

Project ID (from Previous	Projec t ID (New)	Facility	Segment	Updated Cost Estimate	% of Need Attributable to New Development	Costs Attributable to New Development	Costs Attributable to Existing Deficiencies (not New Development)	Funding from Other Sources (STIP, SHOPP, etc.)	Funds from other sources beyond what is needed for existing deficiencies	Amount Potentially Collectable from Mitigation Fees	RTMF Funds Currently Available	RTMF Funds Spent on Project	Amount Potentially Collectable from RTMF	Funds Needed from Other Sources
Study)				(A)	(B)	(C) = (A)*(B)	(D) = (A) - (B)	(E)	If (E)>(D), (F)=(E)-(D) Otherwise (F) = 0	(G)=(C)-(F)	(H)	(I)	(J)=(G)-(H)-(I)	(K)=(A)-(E)-(J)
1	1	SR-49 Interchange	Dorsey Drive	\$24,000,000	33%	\$7,991,555	\$16,008,445	\$19,385,609	\$3,377,164	\$4,614,391	\$929,114	\$214,020	\$3,471,257	\$0
2B	2	SR-49	S/o La Barr Meadows Rd (SB)	\$33,417,273	12%	\$4,005,587	\$29,411,686	\$0	\$0	\$4,005,587	\$0		\$4,005,587	\$29,411,686
2C	3	SR-49	South of Alta Sierra Dr (SB)	\$123,414,693	3%	\$3,862,597	\$119,552,096	\$0	\$0	\$3,862,597	\$0		\$3,862,597	\$119,552,096
4	4	SR-49 NB & SB Ramps	@ McKnight Way	\$8,000,000	64%	\$5,089,431	\$2,910,569	\$0	\$0	\$5,089,431	\$0		\$5,089,431	\$2,910,569
6	5	SR 20 EB Ramps	@ McCourtney Rd	\$1,556,515	32%	\$500,432	\$1,056,083	\$0	\$0	\$500,432	\$0		\$500,432	\$1,056,083
10	6	SR 20/49 NB Ramps	@ Idaho Maryland Rd	\$1,380,043	100%	\$1,380,043	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,380,043	\$0		\$1,380,043	\$0
13A	7	SR 20/49 SB Ramps	@ Ridge Rd/Gold Flat Rd	\$670,000	52%	\$350,227	\$319,773	\$0	\$0	\$350,227	\$0		\$350,227	\$319,773
15	8	SR 20/SR 49	@ Uren St	\$1,088,655	21%	\$233,760	\$854,895	\$0	\$0	\$233,760	\$0		\$233,760	\$854,895
29	9	E.Main St	@ Bennett St/Richardson St	\$1,500,000	100%	\$1,500,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,500,000	\$268,465		\$1,231,535	\$0
*	10	South Auburn St	@ SR-20/49 NB Ramps	\$1,033,842	100%	\$1,033,842	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,033,842	\$0		\$1,033,842	\$0
**			@ Coyote St	\$350,000	34%	\$119,288	\$230,712	\$0	\$0	\$119,288	\$0	\$0	\$119,288	\$230,712
	12	12 Admin Costs and 5-year reviews (2% of program)			, and the second				·				\$425,560	·
-	Total			\$196,411,021		\$26,066,763	\$170,344,258	\$19,385,609	\$3,377,164	\$22,689,599	\$1,197,579	\$214,020	\$21,703,560	\$154,335,813
	As a percent of total costs for needed projects					13%	87%			12%	1%		11%	79%

^{*} indicates a project that had been in the previous Grass Valley TIF project list but is now being identified as a regional project and so shifted to the RTMF program ** indicates a new project not in the previous project list but identified in the current study as a deficiency that is at least partially attributable to new development

Page 25 August 2016