, etc.)*
NEVADA COUNTY

BY *(Authorized Signature)* — DATE SIGNED *(Do not type)*

PRINTED NAME AND TITLE OF PERSON SIGNING
MICHAEL ERTOLA, Chief Probation Officer

ADDRESS
109 1/2 North Pine Street
Nevada City, CA 95959

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

AGENCY NAME
BOARD OF STATE AND COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS

BY *(Authorized Signature)* — DATE SIGNED *(Do not type)*

PRINTED NAME AND TITLE OF PERSON SIGNING
MARY JOLLS, Deputy Director

ADDRESS
2590 Venture Oaks Way, Suite 200
Sacramento CA 95833

California Department of General Services Use Only

☒ Exempt per: SCM 1, 4.04, A.4.

EXHIBIT A
SCOPE OF WORK

1. GRANT AGREEMENT – MENTALLY ILL OFFENDER CRIME REDUCTION GRANT

This Grant Agreement is between the State of California Board of State and Community Corrections, hereafter referred to as BSCC and Nevada County hereafter referred to as Grantee or Contractor.

2. PROJECT SUMMARY AND ADMINISTRATION

A. Grants are encumbered under this contract for the purposes of supporting appropriate prevention, intervention, supervision, services, and strategies to reduce recidivism in California's mentally ill offender population, as well as improving outcomes for these offenders while continuing public safety.

The Strengths, Opportunities, and Recidivism Reduction (SOARR) project will provide an intensive wraparound model for treating mental illness, eliminating barriers to recovery, teaching and reinforcing pro-social behaviors, and reducing recidivism. Wraparound services will be provided to the county's seriously mentally ill youth and their families and to those youth most at risk of an out-of-home placement, such as hospitalization, incarceration, or congregate care. Treatment will be designed to address the therapeutic needs, functional impairments, educational needs, and community resource deficits that frequently result in reoffending.

B. Grantee agrees to administer the project in accordance with Attachment 1: Application for Funding/Grant Proposal, which is attached and hereto made part of this agreement.

3. PROJECT OFFICIALS

A. The BSCC's Executive Director or designee shall be the BSCC's representative for administration of the Grant Agreement and shall have authority to make determinations relating to any controversies that may arise under or in connection with the interpretation, performance, or payment for work performed under this Grant Agreement.

B. The Grantee's project officials shall be those identified as follows and as specified in Section I of Attachment 1: Application for Funding/Grant Proposal:

Authorized Officer with legal authority to sign:
Name: Michael Ertola
Title: Chief Probation Officer
Address: 109 1/2 North Pine Street; Nevada City, CA 95959
Phone: (530) 265-1209
Fax: (530) 265-6280
Email: Michael.Ertola@co.nevada.ca.us

Designated Financial Officer authorized to receive warrants:
Name: Darlene Woo
Title: Administrative Service Officer
Address: 109 1/2 North Pine Street; Nevada City, CA 95959
Phone: (530) 265-1208
Fax: (530) 265-6280
Email: Darlene.Woo@co.nevada.ca.us

Project Director authorized to administer the project:
Name: Michael Ertola
Title: Chief Probation Officer
Address: 109 1/2 North Pine Street; Nevada City, CA 95959
Phone: (530) 265-1209
Fax: (530) 265-6280
Email: Michael.Ertola@co.nevada.ca.us

EXHIBIT A
SCOPE OF WORK

C. Either party may change its project representatives upon written notice to the other party.

D. By signing this Grant Agreement, the Authorized Officer listed above warrants that he or she has full legal authority to bind the entity for which he or she signs.

4. DATA COLLECTION

Grantees will be required to participate in the collection of data on a common set of variables (and using one or more common data collection instruments). These common variables will be identified collaboratively by the BSCC and the grantees during the grantee orientation meeting. It is anticipated that grantees will be required to submit this common set of variables on a quarterly basis. Data elements may include demographic and other background information, program status information, level and intensity of program services information, and short-term and intermediate-term outcome information. Grantees will also be required to conduct a Local Evaluation Plan as outlined in the Grant Requirements Section of the Request for Proposals (pages 11-12).

5. PROGRESS REPORTS AND EVALUATIONS

Grantee will submit quarterly progress reports in a format prescribed by the BSCC. These reports, which will describe progress made on program objectives and include required data, shall be submitted according to the following schedule:

Progress Report Periods	Due no later than:
1) July 1, 2015 through September 30, 2015	November 16, 2015
2) October 1, 2015 through December 31, 2015	February 15, 2016
3) January 1, 2016 through March 31, 2016	May 16, 2016
4) April 1, 2016 through June 30, 2016	August 15, 2016
5) July 1, 2016 through September 30, 2016	November 16, 2016
6) October 1, 2016 through December 31, 2016	February 15, 2017
7) January 1, 2017 through March 31, 2017	May 16, 2017
8) April 1, 2017 through June 30, 2017	August 15, 2017
9) July 1, 2017 through September 30, 2017	November 16, 2017
10) October 1, 2017 through December 31, 2017	February 15, 2018
11) January 1, 2018 through March 31, 2018	May 16, 2018
12) April 1, 2018 through June 30, 2018	August 15, 2018

6. PROJECT RECORDS

A. The Grantee shall establish an official file for the project. The file shall contain adequate documentation of all actions taken with respect to the project, including copies of this Grant Agreement, approved program/budget modifications, financial records, and required reports.

B. The Grantee shall establish separate accounting records and maintain documents and other evidence sufficient to properly reflect the amount, receipt, and disposition of all project funds, including grant funds, any matching funds by the Grantee, and the total cost of the project. Source documentation includes copies of all awards, applications, approved modifications, financial records, and narrative reports.

C. Personnel and payroll records shall include the time and attendance reports for all individuals reimbursed under the grant, whether they are employed full-time or part-time. Time and effort reports are required for consultants (subcontractors).

EXHIBIT A
SCOPE OF WORK

D. The Grantee shall maintain documentation of donated goods and/or services, including the basis for valuation.

E. Grantee agrees to protect records adequately from fire or other damage. When records are stored away from the Grantee's principal office, a written index of the location of records stored must be on hand and ready access must be assured.

F. All Grantee records relevant to the project must be preserved a minimum of three (3) years after closeout of the grant project and shall be subject at all reasonable times to inspection, examination, monitoring, copying, excerpting, transcribing, and auditing by the BSCC or designees. If any litigation, claim, negotiation, audit, or other action involving the records has been started before the expiration of the three-year period, the records must be retained until the completion of the action and resolution of all issues which arise from it or until the end of the regular three-year period, whichever is later.

EXHIBIT B
BUDGET DETAIL AND PAYMENT PROVISIONS

1. INVOICING AND PAYMENTS

A. The Grantee shall be paid quarterly in arrears by submitting an invoice (Form 201) to the BSCC that outlines actual expenditures claimed for the invoicing period.

Invoicing Periods	Due no later than:
1) July 1, 2015 through September 30, 2015	November 16, 2015
2) October 1, 2015 through December 31, 2015	February 15, 2016
3) January 1, 2016 through March 31, 2016	May 16, 2016
4) April 1, 2016 through June 30, 2016	August 15, 2016
5) July 1, 2016 through September 30, 2016	November 16, 2016
6) October 1, 2016 through December 31, 2016	February 15, 2017
7) January 1, 2017 through March 31, 2017	May 16, 2017
8) April 1, 2017 through June 30, 2017	August 15, 2017
9) July 1, 2017 through September 30, 2017	November 16, 2017
10) October 1, 2017 through December 31, 2017	February 15, 2018
11) January 1, 2018 through March 31, 2018	May 16, 2018
12) April 1, 2018 through June 30, 2018	August 15, 2018

B. The Grantee shall submit an invoice to the BSCC each invoicing period, even if grant funds are not expended or requested during the invoicing period.

2. GRANT AMOUNT AND LIMITATION

A. In no event shall the BSCC be obligated to pay any amount in excess of the grant award. Grantee waives any and all claims against the BSCC and the State of California on account of project costs that may exceed the sum of the grant award.

B. Under no circumstance will a budget item change be authorized that would cause the project to exceed the amount of the grant award identified in this Grant Agreement. In no event shall changes be authorized for the Indirect Costs/Administrative Overhead line item that would result in that item exceeding ten percent (10%) of the grant award.

3. BUDGET CONTINGENCY CLAUSE

A. It is mutually agreed that if the Budget Act of the current year and/or any subsequent year covered under this Grant Agreement does not appropriate funds for the purposes of this program, this Grant Agreement shall be of no further force and effect. In this event, the BSCC shall have no liability to pay any funds whatsoever to Grantee or to furnish any other considerations under this Agreement and Grantee shall not be obligated to perform any provisions of this Grant Agreement.

B. If funding for any fiscal year is reduced or deleted by the Budget Act for purposes of this program, the BSCC shall have the option to either cancel this Grant Agreement with no liability occurring to the BSCC or offer an agreement amendment to Grantee to reflect the reduced amount.

C. This Grant Agreement is valid and enforceable only if sufficient funds are made available by the Legislature. Grantee agrees that the BSCC's obligation to pay any sum to the Grantee under any provision of this agreement is contingent upon the availability of sufficient funds.

EXHIBIT B
BUDGET DETAIL AND PAYMENT PROVISIONS

4. PROJECT COSTS

A. Grantee is responsible for ensuring that invoices submitted to the BSCC claim actual expenditures for eligible project costs. The BSCC Grant Administration and Audit Guide outlines eligible and ineligible project costs.

B. Grantee shall, upon demand, remit to the BSCC any grant funds not expended for eligible project costs or an amount equal to any grant funds expended by the Grantee in violation of the terms, provisions, conditions or commitments of this Grant Agreement.

C. Grant funds must be used to supplement existing funds for program activities and may not replace (supplant) non-state/state grant funds that have been appropriated for the same purpose. Potential supplanting will be the subject of grant monitoring. Violations can result in a range of penalties (e.g., recoupment of monies provided under this grant, suspension of future program funding through BSCC grants, and civil/criminal penalties).

5. PROMPT PAYMENT CLAUSE

Payment will be made in accordance with, and within the time specified in, Government Code Chapter 4.5, commencing with Section 927.

6. WITHHOLDING OF GRANT DISBURSEMENTS

A. The BSCC may withhold all or any portion of the grant funds provided by this Grant Agreement in the event the Grantee has materially and substantially breached the terms and conditions of this Grant Agreement.

B. At such time as the balance of state funds allocated to the Grantee reaches five percent (5%), the BSCC shall withhold that amount as security, to be released to the Grantee upon compliance with all grant provisions, including:

1) submittal and approval of the final invoice;
2) submittal and approval of the final progress report;
3) submittal and approval of any additional required reports; and
4) submittal and approval of the final audit of expenditures.

C. The BSCC will not reimburse Grantee for costs identified as ineligible for grant funding. If grant funds have been provided for costs subsequently deemed ineligible, the BSCC may either withhold an equal amount from future payments to the Grantee or require repayment of an equal amount to the State by the Grantee.

D. In the event that grant funds are withheld from the Grantee, the BSCC's Executive Director or designee shall notify the Grantee of the reasons for withholding and advise the Grantee of the time within which the Grantee may remedy the failure or violation leading to the withholding.

EXHIBIT B
BUDGET DETAIL AND PAYMENT PROVISIONS

7. PROJECT BUDGET

LINE ITEM	GRANT FUNDS	CASH MATCH	IN-KIND MATCH	TOTAL
1. Salaries & Benefits	$0	$160,992	$0	$160,992
2. Services & Supplies	$10,000	$0	$0	$10,000
3. Professional Services	$720,000	$0	$0	$720,000
4. Community Based Organization (CBO) Contracts	$0	$0	$0	$0
5. Indirect Costs / Administrative Overhead *(not to exceed 10% of grant award)*	$0	$75,000	$0	$75,000
6. Fixed Assets / Equipment	$0	$0	$0	$0
7. Data Collection / Enhancement	$5,000	$0	$0	$5,000
8. Program Evaluation	$15,000	$0	$0	$15,000
9. Sustainability Planning	$0	$0	$0	$0
10. Other (include travel costs)	$0	$0	$0	$0
TOTAL	$750,000	$235,992	$0	$985,992

EXHIBIT C
GENERAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS

GTC 610: GENERAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS

1. **APPROVAL**: This Agreement is of no force or effect until signed by both parties and approved by the Department of General Services, if required. Contractor may not commence performance until such approval has been obtained.

