				NING COM , CALIFOR		N	
MINUTES of Administration	_	•	28, 2016 Maidu	, 1:30 PM, Avenue,	Board Nevada		Eric Rood California
MEMBERS PR	ESENT: Chair	r Aguilaı	r and Comr	nissioners Po	oulter, Du	ıncan, Jame	s and Jensen
MEMBERS AF	SENT: None.						
STAFF PRESE Planner, Jessica Assistant, Tine N	a Hankins; Do						
PUBLIC HEAF	RINGS:						
•	arehouse Projec ; DP15-006; AN		; MGT15-0	20; MGT16-	001; MI1	Page 1, L 5-020; EIS	
STANDING OI	RDERS: Salute	e to the I	Flag - Roll (	Call - Correc	tions to A	genda.	
CALL MEETI taken.	NG TO ORDE	CR: The	meeting w	as called to	order at 1	:30 p.m. l	Roll call was
CHANGES TO	AGENDA: N	one.					
PUBLIC COM items not appear matter jurisdiction otherwise author	ring on the ager on of the Plant	nda which ning Cor	ch are of in nmission, p	terest to the provided that	public an	d are withing shall be	n the subject taken unless
COMMISSION	BUSINESS:	None.					
CONSENT ITE	EMS: None.						
PUBLIC HEAF	RING:						
<b>Z16-001; DP1</b> Combined appli district overlay of a Development I water storage ta (AM14-001) to remove required	cations for 1) a on the property Permit (DP15-0 .nk, parking ov resolve inaccu	a Zoning and its r 06) to coverflow a racies re	Map Ame equirement on struct an areas, lighting the	endment (Z1 s for floodpla 8,750-square ing, and land e location o	6-001) to ain setbace foot war dscaping; of an ons	remove the eks and wat ehouse, a fi 3) a Map ite pond ar	e SP zoning er supply; 2) re protection Amendment and ditch and

Resources Management Plan (MGT15-020) to reduce impacts from disturbance of the 100-foot

non-disturbance buffer to South Fork Wolf Creek; 5) a Floodplain Management Plan (MGT16-

47

48

001) to reduce impacts from encroachment into the 100-foot setback to the floodplain; and 6) a Petition for Exception (MI15-020) to reduce the standards for right-of-way width from 50 to 20 feet and roadway width from 20 to 15 feet, and eliminate the fuel modification requirement on the west side of Slow Poke Lane. **PROJECT LOCATION:** 11773 Slow Poke Lane, Grass Valley, off East Bennett Road, adjoining Empire Mine State Historic Park to the south and west, and South Fork Wolf Creek to the north. **APN:** 09-320-25 **RECOMMENDED ENVIRONMENTAL DETERMINATION:** Mitigated Negative Declaration. **PLANNER:** Jessica Hankins, Senior Planner.

57 58 59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68 69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

