

## Julie Patterson-Hunter

---

**From:** Julie Patterson-Hunter  
**Sent:** Monday, July 27, 2020 12:22 PM  
**To:** All BOS Board Members  
**Subject:** FW: NO on URGENCY ORDIANCe

Dist 1

---

**From:** Randi Pratini <compostqueen1@earthlink.net>  
**Sent:** Monday, July 27, 2020 11:59 AM  
**To:** bdofsupervisors <bdofsupervisors@co.nevada.ca.us>  
**Subject:** NO on URGENCY ORDIANCe

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Supervisors Hall, Scofield, Miller, Hoek & Anderson:

**I am writing to adamantly oppose Item 37**, the temporary Urgency Ordinance related to enforcement of COVID-related health department orders. Given the urgency of this matter, I am editing a form letter that contains someone else's thoughts which I am in agreement with as well as some of my own.

There are many grounds upon which this is at best a **bad idea** and at worst an **unconstitutional power grab** by the governor and health department, **and thus by Nevada County**.

1. The Governor's emergency power in March was triggered by a need to prevent overloading our urgent care capabilities. That risk has long passed, and under the CA Constitution the Governor's emergency power has ended. **No such risk of overwhelming our care capacity currently exists.** Accurate data support this conclusion.
2. As a result, the Governor's recent orders are illegal and unenforceable, as are the orders of our Health Department, which has no new emergency authority than the Governor's and which is inadequate to force such draconian measures. No emergency exists.

3. Substantial scientific evidence proves that wearing cloth face masks does not protect the wearer. COVID virus droplets, which to survive must be surrounded by a lipid (fat), can easily pass through the cotton mask cloth or simply pass around the mask periphery, as these are not sealed respirator-type masks.

4. Cotton mask proponents falsely argue that if someone is an asymptomatic carrier of the virus (no one has actually ever been identified as being one) and they breathe or sneeze, the virus will not escape the mask. Just as the virus can pass into the mask, it can pass out. So, they offer little to no protection to anyone.

If one looks at those wearing masks, most of them do not fit correctly, allowing for air intake and out go through numerous locations; bridge of nose and sides.

5. Cotton masks are therefore useless to prevent the spread of the virus, and are nothing more than a "virtue signaling" ploy to self-proclaim as a "caring" individual.

6. Masks are not just merely a virtue symbol; they degrade the health of the wearer. Breathing one's CO<sub>2</sub> and bacteria-laden breath for long periods of time can actually cause health risks including degraded immunity capability--the exact opposite of what we as a society are striving for.

7. OSHA has strict regulations for PPE workplace use of masks and respirators to guard against these types of risks (CO<sub>2</sub> toxicity and bacteria ingestion), but these actual "safety" regulations have been illegally ignored in the current mass application of masking in the business workplace setting.

8. We have adopted the draconian CCP practice of forcing everyone to wear an ineffective mask, AS IF EVERYONE IS INFECTED as a way to blindly force obedience to power-hungry officials. What is the minuscule percentage of actually infected people in our county? Less than one percent (-0.1%). Yet, we are all being treated as infected criminals, commanded to kill our local businesses and to kow-tow to our elected "representatives" who serve solely with our consent, who are forcing dangerous measures with NO scientific support.

9. This insanity must be logically and realistically be addressed; there must be healthy dialog between health experts of both extremes (of which none of you nor the governor are), allowing for reasonable grounds to be met.

10. When will our Health Department enforce OSHA mask regulations to protect the health of workers and the public from excessive mask usage resulting in CO<sub>2</sub> toxicity and bacteria overload? The argument that

medical professionals suffer no adverse effects also is not supported. OSHA provides hospital and health professional that do wear masks for lengthy periods to be medically tested and extensive additional oxygen enhancing equipment provided.

11. When will our County Supervisors exercise appropriate control over staff and require independent investigation of legal, scientific and other appropriate considerations, as well as tailoring based upon local considerations?

12. PLEASE ACCEPT THIS AS NOTICE THAT Failure to vote NO on Item 37 and continued use of force, under color of law, upon individuals and businesses by county departments of these counter-scientific unwarranted un-Constitutional measures will most certainly expose the county and individuals therein to corporate and personal liability.

Sincerely,

Randi Pratini

Nevada County resident

## Julie Patterson-Hunter

---

**From:** Julie Patterson-Hunter  
**Sent:** Monday, July 27, 2020 8:39 AM  
**To:** Barbara Price  
**Subject:** FW: PLEASE VOTE NO ON MEASURE 37

Dist 1

---

**From:** Ed Scofield <Ed.Scofield@co.nevada.ca.us>  
**Sent:** Sunday, July 26, 2020 8:07 PM  
**To:** Julie Patterson-Hunter <Julie.Patterson-Hunter@co.nevada.ca.us>  
**Subject:** Fwd: PLEASE VOTE NO ON MEASURE 37

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** Bob Hren <bob\_hren@yahoo.com>  
**Date:** July 26, 2020 at 6:28:33 PM PDT  
**To:** Ed Scofield <Ed.Scofield@co.nevada.ca.us>  
**Subject:** Fw: **PLEASE VOTE NO ON MEASURE 37**

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Supervisor Ed Scofield,  
**I am writing to oppose Item 37**, the temporary Urgency Ordinance related to enforcement of COVID-related health department orders.

There are several grounds upon which this is at best a bad idea and at worst an unconstitutional power grab by the governor and our health department, and thus by our County.