2. **AMENDMENT**: No amendment or variation of the terms of this Agreement shall be valid unless made in writing, signed by the parties and approved as required. No oral understanding or Agreement not incorporated in the Agreement is binding on any of the parties.

3. **ASSIGNMENT**: This Agreement is not assignable by the Contractor, either in whole or in part, without the consent of the State in the form of a formal written amendment.

4. **AUDIT**: Contractor agrees that the awarding department, the Department of General Services, the Bureau of State Audits, or their designated representative shall have the right to review and to copy any records and supporting documentation pertaining to the performance of this Agreement. Contractor agrees to maintain such records for possible audit for a minimum of three (3) years after final payment, unless a longer period of records retention is stipulated. Contractor agrees to allow the auditor(s) access to such records during normal business hours and to allow interviews of any employees who might reasonably have information related to such records. Further, Contractor agrees to include a similar right of the State to audit records and interview staff in any subcontract related to performance of this Agreement. (Gov. Code §8546.7, Pub. Contract Code §10115 et seq., CCR Title 2, Section 1896).

5. **INDEMNIFICATION**: Contractor agrees to indemnify, defend and save harmless the State, its officers, agents and employees from any and all claims and losses accruing or resulting to any and all contractors, subcontractors, suppliers, laborers, and any other person, firm or corporation furnishing or supplying work services, materials, or supplies in connection with the performance of this Agreement, and from any and all claims and losses accruing or resulting to any person, firm or corporation who may be injured or damaged by Contractor in the performance of this Agreement.

6. **DISPUTES**: Contractor shall continue with the responsibilities under this Agreement during any dispute.

7. **TERMINATION FOR CAUSE**: The State may terminate this Agreement and be relieved of any payments should the Contractor fail to perform the requirements of this Agreement at the time and in the manner herein provided. In the event of such termination the State may proceed with the work in any manner deemed proper by the State. All costs to the State shall be deducted from any sum due the Contractor under this Agreement and the balance, if any, shall be paid to the Contractor upon demand.

8. **INDEPENDENT CONTRACTOR**: Contractor, and the agents and employees of Contractor, in the performance of this Agreement, shall act in an independent capacity and not as officers or employees or agents of the State.

9. **RECYCLING CERTIFICATION**: The Contractor shall certify in writing under penalty of perjury, the minimum, if not exact, percentage of post consumer material as defined in the Public Contract Code Section 12200, in products, materials, goods, or supplies offered or sold to the State regardless of whether the product meets the requirements of Public Contract Code Section 12209. With respect to printer or duplication cartridges that comply with the requirements of Section 12156(e), the certification required by this subdivision shall specify that the cartridges so comply (Pub. Contract Code §12205).

10. **NON-DISCRIMINATION CLAUSE**: During the performance of this Agreement, Contractor and its subcontractors shall not unlawfully discriminate, harass, or allow harassment against any employee or applicant for employment because of age, ancestry, color, disability (mental and physical), exercising the right to family care and medical leave, gender, gender expression, gender identity, genetic information, marital status, medical condition, military or veteran status, national origin, political affiliation, race, religious creed, sex (includes pregnancy, childbirth, breastfeeding and related medical conditions), and sexual orientation. Contractor and subcontractors shall insure that the evaluation and treatment of their employees and applicants for employment are free from such discrimination and harassment. Contractor and subcontractors shall comply with the provisions of the Fair Employment and Housing Act [Gov. Code

EXHIBIT C
GENERAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS

§12990 (a-f) et seq.] and the applicable regulations promulgated thereunder (California Code of Regulations, Title 2, Section 7285 et seq.). The applicable regulations of the Fair Employment and Housing Commission implementing Government Code Section 12990 (a-f), set forth in Chapter 5 of Division 4 of Title 2 of the California Code of Regulations, are incorporated into this Agreement by reference and made a part hereof as if set forth in full. Contractor and its subcontractors shall give written notice of their obligations under this clause to labor organizations with which they have a collective bargaining or other Agreement.

Contractor shall include the nondiscrimination and compliance provisions of this clause in all subcontracts to perform work under the Agreement.

11. **CERTIFICATION CLAUSES:** The CONTRACTOR CERTIFICATION CLAUSES contained in the document CCC 307 are hereby incorporated by reference and made a part of this Agreement by this reference as if attached hereto.

12. **TIMELINESS**: Time is of the essence in this Agreement.

13. **COMPENSATION**: The consideration to be paid Contractor, as provided herein, shall be in compensation for all of Contractor's expenses incurred in the performance hereof, including travel, per diem, and taxes, unless otherwise expressly so provided.

14. **GOVERNING LAW**: This contract is governed by and shall be interpreted in accordance with the laws of the State of California.

15. **ANTITRUST CLAIMS**: The Contractor by signing this agreement hereby certifies that if these services or goods are obtained by means of a competitive bid, the Contractor shall comply with the requirements of the Government Codes Sections set out below.

    a. The Government Code Chapter on Antitrust claims contains the following definitions:

        1) "Public purchase" means a purchase by means of competitive bids of goods, services, or materials by the State or any of its political subdivisions or public agencies on whose behalf the Attorney General may bring an action pursuant to subdivision (c) of Section 16750 of the Business and Professions Code.

        2) "Public purchasing body" means the State or the subdivision or agency making a public purchase. Government Code Section 4550.

    b. In submitting a bid to a public purchasing body, the bidder offers and agrees that if the bid is accepted, it will assign to the purchasing body all rights, title, and interest in and to all causes of action it may have under Section 4 of the Clayton Act (15 U.S.C. Sec. 15) or under the Cartwright Act [Chapter 2 (commencing with Section 16700) of Part 2 of Division 7 of the Business and Professions Code], arising from purchases of goods, materials, or services by the bidder for sale to the purchasing body pursuant to the bid. Such assignment shall be made and become effective at the time the purchasing body tenders final payment to the bidder. Government Code Section 4552.

    c. If an awarding body or public purchasing body receives, either through judgment or settlement, a monetary recovery for a cause of action assigned under this chapter, the assignor shall be entitled to receive reimbursement for actual legal costs incurred and may, upon demand, recover from the public body any portion of the recovery, including treble damages, attributable to overcharges that were paid by the assignor but were not paid by the public body as part of the bid price, less the expenses incurred in obtaining that portion of the recovery. Government Code Section 4553.

    d. Upon demand in writing by the assignor, the assignee shall, within one year from such demand, reassign the cause of action assigned under this part if the assignor has been or may have been injured by the violation of law for which the cause of action arose and (a) the assignee has not been injured thereby, or (b) the assignee declines to file a court action for the cause of action. See Government Code Section 4554.

EXHIBIT C
GENERAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS

16. **CHILD SUPPORT COMPLIANCE ACT**: For any Agreement in excess of $100,000, the contractor acknowledges in accordance with Public Contract Code 7110, that:

    a. The contractor recognizes the importance of child and family support obligations and shall fully comply with all applicable state and federal laws relating to child and family support enforcement, including, but not limited to, disclosure of information and compliance with earnings assignment orders, as provided in Chapter 8 (commencing with Section 5200) of Part 5 of Division 9 of the Family Code; and

    b. The contractor, to the best of its knowledge is fully complying with the earnings assignment orders of all employees and is providing the names of all new employees to the New Hire Registry maintained by the California Employment Development Department.

17. **UNENFORCEABLE PROVISION**: In the event that any provision of this Agreement is unenforceable or held to be unenforceable, then the parties agree that all other provisions of this Agreement have force and effect and shall not be affected thereby.

18. **PRIORITY HIRING CONSIDERATIONS**: If this Contract includes services in excess of $200,000, the Contractor shall give priority consideration in filling vacancies in positions funded by the Contract to qualified recipients of aid under Welfare and Institutions Code Section 11200 in accordance with Pub. Contract Code §10353.

19. **SMALL BUSINESS PARTICIPATION AND DVBE PARTICIPATION REPORTING REQUIREMENTS:**

    a. If for this Contract Contractor made a commitment to achieve small business participation, then Contractor must within 60 days of receiving final payment under this Contract (or within such other time period as may be specified elsewhere in this Contract) report to the awarding department the actual percentage of small business participation that was achieved. (Govt. Code §14841.)

    b. If for this Contract Contractor made a commitment to achieve disabled veteran business enterprise (DVBE) participation, then Contractor must within 60 days of receiving final payment under this Contract (or within such other time period as may be specified elsewhere in this Contract) certify in a report to the awarding department: (1) the total amount the prime Contractor received under the Contract; (2) the name and address of the DVBE(s) that participated in the performance of the Contract; (3) the amount each DVBE received from the prime Contractor; (4) that all payments under the Contract have been made to the DVBE; and (5) the actual percentage of DVBE participation that was achieved. A person or entity that knowingly provides false information shall be subject to a civil penalty for each violation. [Mil. & Vets. Code §999.5(d); Govt. Code §14841].]

20. **LOSS LEADER**: If this contract involves the furnishing of equipment, materials, or supplies then the following statement is incorporated: It is unlawful for any person engaged in business within this state to sell or use any article or product as a "loss leader" as defined in Section 17030 of the Business and Professions Code [PCC 10344(e)].

EXHIBIT D
SPECIAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS

1. GRANTEE'S GENERAL RESPONSIBILITY

Grantee is responsible for the project activities identified in Attachment 1: Application for Funding/Grant Proposal to BSCC. Review and approval by the BSCC is solely for the purpose of proper administration of grant funds, and shall not be deemed to relieve or restrict the Grantee's responsibility. Grantee shall immediately advise the BSCC of any significant problems or changes that arise during the course of the project.

2. GRANTEE ASSURANCES AND COMMITMENTS

A. Compliance with Laws and Regulations

This Grant Agreement is governed by and shall be interpreted in accordance with the laws of the State of California. Grantee shall at all times comply with all applicable state laws, rules and regulations, and all applicable local ordinances.

B. Fulfillment of Assurances and Declarations

Grantee shall fulfill all assurances, declarations, representations, and statements made by the Grantee in Attachment 1: Application for Funding/Grant Proposal, documents, amendments, approved modifications, and communications filed in support of its request for grant funds.

C. Permits and Licenses

Grantee agrees to procure all permits and licenses necessary to complete the project, pay all charges and fees, and give all notices necessary or incidental to the due and lawful proceeding of the project work.

3. POTENTIAL SUBCONTRACTORS

A. In accordance with the provisions of this Grant Agreement, the Grantee may subcontract with consultants for services needed to implement and/or support program activities. Grantee agrees that in the event of any inconsistency between this Grant Agreement and Grantee's agreement with a subcontractor, the language of this Grant Agreement will prevail.

B. Nothing contained in this Grant Agreement, or otherwise, shall create any contractual relation between the BSCC and any subcontractors, and no subcontract shall relieve the Grantee of its responsibilities and obligations hereunder. The Grantee agrees to be as fully responsible to the BSCC for the acts and omissions of its subcontractors and of persons either directly or indirectly employed by any of them as it is for the acts and omissions of persons directly employed by the Grantee. The Grantee's obligation to pay its subcontractors is an independent obligation from the BSCC's obligation to make payments to the Grantee. As a result, the BSCC shall have no obligation to pay or to enforce the payment of any monies to any subcontractor.

C. Grantee shall ensure that all subcontractors comply with all requirements of this Grant Agreement.

D. Grantee assures that for any subcontract awarded by the Grantee, such as insurance and fidelity bonds, as is customary and appropriate, will be obtained.

E. Grantee agrees to place appropriate language in all subcontracts for work on the project requiring the Grantee's subcontractors to:

1) Books and Records

Maintain adequate fiscal and project books, records, documents, and other evidence pertinent to the subcontractor's work on the project in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Adequate supporting documentation shall be maintained in such detail so as to permit tracing transactions from the invoices, to the accounting records, to the supporting documentation. These records shall be maintained for a minimum of three (3) years after the

EXHIBIT D
SPECIAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS

acceptance of the project's final audit of expenditures under the Grant Agreement, and shall be subject to examination and/or audit by the BSCC or designees, state government auditors or designees, or by federal government auditors or designees.