Senior Planner Hankins began her presentation by introducing herself. She outlined the project with a Power Point presentation. The project is located east of the City of Grass Valley and the site is zoned M1-SP. Surrounding zoning is predominately M1 and OS. Notification was provided to properties along access roads and within 300 feet of the site. Access to the site is via East Bennett Road and Lava Rock Avenue to Slow Poke Lane. Planner Hankins described Slow Poke Lane and the project site, including the topography and existing surrounding uses. She then gave a background of the approved projects and rezones of the site. The primary driver of the project today is to get loosely stored materials and storage containers into a warehouse. Planner Hankins then described each aspect of the project. The primary permit is a Development Permit, allowing a warehouse and associated improvements. The Zoning Map Amendment would remove the SP overlay district from the zoning in order to remove the associated requirements. The Map Amendment would remove many erroneous features on the current Subdivision Map for this parcel, as these features no longer exist on the site. The Aquatic Resources Management Plan allows the applicant to develop within 100 feet of the creek. The Development Permit would include a warehouse, parking lot improvements, landscaping, and a water supply for fire prevention. Planner Hankins described the lighting standards and building design and colors, which are consistent with design guidelines. Conditions would regulate outdoor storage including solid waste and the permitting of storage containers. The project meets parking standards, pervious surface requirements, building setbacks and height limitations. The Biological Management Plan allows for encroaching within 100 feet of the south fork of Wolf Creek and includes various measures to protect the area. The Floodplain Management Plan allows new development within the floodplain setback and requires similar measures to the Biological Management Plan. The Petition for Exceptions allows for a reduction in the easement width on Slow Poke Lane, a reduction of roadway width and a reduction in the fuel modification requirement, all of which are supported by the Department of Public Works, Fire and staff. Planner Hankins described traffic and mitigation fees, overflight noticing and fire protection measures. The project is consistent with the zoning district and General Plan designation and, with the conditions as revised in the staff memo, is compatible with surrounding residential uses. An Initial Study was prepared and circulated and staff received comments from the Department of Fish and Wildlife over the phone after the circulation period. Typical and unique impacts are mitigated to a less than significant level and staff recommends the adoption of the Mitigated Negative Declaration. The staff memo includes comments from Kyle Stoner of the Department of Fish and Wildlife regarding jurisdiction and consultation between the applicant and CDFW. The memo also addresses the timing of permitting the storage containers and clarification of an additional condition regarding trash. Staff recommends the Planning Commission adopt the Mitigated Negative Declaration and recommend the Board of Supervisors approve the Zoning Map Amendment, Management Plans, Petition for Exceptions to Road Standards, Map Amendment and Development Permit. When taking action, Planner Hankins recommends using the revised actions for consideration attached to the staff memo.

Chair Aguilar asked if there were questions of staff. 99 100 Commissioner Jensen asked where the existing well is located. 101 102 Planner Hankins answered that the well is in the proposed warehouse footprint and the applicant 103 will be working with the Environmental Health Department to construct around it. 104 105 106 Chair Aguilar asked if the parking lot is paved. 107 Planner Hankins answered that it is a compacted surface with asphalt chips. 108 109 Chair Aguilar asked if that is considered impervious or pervious. 110 111 112 Planner Hankins answered that it is considered impervious and was counted as such. 113 Chair Aguilar asked if the applicant wants a paved parking lot or if that is something the County 114 is requiring. 115 116 Planner Hankins answered that parking standards require paved parking within community 117 regions. 118 119 Chair Aguilar said there is a conflict regarding materials going into the creek and asked about 120 making the parking areas pervious. He also asked about the condition regarding the permitting of 121 storage containers. 122 123 124 Planner Hankins discussed the Building Department's methods of working with the applicant on the timing of storage container permitting, as well as the options for containers with questionable 125 permit status. 126 127 Chair Aguilar asked for clarification. 128 129 Planner Hankins said the Building Department requires permits for storage containers. 130 131 Chair Aguilar confirmed that an applicant does not need a review before a commission for a 132 storage container permit. 133 134 Planner Hankins said no. 135 136 137 Chair Aguilar asked to hear from the applicant. 138 Rob Wood with SCO Planning & Engineering introduced himself as the representative of Ray 139 Byers. Mr. Wood gave a history of the Byers company and an overview of the products they 140 provide, which areas they serve, their various sites, the employees and a background of the site. 141 The Development Project allows for a storage warehouse and overflow parking. Mr. Wood 142 explained the various benefits of the project to Nevada County and the environment. The 143 compacted recycled AC chips currently on the site might be considered pervious or semi-144 pervious and, with the exception of the ADA and EV stalls, the applicant does not want to pave 145

the site. Mr. Wood described the warehouse aesthetics and the parking areas. He clarified the

Petition for Exception as keeping the 20-foot right-of-way as-is. He gave the reasons for this and

146

noted that Slow Poke Lane is maintained by Byers. To summarize, the project cleans up the site, allows Byers to grow, brings the site up to current codes, protects water quality and the creek and improves access and circulation. The applicant is in agreement with the conditions of approval and Mr. Wood discussed the modifications to the language. He asked for reconsideration of Fish and Wildlife condition A22 and described the reasons it is unnecessary and unwarranted.

