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## Facility Design

- 129-foot tall faux pine tree
- 900-square foot lease area
- Surrounded by 8 -foot chain link fence with slats
- Standby generator for emergency electrical power
- No tree removal needed or proposed



## Photosimulations

## Looking southwest from Dog Bar Road



Confidential and proprietary materials for authorized Verizon personnel and outside agencies only Use, disclosure or distribution of this material is not permitted to any unauthorized persons or third

## Photosimulations

## Looking southwest

 from Dog Bar Road

Confidential and proprietary materials for authorized Verizon personnel and outside agencies only Use, disclosure or distribution of this material is not permitted to any unauthorized persons or third

## Photosimulations

Looking west from Dog Bar Road
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## Photosimulations

Looking northwest from Dog Bar Road
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## Complies with FCC Guidelines

- Engineer with Dtech Communications confirmed that radio frequency exposure will be less than FCC general population limit
. $0.4 \%$ at ground level
- Denial based on RF exposure concerns preempted by federal law (47 U.S.C. § 332(c)(7)(B)(iv))
4.3 Statement of Compliance

Based on the above results, analysis and recommendation(s), it is the undersigned's professional opinion that Verizon's site is compliant with the FCC's RF Safery Guidelines.
4.4 Engineer Certification

This report has been prepared by or under the direction of the following Registered Professional Engineer: Darang Tech, holding California registration number 16000. I have revieved this report and believe it to be both true and accurate to the best of my knowledge.


## Service Gap

- Significant Gap in service coverage along Dog Bar Road near Feather Way
- Lack of in-building service in populated areas
- Lack of in-vehicle service along Dog Bar Road and other local roads


## Existing AWS Coverage Map



## Improved Service

- New in-building and in-vehicle coverage in vicinity
- New, reliable coverage to an area of 2.6 square miles where currently lacking


## After AWS Coverage Map



## verizon

## TrueCall Data Map

- Map based on network user data confirms service gap in areas along Dog Bar Road near Feather Way


## Dog Bar TrueCall Data Map
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## Best of 5 Alternatives

- Other locations discounted because of:
- Lack of proper function
- Inferior natural screening
- No other wireless carrier facilities for collocation identified within 2.2 miles



## Principal Factors to Discount Other 4 Alternatives

|  |  | Could Not Meet <br> Objective | Design Issues |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Amber Dog | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| 2 | Dog Dip | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| 3 | Dog Feather | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| 5 | Dog Hill | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |

## Complies with County Code

- Wireless facility allowed in AG-General Agricultural zone with conditional use permit
- Pine tree design conceals and camouflages antennas (§ L-II 3.8(E)(4))
- Placed between established trees to blend with surrounding forested environment (§ L-II 3.8(E)(1)(b))
- Space available on tower for collocation by another carrier, minimizing need for additional towers in area (§ L-II 3.8(G)(1))
- Satisfies use permit findings, including compatibility with surrounding uses, because facility is set back over 1:1 from closest property line and over 400 feet from Dog Bar Road (§ L-II 5.5.2(C))
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## Response to Appeal

## - OBJECTION: Appearance of facility

- Pine tree design and surrounding established trees minimize visual impact


## - OBJECTION: No need for facility

- Verizon Wireless provided coverage maps and network user data confirming a significant gap in service in area
- Need for a facility unrelated to use permit findings


## - OBJECTION: Impact on property values

- Not a factor in County Code for a wireless facility use permit
- Generally a proxy for concern over RF emissions, which is preempted because facility will comply with FCC exposure limits (47 U.S.C. § 332(c)(7)(b)(4))
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## Conclusion: Affirm Staff Recommendation

- New facility needed for reliable service and public safety in Dog Bar
- Complies with all County requirements for approval