2) Access to Books and Records

Make such books, records, supporting documentations, and other evidence available to the BSCC or designee, the Department of General Services, the Department of Finance, the Bureau of State Audits, and their designated representatives during the course of the project and for a minimum of three (3) years after acceptance of the project's final audit of expenditures. The Subcontractor shall provide suitable facilities for access, monitoring, inspection, and copying of books and records related to the grant-funded project.

4. PROJECT ACCESS

Grantee shall ensure that the BSCC, or any authorized representative, will have suitable access to project activities, sites, staff, and documents at all reasonable times during the grant period.

5. ACCOUNTING AND AUDIT REQUIREMENTS

A. Grantee agrees that accounting procedures for grant funds received pursuant to this Grant Agreement shall be in accordance with generally accepted government accounting principles and practices, and adequate supporting documentation shall be maintained in such detail as to provide an audit trail. Supporting documentation shall permit the tracing of transactions from such documents to relevant accounting records, financial reports and invoices.

B. The BSCC reserves the right to call for a program or financial audit at any time between the execution of this Grant Agreement and 60 days following the end of the grant period. At any time, the BSCC may disallow all or part of the cost of the activity or action determined to not be in compliance with the terms and conditions of this Grant Agreement, or take other remedies legally available.

6. MODIFICATIONS

No change or modification in the project will be permitted without prior written approval from the BSCC. Changes may include modification to project scope, changes to performance measures, compliance with collection of data elements, and other significant changes in the budget or program components contained in the Application for Funding/Grant Proposal. Changes shall not be implemented by the project until authorized by the BSCC.

7. TERMINATION

A. This Grant Agreement may be terminated by the BSCC at any time after grant award and prior to completion of project upon action or inaction by the Grantee that constitutes a material and substantial breech of this Grant Agreement. Such action or inaction includes but is not limited to:

1) substantial alteration of the scope of the grant project without prior written approval of the BSCC;
2) refusal or inability to complete the grant project in a manner consistent with Attachment 1: Application for Funding/Grant Proposal, or approved modifications;
3) failure to provide the required local match share of the total project costs; and
4) failure to meet prescribed assurances, commitments, recording, accounting, auditing, and reporting requirements of the Grant Agreement.

B. Prior to terminating the Grant Agreement under this provision, the BSCC shall provide the Grantee at least 30 calendar days written notice stating the reasons for termination and effective date thereof. The Grantee may appeal the termination decision in accordance with the instructions listed in Exhibit D: Special Terms and Conditions, Number 8. Settlement of Disputes.

EXHIBIT D
SPECIAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS

8. SETTLEMENT OF DISPUTES

A. In the event of a dispute, Grantee shall file a "Notice of Dispute" with the BSCC Corrections Planning and Programs Division Deputy Director. Within 30 days, the Deputy Director shall meet with the Grantee and the designated BSCC Field Representative for purposes of resolving the dispute.

The appeal shall be in writing and shall:

1) state the basis for the appeal;
2) state the action being requested of the Deputy Director; and,
3) include any correspondence/documentation related to the cause for dissatisfaction.

B. The Deputy Director will review the appeal and render a decision on the appeal within 30 calendar days. The decision of the Deputy Director shall be in writing and shall provide rationale for the decision.

C. If dissatisfied with the decision, the Grantee may file for a review by the BSCC Executive Director. Such request shall be in writing and contain all the elements listed above in Item A.

D. The decision of the Executive Director shall be final. Notice of the decision shall be mailed to the Grantee.

E. The procedural time requirement may be waived with written mutual consent of both Grantee and Deputy Director. Grantee shall continue with the responsibilities under this Agreement during any dispute.

9. UNION ACTIVITIES

For all agreements, except fixed price contracts of $50,000 or less, the Grantee acknowledges that applicability of Government Code §16654 through §16649 to this Grant Agreement and agrees to the following:

A. No state funds received under the Grant Agreement will be used to assist, promote, or deter union organizing.

B. Grantee will not, for any business conducted under the Grant Agreement, use any state property to hold meetings with employees or supervisors, if the purpose of such meetings is to assist, promote or deter union organizing, unless the state property is equally available to the general public for holding meetings.

C. If Grantee incurs costs or makes expenditures to assist, promote, or deter union organizing, Grantee will maintain records sufficient to show that no reimbursement from state funds has been sought for these costs and that Grantee shall provide those records to the Attorney General upon request.

10. WAIVER

The parties hereto may waive any of their rights under this Grant Agreement unless such waiver is contrary to law, provided that any such waiver shall be in writing and signed by the party making such waiver.

MENTALLY ILL OFFENDER CRIME REDUCTION GRANT PROGRAM
JUVENILE PROJECT APPLICATION

SECTION I: APPLICANT INFORMATION

A. APPLICANT/DEPARTMENT IMPLEMENTING THE GRANT

COUNTY: Nevada	COLLABORATING COUNTY (If applicable): N/A		
IMPLEMENTING AGENCY	DUN AND BRADSTREET NUMBER	TELEPHONE NUMBER	
Probation	051461288 (if applicable)	(530)265-1200	
STREET ADDRESS	CITY	STATE	ZIP CODE
109 1/2 North Pine Street	Nevada City	CA	95959
MAILING ADDRESS	CITY	STATE	ZIP CODE
109 1/2 North Pine Street	Nevada City	CA	95959

B. GRANT AMOUNT REQUESTED	C. PROPOSED MATCH AMOUNT
$ 750,000	$ 235,992

D. APPLICANT PROJECT DIRECTOR

NAME AND TITLE			TELEPHONE NUMBER
Michael Ertola			(530)265-1209
STREET ADDRESS			FAX NUMBER
109 1/2 North Pine Street			(530)265-6280
CITY	STATE	ZIP CODE	E-MAIL ADDRESS
Nevada City	CA	95959	Michael.Ertola@co.nevada.ca.us

E. APPLICANT PROJECT FINANCIAL OFFICER

NAME AND TITLE			TELEPHONE NUMBER
Darlene Woo			(530)265-1208
STREET ADDRESS			FAX NUMBER
109 1/2 North Pine Street			(530)265-6280
CITY	STATE	ZIP CODE	E-MAIL ADDRESS
Nevada City	CA	95959	Darlene.Woo@co.nevada.ca.us

F. APPLICANT DAY-TO-DAY CONTACT PERSON

NAME AND TITLE Jeff Goldman	TELEPHONE NUMBER (530)256-1211
EMAIL ADDRESS jeff.goldman@co.nevada.ca.us	

G. APPLICANT'S AGREEMENT

By signing this application, the applicant assures that the grantee will abide by the laws, policies, and procedures governing this funding.

NAME AND TITLE OF PERSON AUTHORIZED TO SIGN AGREEMENT Michael Ertola			
STREET ADDRESS 109 1/2 North Pine Street	STATE CA	ZIP CODE 95959	TELEPHONE NUMBER (530)265-1209
APPLICANT'S SIGNATURE			DATE 4/2/15

PROJECT ABSTRACT

Please provide a brief summary of the proposed project in the space provided below; narrative must not be more than a single page in length.

Nevada County proposes the use of an intensive wraparound model for treating mental illness, eliminating barriers to recovery, teaching and reinforcing pro-social behaviors, and reducing recidivism. The Strengths, Opportunities and Recidivism Reduction Program (SOARR) is proposed as a response to our community's need to provide a more comprehensive and holistic approach to addressing the mental health needs of juvenile offenders. This program fits seamlessly into Nevada County's overall strategy of providing wraparound services to our most mentally ill youth and their families and to those youth most at risk of an out of home placement such as hospitalization, incarceration, or congregate care.

Screening for mental health needs will be an important first step. The treatment team, including a therapist, mental health rehabilitation specialist, and the probation officer will use the MAYSI-2 to screen youth for possible mental illness and will then utilize the Child and Adolescent Needs and Strengths Assessment (CANS) for treatment planning and assessment throughout the length of the case should the youth present a mental health need.

A variety of mental health services available will be available to the youth and their caregivers. Treatment will be designed to address the therapeutic needs, functional impairments, educational needs, and community resource deficits that frequently result in re-offending.

Research has shown that a high percentage of youth incarcerated have histories of trauma as well as substance abuse issues. Services would be designed to address the factors that contribute to the functional impairments of the youth through an overall program model of Trauma-Focus Cognitive Behavioral Therapy and partnership with our community's recovery services. These services will be provided over a 6-12 month period of time, depending on the needs of youth and family.

Program and provider effectiveness will be tracked through a variety of performance measures and tools. As a part of continuing quality improvement the data collected will be reviewed and evaluated on a regular basis. This review may result in program modifications to ensure the best possible outcomes.

NARRATIVE SECTIONS

Note: Sections II – VIII are to be competed in a narrative format (see instructions on page 45). Rating factors will be evaluated regarding the extent to which a proposal adequately addresses the topics listed under the section titles below. If a sub-element does not apply, the applicant should clearly state as such and provide the reason. Omission or lack of clarity for any section is likely to result in a reduction of allowable points. The total combined page limit for narrative Sections II – VIII is **20** pages within the required format; these sections begin on page 51.

SECTION II: NEED STATEMENT

Address the following in narrative form:
The proposal describes the probable/potential impact of the grant on reducing the number or percent of mentally ill adult offenders or mentally ill juvenile offenders who are incarcerated or detained in local adult or juvenile correctional facilities and, as relevant for juvenile offenders, in probation out-of-home placements. The proposal identifies the local need(s) to be addressed with grant funds and demonstrates the need(s) by including local data to support the described impact. The proposal describes how the program shall support prevention, intervention, supervision, and/or incarceration-based services and strategies to reduce recidivism and to improve outcomes for mentally ill juvenile and adult offenders. Additionally, the proposal explains why existing resources, both state and local, are inadequate to address the identified need.

If graphs and/or charts are necessary to provide information for this section, the applicant may attach one (1) additional single-sided 8 ½" x 11" sheet of paper containing only graphs/charts (referenced as Attachment A); references to any graphs/charts must be clearly identified in the narrative.

SECTION III: PROJECT DESIGN

Address the following in narrative form:
The proposal describes how the project would demonstrate the ability to develop effective responses and to provide effective treatment and stability for mentally ill adult offenders or mentally ill juvenile offenders based on evidence-based treatment models, specific services to be provided, where and when service delivery would occur, and who would provide these services (i.e., project staff). The proposal identifies the project's target population and program eligibility criteria (e.g., estimated number and type of offenders to be served, criminal history, diagnostic categories, etc.). The proposal communicates a direct and well-articulated relationship/nexus between the project design and identified need(s).

SECTION IV: COUNTY PLAN / STRATEGY

Address the following in narrative form:
The proposal describes a comprehensive county plan for providing a cost-effective continuum of responses and services for mentally ill adult offenders or mentally ill juvenile offenders, including prevention, intervention, and incarceration-based services, as appropriate; cite research to support the proposed services' cost-effectiveness within the criminal and juvenile justice system. The plan must describe how the responses and services included in the plan have been proven to be or are designed to be effective in addressing the mental health needs of the target offender population, while also reducing recidivism and custody levels for mentally ill offenders in adult or juvenile detention or correctional facilities. Strategies for prevention, intervention, and incarceration-based services in the plan shall include, but are not be limited to, all of the following:

(1) Mental health and substance abuse treatment for mentally ill adult offenders or mentally ill juvenile offenders who are presently placed, incarcerated, or housed in a local adult or juvenile detention or correctional facility or who are under supervision by the probation department

after having been released from a state or local adult or juvenile detention or correctional facility.

(2) Prerelease, reentry, continuing, and community-based services designed to provide long-term stability for juvenile or adult offenders outside of the facilities of the adult or juvenile justice systems, including services to support a stable source of income, a safe and decent residence, and a conservator or caretaker, as needed in appropriate cases.

(3) For mentally ill juvenile offender applications, one or more of the following strategies that has proven to be effective or has evidence-based support for effectiveness in the remediation of mental health disorders and the reduction of offending: short-term and family-based therapies, collaborative interagency service agreements, specialized court-based assessment and disposition tracks or programs, or other specialized mental health treatment and intervention models for juvenile offenders that are proven or promising from an evidence-based perspective.

The plan shall include the identification of specific outcome and performance measures and for annual reporting on grant performance and outcomes to the board that will allow the board to evaluate, at a minimum, the effectiveness of the strategies supported by the grant in reducing crime, incarceration, and criminal justice costs related to mentally ill offenders.