153

154 Chair Aguilar asked for questions of the applicant.

155

156 Commissioner Jensen asked that the roof be a non-reflective flat brown.

157

Mr. Wood agreed to a non-reflective type of paint.

159

160 Commissioner James asked for staff's recommendation regarding condition A22.

161

Planner Hankins said she would not like to presume to know or interpret CDFW's codes and that she was informed by one of their staff that this project would require consultation. The rewording in the staff memo allows for flexibility regarding communication and notification. Staff recommends keeping the condition. In regard to the paved parking issue, the parking lot would meet the requirement of being paved if it is compacted properly.

167

168 Commissioner Duncan asked if the Fish and Wildlife representative called Planner Hankins after the time period was up.

170

Planner Hankins confirmed the of the close of the CEQA comment period was July 13<sup>th</sup> and the phone call was received on July 20<sup>th</sup>.

173

174 Commissioner Duncan asked if CDFW is asking for additional notification without specifying regulations in order to later determine jurisdiction.

176

Planner Hankins said correct.

177178

179 Commissioner Duncan said this was excessive and questioned why jurisdiction was not 180 determined during their review. She expressed discomfort with the lack of a definitive answer, 181 the phone call format and the timing of the comments.

182

Planner Hankins said while she agrees with many of Commissioner Duncan's comments, CDFW did not have all of the information needed to make a jurisdiction determination.

185

186 Commissioner Duncan asked if CDFW followed up with letter.

187

Planner Hankins said they did not.

189

190 Chair Aguilar opened the hearing for public comment at 2:15 p.m.

191

James Powell (1773 Loretta Lane) owns property on Slow Poke Lane next to Byers. He expressed concerns about visual enhancement, landscaping and road paving requirements. He discussed a recent appraisal of his property and a realtor's opinion of the Byers property. He discussed the aesthetics of the Byers site and noted that the pictures shown do not reflect what property actually looks like. He asked the Commissioners to go to the site before they make a

final judgement on the proposal. He discussed people parking on Slow Poke Lane, trash versus contractor materials and what people are looking at when drive down Slow Poke Lane. He noted that none of the images shown indicate where Slow Poke Lane is. Mr. Powell noted that Byers employees parking on the other side of Slow Poke Lane does not enhance the area or improve property values. He discussed the parking situation and the need to have a traffic impact survey done. Despite doing more business, Byers has done no improvements on the facility. There has been degrading of property values in the area and Byers needs to enhance the visual impact. Mr. Powell asked for visual enhancement, landscaping, road paving and adequate parking, and asked whether it is acceptable for Byers employees to park on the creek side of Slow Poke Lane. He has problems with the Exception for the easement and reduction of the road width.

Chair Aguilar closed public comment at 2:23 p.m.

210 Commissioner Duncan asked for clarification on there being 90 employees.

Planner Hankins clarified the number of employees working from the site at any given time.

214 Commissioner Duncan asked Planner Hankins if she is familiar with Mr. Powell's parcel.

Planner Hankins showed the location of Mr. Powell's property.

218 Commissioner Duncan asked if there are two residences.

Mr. Powell said there is a detached garage and a single family home.

Planner Hankins stated the location of Slow Poke Lane.

224 Commissioner Duncan asked if there is an easement for access.

Planner Hankins said correct.

228 Commissioner Duncan asked what the zoning is in that area.

230 Planner Hankins answered M1.

Chair Aguilar asked about another parcel near the site.

