

Tine Mathiasen

From: Glenn Christ [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, November 2, 2023 9:43 AM
To: Tine Mathiasen
Cc: Clerk of Board
Subject: Formal objection to misrepresentations and omissions regarding my appeal to the Board

CAUTION: This email is from an external sender. If you are not expecting this email or don't recognize the sender, consider deleting.

Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe. If you have more questions search for Cybersecurity Awareness on the County InfoNet.

Thank you for your response. I do appreciate your time. However, this will constitute my formal objection to the incorrect description of my appeal to the Board as stated in the "Notice of Public Hearing," which is then directly followed by a recommendation of denial of my appeal which has just been misrepresented, incorrectly characterized and had half of the appeal request completely omitted. Exactly what is supposed to be denied here when the description of my appeal is being completely misrepresented?

I am requesting that both this email and the first email that I sent to you yesterday on this subject be submitted to the Board as part of this formal objection.

The Board's application form that is to be filled out by an appellant requests of the appellant at #IV a "Statement of Action Requested of the Board of Supervisors". My answer to this question constitutes what I am asking of the board. Nowhere in this answer am I specifically requesting that the Board "retroactively apply the automatic extension". I am asking that the Board exercise its power in whatever manner it decides to **REMEDiate** the unnecessary loss to my tentative map of the extra 18 months due to the failure of the Planning Department to inform appellant that it was readily available at the time to be applied to my map. The definition of **REMEDiate** is to "**provide a remedy for, redress or make right**".

My second request in this formal application to the board at #IV has been completely ignored and omitted from the public notice just as it has been repeatedly completely ignored by the Planning Department each time it has been formally presented to the Department. It requests of the Board that, because the Planning Department has chosen not to respond in any way to this request, that the Board step in and simply acknowledge that the State Automatic Covid Extension was, in fact, fully applied to my map back on Sept. 28, 2020 based upon the timeline that has been repeatedly presented and is again detailed at Page #6 of my Appeal application.

I won't repeat again my concern over the moratorium mischaracterization as I have described it correctly in my last email.

Please submit these two emails objecting to the mischaracterizations and omissions regarding my appeal to the Board.

Thank you,

Glenn Christ