SECTION V: COLLABORATION

Address the following in narrative form:
The proposal demonstrates the applicant's ability to provide for interagency collaboration to ensure the effective coordination and delivery of the strategies, programs, and/or services described in the application. The proposal describes the coordinated planning process undertaken by the local Strategy Committee to develop the proposal. The proposal includes evidence that ongoing collaboration among the Strategy Committee participants (i.e., agencies/community-based organizations) will continue in the implementation and operation of the project as well as describing each entity's role in the 4-year project and beyond. The proposal describes the applicant's involvement in other collaborative efforts involving treatment and support services for mentally ill offenders. In addition, the proposal provides dates and times of the Strategy Committee meetings and includes key decisions made, including but not limited to implementation and sustainability planning.

SECTION VI: PROBABILITY OF SUCCESS

Address the following in narrative form:
The proposal demonstrates the applicant's ability to administer the proposed grant project, including any past experience in the administration of a prior mentally ill offender crime reduction grant. The proposal describes the likelihood the project would succeed due to the proven effectiveness of its design for the target population and includes evidence of research-based results. The proposal illustrates the applicant's demonstrated history of maximizing federal, state, local, and private funding sources to address the needs of the grant service population. This includes implementing and managing grant-funded projects in an efficient, effective and evidence-based manner. In addition, the timeline of activities for the proposed project is reasonable, given the nature and scope provided.

SECTION VII: EVALUATION

Address the following in narrative form:
The proposal describes project goals, the strategy/methodology for evaluating whether or not the project objectives were achieved, the plan for collecting data that supports the evaluation goals, and the manner in which the project evaluation will be documented and reported such as assessing the effectiveness of the program in reducing crime, adult and juvenile offender incarceration and placement levels, early releases due to jail overcrowding, and local criminal and juvenile justice costs. The proposal describes measures to be used to show successful outcomes, in addition to those provided in the application.

SECTION VIII: SUSTAINABILITY

Address the following in narrative form:
The proposal clearly describes how the program will be funded during the fourth year including a list of those funding source(s). The proposed project illustrates the likelihood that the program will continue to operate after state grant funding ends, including the applicant's demonstrated history of maximizing federal, state, local, and private funding sources to address the needs of the grant service population.

NARRATIVE SECTIONS II – VIII MUST NOT EXCEED A TOTAL OF 20 PAGES

SECTION II: NEED STATEMENT

Nevada County's mentally ill youth are involved in the justice system at a disproportionate rate. A snapshot of current youth under probation supervision in Nevada County reveals at least 59% screened minors suffer from mental illness. This rate rises significantly to 67% of the minors detained in juvenile hall. This is in contrast to national averages of 21% of the general juvenile population. (NAMI)

Nevada County was established in 1851 at the height of the gold rush. It covers 974 square miles. Nevada County is a rural county that extends from the foothills to into the Sierra Nevada Mountains with its highest point reaching 9,152 feet. There are three distinct population centers within the County. In the Eastern portion of the County is the Town of Truckee located in the Sierra Nevada. This is the fastest growing area of the county. The other population centers are approximately 60 miles away in the Western portion of the County, those being the Cities of Grass Valley and Nevada City. Nonetheless, 67% of the county's population resides in unincorporated areas. US census data puts the county population at 98,292. (Census.Gov)

Small communities such as Nevada County face exacerbated challenges providing mental health care which adds to negative outcomes such as overrepresentation in the justice system. Those challenges manifest themselves as barriers to accessibility, availability, and acceptability.

Access to care can be problematic. For example, in Nevada County one of the major population centers sits almost an hour away from the County Seat where most services are available. This creates an undue hardship on those attempting to access services.

Availability in terms of services offered and capacity of those limited services is also an issue. Due to the relatively small scale of the potential client population, providers tend to focus their efforts on urban and suburban areas. This leaves rural residents at a disadvantage when it comes to the array of services offered.

Acceptability in regards to the stigma attached to seeking out or receiving mental health care in rural America also presents problems engaging those in need of services. Add this to the ever present image consciousness of youth and the resistance to engagement become even more problematic.

Nevada County currently has some pieces to this puzzle of effectively treating youth; however we are missing a holistic systematic approach to addressing the needs of justice

involved youth suffering from mental illness. Early identification, prevention, and effective interventions are missing. Addressing that gap will provide the foundation of our system of care and help reduce the possibility of the need for urgent or critical interventions such as detention or hospitalization.

The capacity to provide both a robust array of services to the number of youth in need as well as the supports their families need to help engage youth in their success is greatly lacking in the county. A lack of services that address the youth's criminogenic needs in order to reduce recidivism and are also responsive to their mental health needs is missing. These are key elements to reducing the further entrenchment into the criminal justice system. (Andrews & Bonta 2006)

Many of the barriers to treatment for youth involve not only the logistical barriers mentioned above, but also generational factors such as drug use, addiction, and mental illness. Currently, none of the interventions available to justice involved youth in Nevada County involve treatment for parental drug abuse or mental illness. Among our community collaborators, this is seen as the most striking gap in our current services. Expecting youth to recover from addiction or get sustained treatment for a mental illness while they are living with parents active in their drug addictions and/or living with an untreated mental illness is unrealistic at best. Many studies have shown that intensive treatment for the entire family system will result in the best outcomes and lowest recidivism rates for youth. (NTAC.Org)

State and local resources fall short given the demographic makeup of our county as well as the rural setting. The county's population is made up primarily of retirees. 22.5% of the population is over the age of sixty-five. That is in comparison to only 12.5 statewide. Persons under the age of 18 make up 17.9% of the population as compared to 23.9% statewide. (Census.Gov) Our justice involved youth population is relatively small and low risk. Nonetheless we tend to have a high need juvenile offender population. Given the lack of resources, one mental health crisis resulting in hospitalization can essentially deplete the funds available for serving the greater population.

Funding for juvenile services has been outpaced by growth and increased costs. With drastic changes in the adult criminal justice system funding for juveniles has taken a back seat. This in addition to a lack of economic growth in Nevada County due to the makeup of the population being primarily retirees has left us short when it comes to being able to

provide the robust and flexible treatment options needed to serve a geographically diverse community.

SECTION III: PROJECT DESIGN

The Strengths, Opportunities and Recidivism Reduction Program (SOARR) is proposed as a response to our community's need to provide a more holistic approach in order to address the mental health needs of juvenile offenders. The program was created with the goal of both reduce the population of mentally ill offenders in the justice involved population and reducing the recidivism of those already involved. It was also created with the idea that it will focus on five primary components, assessment, collaboration, case planning, intervention, and maintenance. This program is based upon the evidence-based principles of Wraparound, using several components of other evidence-based programs such as Functional Family Therapy and Multi-Systemic Family Therapy. Our community strongly supports the approach of treating youth within their family system and addressing the generational barriers to treatment faced by many of our mentally ill youth.

Research shows that to reduce mentally ill offenders' involvement in the justice system safety nets need to be in place to assess and treat the needs of the youth prior to criminal justice action being viewed as the only alternative for the youth to receive the help they need and to mitigate risk of harm to themselves and others. That same research shows that justice involved youth with mental illness tend to deteriorate and have worse outcomes than justice involved youth not suffering from mental illness.

Participants in the SOARR Program must have a referral to the probation department based on a new law violation, be currently under supervision, and/or be detained in the juvenile detention facility. After the completion of the assessment phase of the program they must meet the definition of a person described in section 5600.3 of the California Welfare and Institutions Code. They must be legal residents of Nevada County. Preference will be given to youth assessed as moderate-high and high risk to re-offend. However, it is noted that some youth are low to moderate risk, but high need. In those cases acceptance into the program will be based on available program capacity and the SOARR team's decision that the minor would

be best served by SOARR. That decision will be based on the needs contained in the minor's case plan.

We will serve no less than 30 minors annually. We will have a capacity of 12 minors at any given time. If the need is higher than the capacity we will first see if increasing capacity would be possible within the means available by shuffling existing resources so no child in need goes un-served. The shuffling would occur in such a way as to not compromise the fidelity of the programming or the integrity of the grant funding.

Assessment

We propose to systematically screen all youth that come into contact with our system for mental health. We will imbed the Massachusetts Youth Screening Instrument – Second Version (MAYSI-2) in to our current case management system. It is a 52 item self-report instrument that identifies potential mental health and substance use problems among youth. It is currently the most widely used and accepted juvenile justice mental health screening tool available and can be completed by non-clinical staff. The MAYSI-2 will be used solely to determine which youth might benefit from a mental health assessment administered by a clinician. It will not be used to make any dispositional decisions or diagnosis as that is beyond the scope of the intended use.

In concert with the administering of the MAYSI-2, the youth will also be assessed using the Juvenile Assessment and Intervention System. This is to obtain the youth's static risk to reoffend and the dynamic strengths and needs relative to criminogenic factors. This is so that we can address the criminogenic needs in concert with the mental health needs. When recidivism reduction is the goal, mental health needs are viewed as a responsivity factor that can present barriers to addressing criminogenic needs. However, mental health outcomes generally focus on quality of life and we are hoping to set up a system that addresses both sets of desired outcomes by gathering as much information as we can about a youth's criminogenic needs and mental health needs early in the process to develop a meaningful case plan and intervention strategy.

Once youth are "screened-in" via the MAYSI-2, a referral will be made to a clinician within two days for a mental health assessment. The quick turnaround is critical so that the screening doesn't become stale as it is based on a snapshot in time.

Contracted clinicians will use the Child and Adolescent Needs and Strengths (CANS) Assessment to determine treatment needs. All of the assessment results from the MAYSI-2 and the JAIS will be shared with the clinician so they are as informed as possible about the youth's current situation.

Collaboration

Once the clinical assessment is complete, each case will be reviewed by the SOARR Administration Team. This team will consist of a Juvenile Probation Supervisor, Behavioral Health Supervisor, and Contract Provider Supervisor. The role of this team will be to provide oversight to the SOARR program and ensure that referrals are appropriate, fidelity to the program is maintained, resources are responsibly utilized, and outcomes are being met.

When the referral has been accepted into the SOARR program, contracted clinical staff will schedule a Youth and Family Team Meeting. This team will consist of the youth and family, the assigned probation officer, the contracted clinician, the juvenile hall case manager, and other key service providers such as rehabilitation specialists, teachers, collaborative court representatives, substance abuse counselors, and other supports identified by the family. This team will develop a case plan for the minor keeping in mind the desired outcome of reduced recidivism and higher quality of life.

Prior to entering the intervention phase the probation officer will determine what the statutory options are for disposition of the referral received. Should the youth be eligible for diversionary or informal handling the officer assigned will make efforts to handle the case informally. However, we will adhere to department policy on making criminogenic risk based dispositional decisions. Training will be provided to probation intake officers to administer the MAYSI-2 and to forward the case to the SOARR assigned officer if further assessment is needed. Intake and detention officers will also be trained on mental health first aid and on common misconceptions regarding mental illness such as causation between mental illness and committing violent crimes.

During the adjudication process, should doubts be raised as to the minor's competency due to mental illness, the program will provide for a determination of competency. Should it be discovered that competency restoration is needed then the program will utilize the Maricopa County Juvenile Court System – Restoration

Workbook for Juvenile Competency. During that time, the minor will also be assessed as detailed above and appropriate interventions will be provided.

Intervention

Interventions will include several different aspects. We will break it down into two main categories based on the desired outcomes of the program. One category will be interventions to address assessed criminogenic needs and the other will be to address identified quality of life issues relative to the youth's diagnosed mental illness. Both types of interventions will be used to address mental health needs and substance use/abuse. Some of these interventions will overlap and others might solely involve the youth's family. The holistic approach requires some skill building not only on the part of the youth, but the parent or guardian as well so that they can support the youth's wellness.

Most intervention services will be provided by a community-based children's mental health agency with experience providing wraparound and juvenile hall based services within Nevada County. The SOARR treatment team in each case will consist of the assigned probation officer, an identified lead therapist, a parent partner and a mental health rehabilitation specialist. Teams may also include a Board-certified Child Psychiatrist and other community partners as needed to address the unique needs of the individual and family. Youth and families will be an integral part of the treatment team and will be asked to identify other members of their team in order to promote the creation of their own natural supports to increase sustainability of the progress they make in the program's active treatment phase.