Planner Hankins answered that it is another residence accessed from a different roadway. She noted the location of the two sites accessed by Slow Poke and commented on the view of the Byers site from those parcels. She responded to Mr. Powell's comments by noting that during a site visit of the project, it was observed that vehicles were parked on the western side of Slow Poke on what appeared to be state park property. She discussed the intentions and reasons for the overflow parking area. Street buffer landscaping is in place and a condition of approval requires screening of outdoor stored materials and as well as extended fencing.

242 Chair Aguilar asked if the applicant had comments.

Mr. Wood noted that the bulk of the public comments addressed visual issues and the cleanup of the site, and said approval of this Development Permit is a remedy for those issues. He discussed

landscaping and the roadway easement, describing the easement and noting that they are not asking to reduce the physical roadway that is already there. He also addressed the number of people Byers employs and how many of them work from this site and live in Nevada County.

249

Commissioner James asked if following the landscape plan will meet landscape requirements.

251

252 Planner Hankins said ves.

253

254 Commissioner James asked about the reasonableness of asking employees to park on site.

255

256 Planner Barrington said it is reasonable.

257

258 Commissioner James asked about adding that as a condition.

259

Planner Barrington noted that it is within the Commission's purview.

261

262 Chair Aguilar asked for other comments.

263

Commissioner Poulter asked why the overflow parking was labeled as such.

265

Planner Hankins answered that the parking is not required.

267

268 Commissioner Poulter suggested changing the wording to make the overflow parking required.

269

Planner Hankins said she would look at the wording.

271272

273

Planner Barrington discussed the current condition regarding minimum parking and noted that while it is above and beyond what the code requires, it is within the Commission's purview to require more parking.

274275276

Commissioner Poulter thanked Planner Barrington.

277278

279

280

281

282

Chair Aguilar described the surrounding zoning and surrounding uses, including Mr. Powell's property, as being quasi-residential and quasi-commercial. As it seems like there is enough room even with cars parked on Slow Poke, he doesn't think the Commission needs to implement an additional condition regarding parking. He discussed property improvements, landscaping and the view from surrounding properties. He discussed modifying the paving requirement and not modifying the other condition.

283284

Commissioner Duncan asked about the City of Grass Valley's comments on the project.

286

Planner Hankins discussed the City's design, drainage and fire requirements.

288

289 Commissioner Duncan presumed that the City is happy with the improvements.

290

291 Planner Hankins agreed.

292

293 Commissioner Duncan indicated that she was ready to take action on the project.

295 296	Commissioner Poulter mentioned Fish and Wildlife and asked about employees parking on the property.
297	Mr. Wood discussed the convenience and precedent of parking on Slow Poke Lane.
298 299	wit. Wood discussed the convenience and precedent of parking on slow roke Lane.
300	Commissioner Poulter noted that the parking bothers Mr. Powell.
301 302	Chair Aguilar discussed his own experience parking at the site.
303	
304 305	Commissioner Poulter agreed with Chair Aguilar's sentiments.
305 306 307	Chair Aguilar said there is a modification to mute the roof and asked for a motion.
30 <i>7</i> 308 309	Commissioner Jensen wondered about the consequences of ignoring Fish and Wildlife.
310	Commissioner Poulter agreed with Commissioner Jensen.
311 312	Commissioner Duncan said Fish and Wildlife is not on the record for having comments during
313	the specified comment period and asked about the seriousness of a telephone conversation.
314	Director Foss discussed the nature of the comment period and noted that permit requirements
315 316	don't go away because comments were received outside of the designated period.
317	
318 319	Commissioner Duncan said it bothers her that the comments were not formalized in a letter and that Fish and Wildlife did not say the project is definitively within their purview.
320 321 322	Planner Barrington gave an example of this being a standard process regardless of there being a condition specific to it.
323	condition specific to it.
324 325	Commissioner Duncan asked about the timing of notifying Fish and Wildlife.
326	Planner Barrington said notification likely would be required and said that Fish and Wildlife's
327	comments are on record in the memo.
328 329	Commissioner Duncan asked for clarification on whether they have gone on the record.
330 331	Planner Barrington confirmed that the phone conversation is on the record.
332 333	Director Foss discussed follow up with Fish and Wildlife to get more clarification and noted that
334 335	it may not matter if the condition is included.
336	Commissioner Duncan commented on the excessiveness of including the condition.
337 338	Chair Aguilar agreed with Commissioner Duncan and argued in favor of leaving off the
339 340	condition and allowing Fish and Wildlife to argue that it is in their jurisdiction.
341	Planner Barrington said that staff does not want to get in the position of overriding state law.
342	Chair Aquilar said there is no indication that staff or the Commission is overriding state law

Planner Barrington discussed the notification process for projects near a streambed environment. Commissioner Duncan asked if a notice will be sent regardless of if there is a condition. Planner Barrington said it is the applicant's responsibility. Commissioner Duncan asked if staff or the Mr. Wood would advise them on that. Planner Barrington said correct. Chair Aguilar noted that this was rolling the dice. Mr. Wood said he would agree to remove the condition. Commissioner Jensen said Nevada County is going to have a harder time getting projects through Fish and Wildlife if projects do not follow their instructions. Commissioner Duncan said the applicant has to comply with the law but this condition would be an excessive amount of oversight that this not required. Chair Aguilar asked Commissioner James how he felt. Commissioner James said it is inappropriate. Commissioner Poulter asked for confirmation that staff's analysis is that the condition is not required. Planner Barrington noted that that was the applicant's position. Commissioner Poulter confirmed that it was the applicant's, not staff's, position. Commissioner Duncan said that staff went with that position and determined that it was accurate. Planner Hankins said she had found the same information that Mr. Wood had regarding Fish and Wildlife requirements but noted that it may not be the full picture. She discussed the extent of the regulatory authority. Mr. Stoner with Fish and Wildlife said he did not have enough information at the moment to make a final determination. She further discussed the condition and her plan for further communication with Mr. Stoner. Chair Aguilar said these projects are dependent on time and when a state agency does not address an issue in a timely matter, the presumption is that the issue is not important. He does not think the condition is necessary. Planner Hankins said the condition will not necessarily change anything the applicant will do to protect creek water quality. There are other measures in place and she agreed with Director Foss's earlier statements. 

Commissioner Jensen said that he would agree to remove the condition if that is what the rest of the Commission wanted.

Chair Aguilar said he appreciates that.

Commissioner James agreed that there is no reason to have the condition and discussed Fish and Wildlife's intentions.

Mr. Powell again addressed the offsite parking and said it would enhance the property for everyone if parking were restricted to the Byers site.

403 Chair Aguilar thanked Mr. Powell.

Commissioner Duncan said she is ready to make a motion and noted the revised documents received prior to the meeting.

Motion by Commissioner Duncan to adopt the proposed Mitigated Negative Declaration (EIS15-019), making Findings A through C pursuant to Section 15074 of the California Environmental Quality Act Guidelines; second by Commissioner Jensen. Motion carried on a voice vote 4/1.

Motion by Commissioner Duncan to recommend the Nevada County Board of Supervisors amend Zoning District Map 052b (Z16-001), removing the "SP" combining district and repealing its associated notes as previously adopted under Ordinance No. 1101, which include a 90-foot setback from the high water mark of the 100-year flood plain of South Fork Wolf Creek and public water connection for domestic and fire flow water supply for any use requiring water in excess of the previously approved plan for the site (SP82-004). In doing so, the Commission recommends that the Board of Supervisors makes the findings found in the attached Ordinance Amending Zoning District Map 052b (Attachment 4).; second by Commissioner Jensen. Motion carried on a voice vote 5/0.