The program will use grant funds for a 1 FTE therapist, a .5 FTE Mental Health Rehabilitation Specialist, and a .5 FTE Parent Partner. The therapist will be conducting all aspects of mental health assessment and treatment for the youth and family, and will facilitate team meetings as well as provide clinical direction for other team members. The mental health rehabilitation specialist (MHRS) will be providing individual skill building with youth and families, as well as conducting Aggression Replacement Training, Moral Reconation Training, and Parent Project Parenting groups to youth and parents. The Parent Partner will work directly with parents building parenting skills and connecting families with community resources and natural supports to build capacity and self-sufficiency to maximize success after the completion of the program.

To help ensure model fidelity and positive outcomes, providers will be required to complete the programming rating portion of George Mason University's RNR Simulation Tool. The three main goals of the program tool are: 1) to classify programs to facilitate treatment matching, 2) to explore how programs currently target the risk level and criminogenic needs of their clients, and 3) to assess programs on their use of evidence-based practices. The tool is intended to help criminal justice agencies better understand the resources available to them and to foster responsivity to specific risk-need profiles. (George Mason University)

This program design will address the access need that our rural community faces. The entire treatment team will have the flexibility to travel throughout the county to provide services to those in need outside normal service areas. This includes the eastern most sections of the county which are currently severely underserved. This would also provide flexibility based on the ever changing needs of the diverse population we serve. They will be able to serve the Juvenile Detention Facility, all population centers of the county and unincorporated areas as needed.

To address the acceptability issue we will focus on education. We will start that piece with the referred clients and their families. We will provide psychoeducation for youth and families, focusing on the fact that mental illness, like most other illnesses, is treatable and maneageable. We will connect the family with our local chapter of National Alliance on Mental Illness (NAMI) and other local groups that support mental health consumers and their families. We will also provide public education and outreach regarding juvenile mental health issues. This will be done by creating a pamphlet to distribute to schools and parents regarding the myths and facts about mental health. We will also provide a minimum of 2 Mental Health First Aid classes each year of the grant period that will be free of charge to the community and to SOARR families. All non-clinical staff working with youth in the SOARR program will be required to attend.

Once a case plan is established the services to be provided to address criminogenic and mental health needs include: CBT, MRT, ART, MST, and other evidence-based and promising practices provided in a wraparound services model. Modalities and service strategies are described in more detail in the Strategic Plan.

Maintenance

Once the needs of the youth have been addressed and their strengths increased we need to work to prevent and understand relapse into old behaviors or tendencies. Work on this stage begins from day one and really is learned during the intervention stage, however SOARR staff will support program youth through aftercare. Aftercare will include an alumni status for program youth to where they can jump back into programing should they, their support system, or staff recognize a need. That would include any of the programming where an open entry group model is used such as MRT or ART. This would allow youth to address the identified emerging need without having to do the entire program over again. If the youth needed or wanted to complete an entire program again they could. The support programs such as employment, education assistance, parenting, etc. will be made available to alumni should they need it. We do not want to see youth regress after making significant progress. The goal is to help the youth build a support system so they know there are people that want to and are willing to help should they need it.

SECTION IV: COUNTY PLAN / STRATEGY

Prevention

Early identification and assessment is the key to prevention. With the juvenile population the schools are a key partner in identifying youth that might be suffering from undiagnosed mental illness. To reach this population at the earliest point in time possible the Special Multi-Agency Response Team (SMART) has been established. Referrals to this team can be made by parents, any school personnel, behavioral health, public health, child protective services, community based organizations, or other social welfare agencies.

The SMART team meets weekly and consists of all of the aforementioned entities. The family structure, strengths, and concerns are explored. The social and service history of the youth and their family is shared. The caregivers of the youth are engaged in the discussion and a plan is developed that is agreed upon with both the providers, referral source, and the caregivers. The plans developed are then followed up on by the SMART team coordinator. If need be, a follow-up meeting will be established to help the family engage in services and/or to adjust the plan based on any barriers to service they might have faced.

Intervention

Our plan views intervention as a multi-systemic, multi-step process. We understand that in most cases you cannot solely identify a problem behavior presented by a youth and assign them a program to attend and expect long-term success after 3-6 months. Most youth that come to our attention are not initially thinking about change or have rejected the notion all together. This is why our probation staff and contracted providers have been trained in motivational interviewing and in the stages of change. We do our best to ensure the best possible outcomes for our youth. To do this officers need to understand and assess an individual's readiness to engage in behavior change and strategies to guide clients through the stages so that they can get to the action stage and engage in evidence based interventions.

Once a minor is in the action stage then a referral for available services is made based on his risk and needs assessment and after a mental health assessment is completed if appropriate. Prior to making a referral the officers have been trained to identify responsivity factors and account for them when making referrals. For example, if a minor has difficulties reading and writing they will not make a referral to a program that requires a lot of journaling until they address the education and literacy issues. They make every effort to remove any barriers to treatment so that the youth has every opportunity to be successful.

Juvenile programming to remediate mental health disorders and reduce offending for incarcerated, released, and placed youth:

Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (CBT). CBT is an evidence based program that has yielded excellent results in the reduction of recidivism. Some of the most successful programs have reduce recidivism by up to 50% Even at more modest crime reduction rates of 6.3% given in a meta-analysis of 25 studies CBT shows a cost benefit of $10,299 per participant. Trauma Focused CBT (TF-CBT) will also be offered. TF-CBT combines cognitive behavior and family theory and adapts them to the treatment of traumatic events. CBT and TF-CBT is currently offered to juvenile clients, however the capacity need to address the needs of the population is not currently being met and parents are not currently being included in the treatment plans.

Moral Reconation Therapy (MRT). MRT is a systematic treatment strategy that seeks to decrease recidivism among juvenile and adult criminal offenders by increasing moral reasoning. Its cognitive-behavioral approach combines elements from a variety of psychological traditions to progressively address ego, social, moral, and positive

behavioral growth. MRT takes the form of group and individual counseling using structured group exercises and prescribed homework assignments. The MRT workbook is structured around 16 objectively defined steps focusing on seven basic treatment issues: confrontation of beliefs, attitudes, and behaviors; assessment of current relationships; reinforcement of positive behavior and habits; positive identity formation; enhancement of self-concept; decrease in hedonism and development of frustration tolerance; and development of higher stages of moral reasoning. Participants meet in groups once or twice weekly and can complete all steps of the MRT program in a minimum of 3 to 6 months. This program is designed for youth, adults and parents. MRT has shown long term recidivism reductions in the range of 20-35% and short term reductions up to 50% this is in line with cognitive based programing. In turn the cost benefit would also be a similar amount of just over $10,000 savings per participant.

Aggression Replacement Training (ART). ART concentrates on development of individual competencies to address various emotional and social aspects that contribute to aggressive behavior in youths. Program techniques are designed to teach youths how to control their angry impulses and take perspectives other than their own. The main goal is to reduce aggression and violence among youths by providing them with opportunities to learn pro-social skills in place of aggressive behavior. This program offers a 7.3% reduction in recidivism outcomes based on four evidence based studies. It has a cost benefit savings of $14,660 per participant.

Multi-Systemic Therapy (MST) for substance use. MST addresses the known factors associated with serious antisocial behavior in children and adolescents. With regard to adolescent drug abuse, these factors pertain to characteristics of the adolescent (e.g., favorable attitudes toward drug use), family (e.g., poor discipline, family conflict, parental drug abuse), peers (e.g., association with drug using peers), school (e.g., dropout, poor performance), and neighborhood (e.g., criminal subculture).

Cognitive-Behavioral Interventions for Substance Abuse. Relative to adult substance users, adolescents who drink or use drugs have a more rapid progression from casual use to dependence, longer substance use careers, and a greater number of co-occurring psychiatric problems. In recent years, cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT) models tailored specifically for adolescent substance users have gained significant empirical support. According to the cognitive-behavioral model, adolescents use substances as a maladaptive way of coping with environmental circumstances or getting needs met. CBT

aims to help adolescents replace their drinking or drug use with less risky behavior by recognizing antecedents of their use, avoiding those circumstances if possible, and coping more effectively with problems that lead to increased use.

Family Integrated Transitions (FIT). FIT is designed for juvenile offenders with the co-occurring disorders of mental illness and chemical dependency who are entering the community after being detained. Youth receive intensive family and community-based treatment targeted at the multiple determinants of serious antisocial behavior. The program strives to promote behavioral change in the youth's home environment, emphasizing the systemic strengths of family, peers, school, and neighborhoods to facilitate the change. FIT participants were 30% less likely than non-FIT youth to have felony recidivism. The cost benefit savings is $40,545 per participant. (Washington State Institute for Public Policy)

Community programming to increase long-term stability:

Pro-social groups. SOARR youth will have an opportunity to participate pro-social activities that foster positive traits such as empathy. This includes a number of organized group activities such as family nights, BBQs, volunteer activities, community service and other outings. Funding will be made available for youth to participate in organized sports should they desire and not have the means to do so.

Education. Youth will be given tutoring and educational support through the SOARR program. Members of the team will serve as educational advocates for the youth should educational barriers present themselves. They will assist the youth in being able to access appropriate educational setting and serves relative to their needs.

Mindfulness. Youth will be given an opportunity to participate in activities that promote mindfulness such as meditation, yoga, and other movement orientated activities. The goal is to teach youth to pay attention, on purpose, in the present moment, and without judgment. Essentially we are teaching them how to deal with emotions and how to identify, manage and regulate them.

Parent Partner. A parent partner is assigned to each parent/caregiver providing linkage to community resources (legal, food, housing, employment, health, dental, transportation). This helps relieves stress on the parent, thereby reliving stress on the family as whole and the mentally ill youth in particular. This allows the parent to assist in the treatment of the minor without having to worry about other external issues or concerns.

Transportation. The transportation needs of the minor to get to school, home, work, treatment, or any other pro-social activity will be explored and addressed. We will eliminate this common barrier to success by providing bus passes and other modes of transportation as needed.

Employment. SOARR will work with the One Stop employment center and the local Workforce Investment Board to develop job skills and job opportunities for participants. We will be mindful of the needs of participants when seeking and developing job opportunities for participants as to maximize their success.

Parent Project. This is a parenting skills program specifically designed for parents with strong-willed or out-of-control adolescent children. We provide parents with practical tools and no-nonsense solutions for even the most destructive of adolescent behaviors. It is a 10 to 16 week program designed for parents raising difficult or out-of-control adolescent children, ages 10 and up. Also designed for classroom use, "Changing Destructive Adolescent Behavior" provides concrete, no-nonsense solutions to even the most destructive of adolescent behaviors.

All of the interventions and service offered will be offered in both the juvenile detention facility and the community as appropriate. As a general rule justice involved youth in Nevada County do not receive lengthy commitments to the juvenile detention facility as the facility is not view as a long-term placement option. It is used to transition youth that have either violated the law or a court order into an appropriate community based intervention to address their needs. Nonetheless, there are youth in detention that need services. Many times the main focus is to engage youth by increasing their motivation for change and using that motivation to develop a re-entry plan so that they have the best chance of success from the initial point of being released.

Incarceration Based Services:

Services offered in the Juvenile Detention facility have a primary goal of successfully bringing the minor back home and laying the groundwork for a successful transition back into the community. On occasion services in the facility focus on crisis intervention as many times minors booked into the facility due to crisis situations that have risen to the level of law enforcement having to intervene. Detention staff work collaboratively with probation officers, providers, and families so that both the youth and the family gets the services and support they need during this major life event for most youth and their family. When a minor is booked into a facility early and frequent communication among

all parties involved is key early intervention and making sure the needs of the minor are met. This is especially true for youth suffering from mental illness as detention can result in there metal health deteriorating.

To mitigate the effect detention has on mentally ill offenders, all minors booked into the Juvenile Detention Facility will undergo the MAYSI-2 screening. Should they be "screened-in" a referral will be made for a full mental health assessment. Additionally, the court will be notified at detention of the minor's mental health needs so that it can be taken into consideration at the detention hearing and/or subsequent hearings. A plan will be developed to for treatment and stabilization in the facility should the minor remain detained and a plan for re-entry into the community should they be released.