Motion by Commissioner Duncan to approve the Biological Resources Management Plan (MGT15-020), subject to the Conditions of Approval and Mitigation Measures shown in Attachment 1, making Findings A and B pursuant to Nevada County Land Use and Development Code Section L-II 4.3.3.B; second by Commissioner Jensen. Motion carried on a voice vote 4/1.

**Motion by Commissioner Duncan** to approve the Floodplain Management Plan (MGT16-001) for encroachment into the 100-foot setback to the floodplain, subject to the Conditions of Approval and Mitigation Measures shown in Attachment 1, or as may be modified at hearing, making Findings A and B pursuant to Nevada County Land Use and Development Code Section L-II 4.3.3.B; **second by Commissioner Jensen. Motion carried on a voice vote 4/1.** 

Motion by Commissioner Duncan to approve the Petition for Exceptions (MI15-020) subject to the Conditions of Approval and Mitigation Measures shown in Attachment 1, making findings A through D pursuant to Nevada County Land Use and Development Code Sections L-IV 2.4 and 2.6 and L-XVII 3.12, and California Government Code Sec. 66474; second by Commissioner Jensen. Motion carried on a voice vote 5/0.

- Motion by Commissioner Duncan to the Map Amendment (AM14-001) subject to the
- Recording of an Amended Map or Certificate of Correction for Parcel 2 of PM3/222, pursuant to
- the Conditions of Approval and Mitigation Measures shown in Attachment 1, making Findings A
- through G pursuant to Land Use and Development Code Section L-IV 2.18.D; second by
- 445 Commissioner Jensen. Motion carried on a voice vote 5/0.

446

- Motion by Commissioner Duncan to approve the Development Permit application (DP15-006)
- to allow for the construction of an 8,750-square-foot warehouse, a fire protection water storage
- tank, parking overflow areas, lighting, and landscaping, subject to the Conditions of Approval
- and Mitigation Measures shown in Attachment 1, as may be modified at the public hearing,
- making Findings A through L pursuant to Nevada County Land Use and Development Code
- 452 Section L-II 5.6.G and 5.5.2.C.

453

- Director Foss asked for clarification of the changes. He understood the changes to be the flat
- paint and modification of the parking paving requirement.

456

- Commissioner Duncan said she was proposing that the Commission was in agreement on the
- 458 modifications as discussed, including roof color, but she did not recall additional parking
- 459 modifications.

460

Chair Aguilar clarified the paving issue.

462

Commissioner Duncan if that was a change to what was included.

464

Planner Barrington said there was no specific condition on paving and noted staff's indications.

466

Commissioner Duncan asked if that was included in the original report.

468

Planner Barrington said correct, there was not a need to modify that condition.

470

Commissioner Duncan said the one modification is regarding the paint.

472

Planner Barrington said yes, unless there is a modification to increase the parking space requirement.

475

Commissioner Jensen said that the overflow parking is offered but not required.

476 477

Chair Aguilar suggested that Commissioner Duncan withdraw the motion.

479

480 Commissioner Duncan withdrew her motion.

481

Chair Aguilar suggested that the Commission and staff discuss parking.

483

Planner Barrington discussed the minimum requirement and what the site plan shows.

485

- Commissioner Duncan said the applicant exceeded the required amount and therefore no
- modifications are necessary.

488

Commissioner Jensen said to go ahead with the motion.