SECTION V: COLLABORATION

Nevada County is known for its collaboration and team approach to projects. Collaboration allows us to share resources so that we are more effective in meeting our mission and goals. This is especially true when those goals overlap. We currently have a large number of existing collaborative efforts that position us strongly moving into MIOCR planning and implementation. Collaborative programs and related services include:

Mental Health Court, Drug Court, and Prop 36 Court is a combined effort of Police, Sheriff, Probation, Courts, Public Defender, District Attorney, Behavioral Health, and community groups to provide comprehensive outcome-based services to mentally ill adults and outcome based services to addicted adults and youth in the criminal justice system.

Forensic Task Force (FTF) on Mental Illness, begun in 1999, is a combined effort of Courts, District Attorney, Public Defender, Probation, Sheriff, Police, WBC facility medical staff, Behavioral Health, Adult Protective Services, NAMI, the County Mental Health Board, and consumers to establish an improved system of care for forensic mentally ill adults in Nevada County and to avoid the criminalization of individuals with neurobiological brain disorders, commonly known as mental illness.

The Palm Tree (PT) Group is a collaborative effort of Public Defenders, Courts, foster care parents, family members of SMI, Health and Human Services Agency, Behavioral Health, Child Protective Services, Probation, schools, NAMI, Juvenile Hall, and community based organizations to improve the social, familial, medical, educational, mental health, and court systems and services for the families and children of Nevada County.

Special Multi-Agency Resource Team (SMART) is a collaborative effort of Juvenile Hall, Probation, schools, Public Health, and Behavioral Health to effectively intercede on behalf of children/adolescents with complex personal, family or social issues were the problem is beyond the scope of a single agency and requires multi-discipline consideration.

Children's Placement Committee (CPC) is a collaborative effort of Probation, Behavioral Health, Child Protective Services, Foster Care, and Public Health to coordinate and review all out-of-the home placements with the goal of reunifying youth with their families whenever possible.

Mental Health Services Act (MHSA) Steering Committee is a collaborative effort of consumers, family members, community based organizations, schools, medical clinics, Public Defenders, Courts, Mental Health Board, Child Protective Services, Health and Human Services Agency, Behavioral Health, and County Executive Office to participate in the planning process for Nevada County in the community planning as required by the requirements of the Mental Health Service Act.

SPIRIT Mental Health Peer Empowerment Center collaborates with FTF, MHC, Juvenile Hall, District Attorney, Public Defender, Probation, Behavioral Health, NAMI, and others to develop and improve strategies and support to minimize those with mental illnesses from entering the criminal justice system and to best support those who have entered the system.

Community Recovery Resources (CORR) Family Department works in partnership with Nevada County Behavioral Health Department to provide integrated services for mutual or sequentially shared members to provide services that include drug and alcohol counseling, Brief solution-focused and/or Cognitive-behavioral psychotherapy, facilitation of access to psychiatric services and a wide range of groups, and needs-based services.

The planning process for the MIOCR grant proposal was a great illustration of the county's collaborative spirit. Before a meeting was set e-mails and phone calls went out from agencies that heard an RFP was being issued requesting to be a part of the strategy committee. Public and private agencies wanted to participate whether their agency or organization would benefit financially from the proposal or not as they see overrepresentation of mentally ill youth involved in the justice system as a community issue that needs to be addressed.

The committee consists of all statutorily mandated participants as well as the members of the Juvenile Justice Commission, National Association of Mentally Ill (NAMI),

Superintendent of Schools, District Attorney, Child Protective Services, Public Defender, Juvenile Hall Staff, Forensic Mental Health Task Force, and every agency that provides behavioral health services in the county. The group was so large that we divided the group into a workgroup that accepted assigned tasks and a larger planning and oversight group.

Meeting Dates and Key Decisions Made

2/23/15 Initial Meeting – Brainstorming for both Adult and Juvenile MIOCR planning. Decided two sub-groups needed to be formed to tackle both topics. Ideas around the Wraparound Model and competency came forward.

2/27/15 Workgroup Meeting – Honed in on the idea the Hall to Home Wraparound Model should be expanded to serve those with little or no hall time and to those in underserved portions of the county. Also, decided juvenile competency needed to be addressed in the process.

3/3/15 Meeting with provider – Provider discussed the type of services they could offer and what the ballpark cost would be. Discussed expanding capacity versus fee for service. Decided expanded capacity would allow us the flexibility to serve the entire county, not just the two population centers already being served.

3/13/15 Meeting with competency group – Decided on a competency training curriculum and how it would be implemented and trained.

3/23/15 Workgroup meeting – Went over the rough draft of the proposal and decided it was good for submission.

The group has discussed the roles of each participant in the group and how they can help with the implementation and ongoing success of the project. It was decided that Nevada County Probation would be the project manager for SOARR. This role includes directing implementation, workflow and grant management.

Each agency involved is committed to creating system change that results in the most positive outcomes for our youth. Local law enforcement will assist in identifying youth they believe would benefit from mental health screening and they will include information in referrals and in bookings as to the behavior(s) the youth was exhibiting at the time to lead them to believe mental illness might be a factor. The idea behind this is the more information we have the better and that sometimes a youth might be exhibiting symptoms during arrest that they are not exhibiting during screening.

The county mental health department will oversee and evaluate behavioral health services offered to minors. The court will take the mental health information we receive from the project and take it into consideration during the adjudication process. They will make referrals for screening should they think a minor might benefit from the program. They will support the program by reinforcing the skills taught to minors and their parents via the bench.

Former offenders will have the option to support the effort by participating in alumni groups to mentor other youth struggling with the same issues. Through the planning process, youth expressed interest in helping other youth be successful in the community. Youth mental health consumers involved in the planning process contributed the following perspective and ideas, which were incorporated into the attached proposal:

- There is a need for more intensive drug counseling and a juvenile inpatient facility
- Skype and other tele-psychiatry methods do not feel supportive or effective
- They would prefer a team approach to therapeutic services so that they have more than one point person for support and service delivery
- They would like more incentives for participation in recovery and rehabilitative efforts
- They are concerned with misdiagnosis of mental illness and advocate for a more comprehensive and reliable assessment process.

Community based service providers were interested in helping to create programming and select curriculum. The Juvenile Justice Commission wanted to add their support to the effort as they see it as a dire need in our community. NAMI will provide outreach and support for parents and families navigating life with a child suffering from mental illness. The schools want to support our education efforts for participant youth and our efforts to educate youth and their parents about mental health. They also want to continue the great partnership we already have in early intervention and prevention.

The District Attorney's Office and Public Defender's Office will assist us in navigating the adjudication process when a youth is identified with mental health issues. They are very interested in ensuring minors are competent to participate in the process and they are sensitive to the added barriers to rehabilitation that might be faced when youth are suffering from mental illness. Child Protective Services (CPS) wants to be assistance on clients that we have in common both in the dual status (300/600 W&I) cases and they want to be a resource for families that might want to participate in voluntary services that

CPS can provide. Juvenile Hall Staff will be the first place a minor can get screened if they are arrested and booked. They want to ensure that the minor gets the help that they need and that they have access to all resources available to help minors that might arrive while in crisis. The Forensic Mental Health Task Force will assist in making connection with consumers, their families, and be a sounding board for the program.

Each of the participants voiced a sincere desire to make systemic changes to support the efforts of the program beyond the grant period. The group views the MIOCR grant as an opportunity to incorporate strategies that are known to result in better outcomes for justice involved youth. As those outcomes include less reliance on secure detention and more expensive but less effective interventions, we expect to realize cost savings or at the very least cost avoidance.

The overall cost to do business is reduced through the implementation of more effective programming. Therefore, the money saved will be reinvested in maintaining the SOARR program and other effective interventions. Most of the savings of this program should be realized in the probation department if detention costs are cut as secure detention is a major cost driver. If we can realize cost avoidance/savings across the system, maximize resources through collaboration, and prioritize the funding of effective services then sustainability of the program is expected. To do this we need to implement effective programs, collect quantitative and qualitative data and then use the data to tell the story of the program and its participants in a meaningful way. Once that is accomplished support from the community, other agencies, and community based organizations will help support the reinvestment of funds.

SECTION VI: PROBABILITY OF SUCCESS

TNevada County has a history of successfully implementing and sustaining grant funded programming. Nevada County received and managed a MIOCR grant award in 2007. Many of the programs implemented through that award are still in place. A juvenile mental health court was created through that grant funding. The court is still serving mentally ill juvenile offenders today, through the commitment of time and resources from the Courts, Probation, Mental Health, and our community providers. The wraparound services brought into our County initially through the MIOCR grant were also sustained and increased after the sunset of the grant funds. This was accomplished by applying for SB163 wraparound funding and by utilizing MediCal funding to pay for services for eligible youth.

In 2012, Juvenile Hall and Victor Community Support Services were awarded a Community Services Block Grant to create the Hall to Home (H2H) Program. H2H provided intensive mental health and case management services to youth exiting Juvenile Hall and re-entering the community with the express purpose of reducing recidivism. The outcomes of this program show a very significant reduction in recidivism with program participants and it has therefore been funded by the Probation department since the end of that grant in 2014. Unfortunately, due to budget constraints, the number of participants has been greatly reduced.

Two other notable sustained efforts have been the Destination Family Program and our network of Family Resource Centers (FRC). Destination Family is a family-finding and adoption program focused on older youth in Permanent Placement that was initially funded by a federal grant that sunset in 2006 and is still going strong today through MediCal billing and DSS funding. Our FRCs were initially funded by a Safe Schools Healthy Families Federal Grant and are currently sustained through a combination of local support, Superintendent of Schools funding, County funding, and private grants. These programs are all thriving due to Nevada County's commitment to excellent services and strong collaboration.

The SOARR Program only uses evidence based interventions relative to addressing criminogenic needs. All interventions have had multiple peer reviewed studies indicating not only a reduction in recidivism, but also a cost benefit. Additionally the screening tool and the mental health assessment used are validated tools that have been used extensively in the criminal justice arena.

The department began its effort to transform the culture of the department and community as a whole towards using evidence based practices in community corrections in 2009. Officers no longer make decisions based on arbitrary factors. Officers understand the risk, need, and responsivity principles. They understand and accept our role in changing behavior.

The MIOCR project manager comes to Nevada County with extensive experience in grant management. His experience includes managing, writing, and assisting with the following grants: BSCC EBP grant, CAL-OES RSAT grant, CAL-OES PSVU grant, a BYRNE Grant, BJA sponsored Justice Reinvestment Initiative Grant, BSCC DMC grant, and others. Also, the County has a history of maximizing funds by utilizing probation officers to provide direct service.

The timeline of activities for the project is reasonable as we are only expanding capacity for existing service to better serve the community as a whole. We are also implementing a way to insure model fidelity among service providers to insure that expected outcomes are being met. We will be working with vendors who already have staff trained in MRT, TFCBT, CBT, ART, and Parent Project and who have existing relationships with EAP and Therapeutic Adventure-Based Mentoring subcontractors. We expect that this process will be relatively easy to implement given it has been executed around the country previously.

SECTION VII: EVALUATION

The overarching goal is to reduce the overrepresentation of mentally ill youth in the juvenile justice system in Nevada County. To measure this we will track outcomes related to reducing the expected recidivism of program participants, reducing detention/placement rates for program participants, reducing costs, and increasing quality of life. The MIOCR Strategy Group has developed a logic model to measure the inputs, outputs, and outcomes of the project. The measures for the logic model are as follows:

Inputs:

# of Referrals; Staffing; # of Clients Eligible for Program; # of Client Enrolled; # of Intervention Classes Available (Hours); Program Attendance

Outputs:

# of Clients Screened; # of Clients Served; Client Program Completion; # of Family Team Meetings, # of SOARR Planning Meetings;

Outcomes:

Reduction in minors with mental illness in the juvenile justice system by 10% and 15% in detention; Increase of services provided in eastern Nevada County by 40%; Decrease in recidivism in mental health population by 8%; Increase of self-reported quality of life by 25%. Improvement in CANS domains by 25%. Increase model adherence by 15% as assessed by the RNR Simulation Tool.

We will use historic juvenile data from our case management system to develop control groups so that we can study the effects of the program. Particular attention will be paid to those that live outside the normal service coverage area of service providers to see if outcomes are improved at a greater rate in those communities as compared to the control groups.

Additionally, the risk needs assessments of the minors will be tracked to see if their needs diminish and their strengths increase throughout participation in the program. CANS

assessments will be given to youth and their parents to measure improvement in Life Domains.