Commissioner James wondered if it would be appropriate to require employees to park onsite. Chair Aguilar asked to hear from the applicant. Ray Byers said he doesn't like requirements because then things become mandated. The goal is to have everybody onsite. He asked if this is required of all businesses. Chair Aguilar discussed requirements within the cities of Grass Valley and Nevada City. Generally, there aren't requirements that require onsite parking in the county. Mr. Byers said that's his question. Chair Aguilar said generally if there is plenty of parking, people want to park closer to the building. Mr. Byers said he doesn't like requirements on his employees' parking. He stated that there is an easement through his property to access Mr. Powell's property. Chair Aguilar asked if it was Mr. Byers' property. Mr. Byers said a piece of his property abuts it and it is an easement that goes through his property to the other two homes. Chair Aguilar again clarified that it was Mr. Byers' property. Mr. Byers said he has had it surveyed. Chair Aguilar clarified that it was on the deed. Mr. Byers answered that it has been surveyed. Commissioner Duncan stated that Slow Poke Lane is owned by the applicant. Mr. Byers said yes. Commissioner Duncan said the cars don't impede access to the other properties. This is the applicant's employees parking on their own site. Chair Aguilar said he knows where she is going. Commissioner James withdrew his suggestion. Chair Aguilar asked to continue and he and Commissioner Duncan clarified which motion they were on. 

Commissioner Duncan said discussed being good neighbors. The issue for her is that Slow Poke

2016-07-28 Draft PC Meeting Minutes -11-

Lane is part of the site.

- **Motion by Commissioner Duncan** to approve the Development Permit application (DP15-006) 539 to allow for the construction of an 8,750-square-foot warehouse, a fire protection water storage 540 tank, parking overflow areas, lighting, and landscaping, subject to the Conditions of Approval 541 and Mitigation Measures shown in Attachment 1, as modified at the public hearing, making 542 Findings A through L pursuant to Nevada County Land Use and Development Code Section L-II 543
- 5.6.G and 5.5.2.C; second by Commissioner Jensen. Motion carried on a voice vote 5/0. 544

545 546 Chair Aguilar said there is a 10 day appeal period on these actions.

Deputy County Counsel Rhetta VanderPloeg noted that on the motion that was just made, 548 Commissioner Duncan did not include the action of having the flat paint in the record. 549

Commissioner Duncan noted she had said "as modified at the hearing." She asked if that was 551 552 sufficient.

Counsel VanderPloeg said the Commission had discussed several modifications but the only one Commissioner Duncan was speaking to was the flat paint. She suggested withdrawing the motion and taking it again, making it clear for the record that only one modification was being agreed to.

Chair Aguilar asked how to withdraw the last motion.

Counsel VanderPloeg said the Commission can say the motion is being withdrawn to make a clarification for the record and that the motion will be renewed with clarification.

Chair Aguilar said on the last motion—

547

550

553

554

555

556 557

558 559

560

561 562

563 564

565 566

568

570

571

572 573

574

575

576

577

578

579

581

583

585

Counsel VanderPloeg said to vacate it.

Commissioner Duncan asked if they need a vote to withdraw the motion. 567

Counsel VanderPloeg suggested withdrawing the motion with a roll call vote. 569

Motion by Commissioner Duncan to withdraw motion seven; second by Commissioner Jensen. Motion carried on voice vote 5/0.

**Motion by Commissioner Duncan** to approve the Development Permit application (DP15-006) to allow for the construction of an 8,750-square-foot warehouse, a fire protection water storage tank, parking overflow areas, lighting, and landscaping, subject to the Conditions of Approval and Mitigation Measures shown in Attachment 1, as modified at this public hearing regarding the flat paint color for the roof, making Findings A through L pursuant to Nevada County Land Use and Development Code Section L-II 5.6.G and 5.5.2.C; second by Commissioner Jensen.

Motion carried on a voice vote 5/0. 580

Chair Aguilar said there is a 10 day appeal period. 582

Discussion ensued regarding upcoming Commission meetings. 584

Motion by Commissioner Duncan; second by Commissioner Jensen to adjourn. Motion 586 carried on voice vote 5/0. 587

588								
589	There being no further business to come before the Commission, the meeting was adjourned at							
590	3:19 p.m. to the next mee	eting tentativ	vely scheduled for August 25, 2016, in the Town of					
591	Truckee Council Chamber	S.						
592								
593								
594	Passed and accepted this	day of	, 2016.					
595								
596								
597	Brian Foss, Ex-Officio Secre	etary						