Service providers will be monitored to ensure that the outcomes of the clients they serve are matching those of expected outcomes given the programming that they are providing. Model adherence will also be tracked with service providers to ensure that there is no drift from the curriculum. Contracts will be tied to program fidelity.

SECTION VIII: SUSTAINABILITY

Nevada County has decided to take a justice reinvestment approach to sustaining MIOCR into the fourth year. We will track and calculate estimated cost savings and cost avoidance of youth participating in the program. The resources saved by participation will be reinvested in sustain the program. Also, we will collect data so that we can communicate the success of the program to the community in a meaningful way. If the program is successful as we believe it will be, then we can turn to the community to realign funds to continue the program or allocate other funding to continue. A part of our evaluation plan is to share our outcomes and stories of the youth to county administration and the public so that the success of the program will be shared and understood.

In addition, Nevada County has a history of maximizing funding to address the needs of the mentally ill population. Although the H2H Program was funded with a $60,000 CSBG grant, the total value of services provided was well over $100,000 due to leveraging MediCal billing for eligible participants. We expect to continue this successful model by looking for opportunities in the fourth year to treat eligible youth within this program through authorized MediCal billing. This will be determined by our providers in conjunction with the Mental Health Department and will depend largely on the current budget and the numbers of youth requiring services.

We are fortunate to have such an engaged and committed community. Members of the strategy committee have committed time to this project throughout the 4 year plan. A member of the planning committee is a certified Mental Health First Aid Trainer and has committed to providing community trainings a minimum of twice a year for the 4 year duration of the grant and into the future if needed. This will reduce stigma in the community and create a populace prepared to be of service to our youth at risk.

SECTION IX: PROPOSED BUDGET

The proposal includes sufficient detail regarding how state grant and match funds will be expended to implement and operate the proposed project. The proposal provides justification that the amount of grant funds requested is reasonable and appropriate given the proposed project's design and scope, and describes other funding streams that may be used to support the proposed project. The proposal must name the sources to be applied as matching funds and describe how these sources of match will be utilized for the success of the proposed project.

A. BUDGET LINE ITEM TABLES: Complete the following table, **using whole numbers**, for the grant funds being requested ("targeted cap" / funding request guideline of $950,000) for the 3-year grant period (July 1, 2015 to June 30, 2018).

While recognizing agencies may use different line items in the budget process, the line items below represent how the BSCC will require grantees to report expenditures via its invoicing system. Match funds may be expended in any line item, and must be identified as to their respective dollar amounts and source of the match. The 'Other' category funds should be budgeted for travel purposes for one mandatory grantee briefing meeting (*to be held in Sacramento, date TBA*) as well as other proposed travel.

Applicants projecting to utilize grant funds for Indirect Costs / Administrative Overhead may not use more than 10% of the state grant funds for this line item.

Applicants must provide a minimum **25 percent (25%) match**; of the grant funds requested. Matching funds may be met through cash, in-kind, or a combination of both.

All funds shall be used consistent with the requirements of the BSCC Grant Administration and Audit Guide, July 2012 (http://www.bscc.ca.gov/resources).

Please verify total grant funds requested and total match amounts as columns and rows do not auto-calculate.

3-YEAR GRANT BUDGET TABLE

PROPOSED BUDGET LINE ITEMS	GRANT FUNDS	CASH MATCH	IN-KIND MATCH	TOTAL
1. Salaries and Benefits		160,992		160,992
2. Services and Supplies	10,000			10,000
3. Professional Services	720,000			720,000
4. Community-Based Organization (CBO) Contracts				
5. Indirect Costs / Administrative Overhead (may not exceed 10% of grant award)		75,000		75,000
6. Fixed Assets / Equipment				
7.Data Collection / Enhancement	5,000			5,000
8. Program Evaluation	15,000			15,000
9. Sustainability Planning				
10. Other (include travel costs)				
TOTAL	750,000	235,992		985,992

REQUESTED 3-YEAR GRANT TOTAL EXCEEDS THE "TARGETED CAP" OF $950,000 ☐

Provide a brief justification (4-5 sentences) for exceeding the targeted cap / funding request guideline.

Complete the following table, **using whole numbers**, for the grant funds anticipated to be expended during the first year of the grant (July 1, 2015 to June 30, 2016).

Please verify total grant funds requested and total match amounts as columns and rows do not auto-calculate.

YEAR 1 GRANT BUDGET TABLE

PROPOSED BUDGET LINE ITEMS	GRANT FUNDS	CASH MATCH	IN-KIND MATCH	TOTAL
1. Salaries and Benefits		53,664		53,664
2. Services and Supplies	10,000			10,000
3. Professional Services	240,000			240,000
4. Community-Based Organization (CBO) Contracts				
5. Indirect Costs / Administrative Overhead (may not exceed 10% of grant award)		26,000		26,000
6. Fixed Assets / Equipment				
7.Data Collection / Enhancement	5,000			5,000
8. Program Evaluation	5,000			5,000
9. Sustainability Planning				
10. Other (include travel costs)				
TOTAL	260,000	79,664		339,664

B. BUDGET TABLE LINE ITEM DETAILS:

The proposal must provide sufficient detail in each category below regarding how state grant and match funds will be expended to implement and operate the proposed project as identified in the Year 1 Grant Budget Table (above). The proposal must provide justification that the amount of grant funds requested is reasonable and appropriate given the proposed project's design and scope, and describes other funding streams that may be used to support the proposed project. The proposal must name the sources to be applied as matching funds and describe how these sources of match will be utilized for the success of the proposed project. In addition, an outline of Year 2 and Year 3 proposed budget spending must be provided. If a budget line item and/or match category is not applicable for the proposed project, complete with N/A.

1. SALARIES AND BENEFITS (e.g., number of staff, classification/title, salary and benefits)

Requested Grant Funds Year 1: $ 0 Matching Funds Year 1: $ 53,664

Narrative:

Match Source(s): .5 FTE Probation Officer will be assigned to the SOARR Program ($51.60 salary and benefit hourly rate X 1040 hours = $53,664).

Outline of Year 2 and Year 3 Line Item Proposed Budget Expenditures:This item will remain cosistent through years two and three.

2. SERVICES AND SUPPLIES (e.g., office supplies and training costs)

Requested Grant Funds Year 1: $ 10,000 Matching Funds Year 1: $

Narrative: Training and materials for two staff to be trained on juvenile competency restoration. This includes materials and training for restoration cases (2 employees trained @ $3,000 each = $6,000)(Materials to provide services to 8 clients at $500 each = $4,000)

Match Source(s): N/A

Outline of Year 2 and Year 3 Line Item Proposed Budget Expenditures: One time cost which will be limited to year one.

3. PROFESSIONAL SERVICES: (e.g., consultative services - include name of consultants or providers)

Requested Grant Funds Year 1: $ 240,000 Matching Funds Year 1: $ N/A

Narrative: An RFP will go out to children's service vendors to provide a program of intensive family wraparound services for our target population. Vendor provided wraparound programming will include clinical services supervision, peer mentorship, parent partners and specialized interventionists for individuals and families. Vendor wraparound services will be provided to 30 families @ $8,000 annual cost per family (30 families X 8,000 = $240,000).

Match Source(s): N/A

Outline of Year 2 and Year 3 Line Item Proposed Budget Expenditures: These cost should remain consistent for years two and three.

4. COMMUNITY-BASED ORGANIZATION CONTRACTS (e.g., detail of services - provide name of CBO)

Requested Grant Funds Year 1: $ Matching Funds Year 1: $

Narrative:

Match Source(s):

Outline of Year 2 and Year 3 Line Item Proposed Budget Expenditures:

5. INDIRECT COSTS / ADMINISTRATIVE OVERHEAD: Indicate percentage and methodology for calculation. In the "Grant Funds" column of the previous table, this total may not exceed 10% of the total funds requested. In the "Match Funds" column of the previous table, agencies may expend up to their Indirect Cost Rate (over and above 10%) for match funds supported by state or local dollars.

Requested Grant Funds Year 1: $ Matching Funds Year 1: $ 26,000

Narrative:

Match Source(s): Indirect cost at 10% of the grant funds came from RFP proposal, solicitation, and contract management.

Outline of Year 2 and Year 3 Line Item Proposed Budget Expenditures: This should remain consistent in years two and three

6. FIXED ASSETS / EQUIPMENT (e.g., computers, other office equipment necessary to perform project activities)

Requested Grant Funds Year 1: $ Matching Funds Year 1: $

Narrative:

Match Source(s):

Outline of Year 2 and Year 3 Line Item Proposed Budget Expenditures:

7. DATA COLLECTION / ENHANCEMENT (e.g., programming services, data analysis)

Requested Grant Funds Year 1: $ 5,000 Matching Funds Year 1: $ N/A

Narrative: This will be used to make customizations to our case management system so that accurate data can be collectd relative to the SOARR Program and grant funding.

Match Source(s):

Outline of Year 2 and Year 3 Line Item Proposed Budget Expenditures: This should be a one time cost limited to year one.

8. PROGRAM EVALUATION (e.g., evaluator, materials)

Requested Grant Funds Year 1: $ 5000 Matching Funds Year 1: $

Narrative: This is to gain access to and receive technical assistance and data collection relative to the George Mason University RNR simulation tool.

Match Source(s):

Outline of Year 2 and Year 3 Line Item Proposed Budget Expenditures: This expenditure will be on-going throughout the grant period to include years two and three.

9. SUSTAINABILITY PLANNING

Requested Grant Funds Year 1: $ Matching Funds Year 1: $

Narrative:

Match Source(s):

Outline of Year 2 and Year 3 Line Item Proposed Budget Expenditures:

10. OTHER (e.g., travel expenses)

Requested Grant Funds Year 1: $ Matching Funds Year 1: $

Narrative:

Match Source(s):

Outline of Year 2 and Year 3 Line Item Proposed Budget Expenditures:

Funding Streams Utilized by the County / County Collaborative

Provide ten (10) funding streams and/or revenues available to the applicant that may be utilized for investing in or leveraging dollars for maximum benefit to the proposed project and 4-year strategic plan.

General Funds
JJCPA
YOBG
Title IV-E
Grants

Non-Profits
Re-investment of savings
Fines and Fees
Medi-Cal
MHSA

SECTION X: PROPOSED TIMELINE

Provide a timeline for the major activities to be accomplished or obstacles to be cleared in order to achieve the 3-year funded project (e.g., recruiting, selecting staff and/or contracting with an expert consultant or provider, analyzing data, conducting training sessions, development of project evaluation, determining sustainability plan/funding, etc.). Detail critical implementation activities occurring in Year 1 of the project.

Activity	**Timeframe**
Originate a Request for Proposal from the Community	July 2015
Strategy Committee Meeting To Address Applicaple Policies and Procedures	July-September 2015
Contract with George Mason University for RNR Simulation Tool, Traininig, and Technical Asistance	July 2015
Train and Implementation plan for MAYSI-2	July 2015
Conduct Bidders Conference	August 2015
MAYSI-2 Procedure Development	August 2015
Staff Training	September-October 2015
Award Contract(s)	September 2015
MAYSI-2 Implementation	September 2015
RNR Rollout	October 2015
Create Ad-Hoc Data Collection Reports	October 2015
First SOARR Meeting	October 2015
Strategy Committee to Review Progress	December 2015
Progress Reports	On-Going
Data Collection	On-going
Quarterly Reports	On-going

Strategy Committee to plan for sustainabilty	On-going
Sustainability plan in place	August 2017

SECTION XI: STRATEGY COMMITTEE'S COLLABORATIVE EFFORTS

This section will be included in the scoring of the "Collaboration" rating factor.

A. STRATEGY COMMITTEE MEMBERSHIP: Provide the name, title, and agency or organization for each Strategy Committee Member. Please refer to page two (2) of this RFP for the Legislation which provides necessary individuals, disciplines, and local stakeholders.

Name: Michael Ertola Agency/Organization: Nevada County Probation	Title: Chief Probation Officer
Name: Candace Heidelberger Agency/Organization: California Superior Court	Title: Superior Court Judge
Name: Rebecca Slade Agency/Organization: Nevada County Behavioral Health	Title: Interim Behavioral Health Director
Name: Shane Franssen Agency/Organization: Nevada City Police Department	Title: Police Officer
Name: Rachel Roos Agency/Organization: Victor Community Support Services	Title: Executive Director
Name: Tonya Clark Agency/Organization: California Supirior Court	Title: Director
Name: Lael Walz Agency/Organization: NAMI	Title: Director
Name: Michelle Goodwin Agency/Organization: EMQ/Families First	Title: Associate Director
Name: Holly Hermansen Agency/Organization: Nevada County Superintendent of Schools	Title: Superintendent
Name: Merrill Straub Agency/Organization: Nevada County Probation	Title: DPO III - MH Court
Name: Youth Consumers Agency/Organization: Mental health court participants (current and prior)	Title: N/A
Name: Nicole Ebrahimi Agency/Organization: Nevada County Behavioral Health	Title: Program Manager
Name: Cliff Newall Agency/Organization: Nevada County District Attorney's Office	Title: District Attorney
Name: Mike Dent Agency/Organization: Social Services	Title: Director

(J)

ATTACHMENT: Nevada

MENTALLY ILL OFFENDER CRIME REDUCTION GRANT
STRATEGY COMMITTEE MEMBERSHIP/PARTICIPATION

NAME	TITLE	AGENCY/ORGANIZATION
Michael Ertola	Chief Probation Officer	Nevada County Probation
Keith Royal	Sheriff	Nevada County Sheriff
Rebecca Slade	Interim Behavioral Health Director	Nevada County Behavioral Health
Linda Sloven	Judge	California Superior Court
John Foster	Police Chief	Grass Valley PD
Tim Foley	Police Chief	Nevada City PD
Adam McGill	Police Chief	Truckee PD
Rachel Roos	Executive Director	Victor Community Support Services
Heather Smith	Team Leader	Turning Point
Lael Walz	Director	NAMI
Five Juvenile Consumers	Consumers	YES Court
Michelle Goodwin	Associate Director	EMQ/Families First
Holly Hermansen	Superintendent	Nevada County School District
Shane Franssen	Police Officer	Nevada City PD
Daryl Quinn	Program Manager	Nevada County Behavioral Health
Candace Heidelberger	Judge	California Superior Court
Tonya Clark	Director	California Superior Court
Clifford Newell	District Attorney	Nevada County District Attorney
Keri Klein	Assistant Public Defender	Nevada County Public Defender
Mike Dent	Director	Department of Social Services
Jeff Jones	Clinical Director	Community Recovery Resources
Jeff Goldman	Program Manager	Nevada County Probation
Mike Sypniki	Program Manager (Juvenile Hall)	Nevada County Probation
Jill Blake	Interim Public Health Director	Nevada County Public Health
Kristen O'Shea	Clinician	Nevada County Behavioral Health
Merrill Straub	Probation Officer	Nevada County Probation
Pamela Markham	Program Manager	Nevada County Probation

**Please continue on additional pages, if necessary*

B. COLLABORATIVE EFFORTS: List the dates and times the Strategy Committee met to collaborate on the local MIOCR plan and key decisions made during those meetings, including but not limited to implementation and sustainability planning. **This subsection may not exceed two (2) single-sided pages in length.**

As part of this section, provide Strategy Committee Member sign-in sheets, marked as Attachment B, as part of the complete RFP packet.

Meeting Dates and Key Decisions Made

2/23/15 Initial Meeting – Brainstorming for both Adult and Juvenile MIOCR planning. Decided two sub-groups needed to be formed to tackle both topics. Ideas around the Wraparound Model and competency came forward.

2/27/15 Workgroup Meeting – Honed in on the idea the Hall to Home Wraparound Model should be expanded to serve those with little or no hall time and to those in underserved portions of the county. Also, decided juvenile competency needed to be addressed in the process.

3/3/15 Primarily discussed adult strategies with some overlap in juvenile. In juvenile we discussed the importance of hiring additional staff so that there would be greater flexibility in service provision.

3/3/15 Meeting with provider – Provider discussed the type of services they could offer and what the ballpark cost would be. Discussed expanding capacity versus fee for service. Decided expanded capacity would allow us the flexibility to serve the entire county, not just the two population centers already being served.

3/13/15 Meeting with competency group – Decided on a competency training curriculum and how it would be implemented and trained.

3/23/15 Workgroup meeting – Went over the rough draft of the proposal and decided it was good for submission. We discussed implementation. It was decided that implementation would be fairly straightforward given all of the programing proposed already exists. We are just expanding its capacity. We also discussed sustainability. It was clear that we have a good history of sustainability. If the program proves that it has value then the community will sustain it. We also discussed how building the initial capacity is the hardest first step and once capacity is in

place the overhead should reduce. We also discussed the principles of cost savings, cost avoidance, and related reinvestment strategies.

Some of the sign-in sheets are copies given they had to be used for both the adult and juvenile proposal submissions.

A group of five juvenile consumers meet with the Mental Health Court Probation Officer as they felt more comfortable than sharing in the lager group format. They shared several suggestions relative to: more 1:1 treatment, inpatient treatment, issues regarding diagnosis, provider interaction, incentives, and counselor capacity. There concerns were addressed within the proposal.

Additional members participated in the planning for this proposal, however given the limited space provide only certain members were documented above. Nonetheless, their names are on the various sign in sheets. A large portion of the communication occurred via e-mail and by phone. In a small county sometimes supervisors and above have to do line level casework. This at time impedes on their ability to attend frequent meetings. However, they are passionate about the community and the clients we serve and made time to engage in this process.

MEETING SIGN-IN SHEET

Project:	MIOCR STRATEGY COMMITTEE	**Meeting Date:**	2/23/15
Facilitator:	Jeff Goldman	**Place/Room:**	PROBATION

	Name	Title	Agency	E-Mail
	Jeff Goldman	Program Manager	Probation	Jeff.Goldman@co.nevada.ca.us
A -	CAROL STANCHFIELD	PROG. DIR.	TURNING POINT	carolstanchfield@tpcp.org
	Mike Dent	Director	Social Services	mike.dent@co.nevada.ca.us
A (CM)	Heather Smith	Team Leader	Turning Point	heathersmith@tpcp.org
J	Holly Hemansko	Superintendent	NCSOS	holly@ncuco.k12.ca.us
J	JODI TUCKER	SDPO	NV. Co Prob.	jodi.tucker@co.nevada.ca.us
A	Pamela Markham	Program Manager	NV Prob	pamela.markham@co.nevada.ca.us
A/J	Inel Walz	various	EMO Ff Serv Family Medical Nami	jdwlaw@hotmail.com
J	VICTORIA DEFRIESE	SDPO	PROBATION	VICTORIA.DEFRIESE@CO.NEVADA.CA.US
A	LINDA J. SLOVEN	JUDGE	COURT	linda.sloven@nevadacountycourts.com
Both	Tonya Clark	Director	Court	tonya.clark@nevadacountycourts.com
both	Rachel ROOS	Director	VCSS	rpenaroos@victor.org
A	Michael Ertola	CPO	Probation	michael.ertola@co.nevada.ca.us
J	JIM AMARAL	JUVENILE PROBATION	PROB.	jim.amaral@co.nevada.ca.us
Both	Cliff Newell	District Atty	DA	
J	Darryl Quinn	Program Mgr	BH	
A+C	Rebecca Slade	Interim Director	PSA	Rebecca.Slade@co.nevada.ca.us
J	Candace Heidelberger	Judge	Court	candace.heidelberger@nevadacountycourts.com

[redacted]

MEETING SIGN-IN SHEET

Project:	MIOCR JUVENILE STRATEGY COMMITTEE	**Meeting Date:**	2/27/15
Facilitator:	Jeff Goldman	**Place/Room:**	PROBATION

Name	Title	Agency	E-Mail
Jeff Goldman	Program Manager	Probation	Jeff.Goldman@co.nevada.ca.us
MERRIL STRAUB	DPO III	PROBATION	MORRIL.STRAUB@CO.NEVADA.CA.US
Candace S Heidelberger	Judge	Court	Candace.Heidelberger@NevadaCountyCourts.com
Holly Hermansen	Superintendent	NCSOS	holly@nevco.k12.ca.us
Luke Walz	Comm. Dev. Specialist	Empoff + NAMI	jdwlaw@hotmail.com
Tonya Clark	Court Director	Courts	tonya.clark@nevadacountycourts.com
Michael Erbola	CPO	Probation	michael.erbola@co.nevada.ca.us
JEREMY VANCE	DPO II	PROBATION	jeremy.vance@co.nevada.ca.us
Nicole Ebrahimi-Nuyken	BH Program Manager		Nicole.Ebrahimi-Nuyken@co.nevada.ca.u
Rachel Roos	Exec. Dir.	VCSS	rpenaroos@victor.org

MEETING SIGN-IN SHEET

Project:	MIOCR ADULT STRATEGY COMMITTEE	**Meeting Date:**	3/03/15
Facilitator:	Jeff Goldman, Pamela Markham	**Place/Room:**	PROBATION

Name	Title	Agency	E-Mail
Jeff Goldman	Program Manager	Probation	Jeff.Goldman@co.nevada.ca.us
Pamela Markham	Program Manager	Probation	Pamela.markham@co.nevada.ca.us
Jeremy Vance	DPO II	Probation	Jeremy.vance@co.nevada.ca.us
Steve Sinclair	SDPO	Probation	Steven.sinclair@co.nevada.ca.us
Paul Schmicht	~~CAPTAIN~~	SHERIFF	paul.schmicht@co.nevada.ca.us
Missy Patton	family member	NAMI	gomissy19@att.net
Jack Walz	Community Devel. Spec. Care Mgr.	EMOFF NAMI Sierrafam. Medclinic	jdwlaw@hotmail.com
Heather Smith	Team Leader	Turning Point	heathersmith@tpcp.org
~~Steven Sinclair~~	~~S~~		
Kristen O'Shea	BH Therapist	NCBH	kristen.oshea@co.nevada.ca.us
Tina West	LOA Probation	Probation	Tina.West@co.nevada.ca.us
Darryl Quinn	Program Man	NCBH	darryl.quinn@co.nevada.ca.us
Bill Smethers	Lieutenant	NCSO	bill.smethers@co.nevada.ca.us
Michael Ertola	CPO	Probation	michael.ertola@co.nevada.ca.us
Tonya Clark	Director	Courts	tonya.clark@nevadacountycourts.com
Rachel Peña Roos	Exec. Director ~~VCSS~~	Victor C.S.S.	rpenaroos@victor.org
Cliff Newell	DA		
SHANE FRANSSEN	POLICE OFFICER	NEVADA CITY PD	SHANE.FRANSSEN@NEVADACITYCA.GOV

MEETING SIGN-IN SHEET

Project:	MIOCR JUVENILE STRATEGY COMMITTEE	**Meeting Date:**	3/23/15
Facilitator:	Jeff Goldman	**Place/Room:**	PROBATION

Name	Title	Agency	E-Mail
Jeff Goldman	Program Manager	Probation	Jeff.Goldman@co.nevada.ca.us
Michael Ertola	CPO	Probation	michael.ertola@co.nevada.ca.us
JIM AMARAL	PROBATION SUPERVISION	PROBATION	JIM.AMARAL@CO.NEVADA.CA.US
Holly Hermanson	Supt	NCSOS	holly@neuco.k12.ca.us
VICTORIA DEFRIESE	SDPO (PROBATION)	→	VICTORIA.DEFRIESE@CO.NEVADA.CA.US
Michelle Goodwin	Associate Director	EMQFF	Mgoodwin@EMQFF.org
Lael Walz	Various	EMQFF; NAMI Sierra Family Med.	on file
Lindsay Heckelberger	Judge	Court	on file
Rachel ROOS	E.D.	VCSS	on file

MEETING SIGN-IN SHEET

Project: MICOR ADULT GRANT	**Meeting Date:** 3/31/15 @ 3:30pm
Facilitator: Jeff Goldman/Pamela Markham	**Place/Room:** Probation

Name	Title	Agency	E-Mail
Heather Smith	Team Leader	TPPC	heathersmith@tpcp.org
Steve Sinclair	SPO	Probation	Steve
Angie Coffey	Admin Ass't	Probation	
Pamela Markham	PPM	Probation	pamela.markham@co.nevada.ca
Darryl Quinn	PM	BH	same
Lael Walz	Prez Community Dev Spec BH Care Mgr	NAMI Emeff Sierra Family Med. Clinic	jdwlaw@hotmail.com