1. The Governor's emergency power in March was triggered by a need to prevent overloading our urgent care capabilities. That risk has long passed, and under the CA Constitution the Governor's emergency power has ended. No such risk of overwhelming our care capacity exists now.
2. Therefore, his recent orders are illegal and unenforceable. As are the orders of our Health Department, which has no new emergency authority than the Governor possesses, which is inadequate to force such a draconian measure.
3. Wearing cloth face masks does not protect the wearer. COVID virus droplets, which to survive must be surrounded by a lipid (fat), can easily pass through the cotton mask cloth or simply pass around the mask periphery, as these are not sealed respirator-type masks.
4. Cotton mask proponents argue that if someone is an asymptomatic carrier of the virus (no one has actually ever been identified as being one) and they breathe or

sneeze, the virus will not escape the mask. Just as the virus can pass into the mask, it can pass out. So, they offer little to no protection to anyone.

5. Cotton masks are therefore useless to prevent the spread of the virus, and are nothing more than a "virtue signalling" ploy to self-proclaim as a "caring" individual. And a compliant member of the sheeple.

6. Masks are not just merely a virtue symbol, they degrade the health of the wearer. Breathing one's CO2 and bacteria-laden breath for long periods of time can actually cause health risks including degraded immunity capability--the exact opposite of what we as a society are striving for.

7. OSHA has strict regulations for workplace use of masks and respirators to guard against these types of risks (CO2 toxicity and bacteria ingestion), but these regulations have been illegally ignored in the current mass application of masking in the business workplace setting.

8. In Asian countries, often cited as examples for effective mask use, the masks have traditionally only been worn by INFECTED persons who venture out into society.

9. We have adopted the draconian CCP practice of forcing everyone to wear an ineffective mask, AS IF EVERYONE IS INFECTED as a way to blindly force obedience to power-hungry officials. What is the miniscule percentage of actually infected people in our county?? A VERY VERY low number. Yet we are all being treated as infected criminals, commanded to kill our local businesses and to kow-tow to "authority" with actually NO scientific basis.

10. When will this insanity end?

11. When will our Health Department enforce OSHA mask regulations to protect the health of workers and the public from excessive mask usage?

12. When will our County Supervisors exercise control over staff?

This is submitted by me as an individual, not in my position as Chairman of the NCRP.  
Bob Hren

## Julie Patterson-Hunter

---

**From:** Julie Patterson-Hunter  
**Sent:** Monday, July 27, 2020 8:55 AM  
**To:** Barbara Price  
**Subject:** FW: BOS Agenda, Tues. 7/28, Item 37

Dist 1

---

**From:** Susan Frisbie <nevadasieras@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, July 27, 2020 6:59 AM  
**To:** bdofsupervisors <bdofsupervisors@co.nevada.ca.us>; BOS Public Comment <BOS.PublicComment@co.nevada.ca.us>  
**Cc:** Heidi Hall <Heidi.Hall@co.nevada.ca.us>; Ed Scofield <Ed.Scofield@co.nevada.ca.us>; Dan Miller <Dan.Miller@co.nevada.ca.us>; Sue Hoek <Sue.Hoek@co.nevada.ca.us>; Richard Anderson <Richard.Anderson@co.nevada.ca.us>; Env.Health <Env.Health@co.nevada.ca.us>; Health Officer <Health.Officer@co.nevada.ca.us>; Kim Blix <Kim.Blix@co.nevada.ca.us>; Public Health <PublicHealth@co.nevada.ca.us>; Alex Gammelgard <agammelgard@gvpd.net>; Sheriff <Sheriff@co.nevada.ca.us>  
**Subject:** BOS Agenda, Tues. 7/28, Item 37

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Supervisors Hall, Scofield, Miller, Hoek & Anderson:

**I am writing to strongly oppose Item 37**, the temporary Urgency Ordinance related to enforcement of COVID-related health department orders.

There are several grounds upon which this is at best a bad idea and at worst an unconstitutional power grab by the governor and health department, and thus by Nevada County.

1. The Governor's emergency power in March was triggered by a need to prevent overloading our urgent care capabilities. That risk has long passed, and under the CA Constitution the Governor's emergency power has ended. No such risk of overwhelming our care capacity currently exists. Accurate data support this conclusion.
2. As a result, the Governor's recent orders are illegal and unenforceable, as are the orders of our Health Department, which has no new emergency authority than the Governor's and which is inadequate to force such draconian measures. No emergency exists.
3. Substantial scientific evidence proves that wearing cloth face masks does not protect the wearer. COVID virus droplets, which to survive must be surrounded by a lipid (fat), can easily pass through the cotton mask cloth or simply pass around the mask periphery, as these are not sealed respirator-type masks.
4. Cotton mask proponents falsely argue that if someone is an asymptomatic carrier of the virus (no one has actually ever been identified as being one) and they breathe or sneeze, the virus will not

escape the mask. Just as the virus can pass into the mask, it can pass out. So, they offer little to no protection to anyone.

5. Cotton masks are therefore useless to prevent the spread of the virus, and are nothing more than a "virtue signalling" ploy to self-proclaim as a "caring" individual. And a compliant member of the sheeple.
6. Masks are not just merely a virtue symbol, they degrade the health of the wearer. Breathing one's CO2 and bacteria-laden breath for long periods of time can actually cause health risks including degraded immunity capability--the exact opposite of what we as a society are striving for.
7. OSHA has strict regulations for PPE workplace use of masks and respirators to guard against these types of risks (CO2 toxicity and bacteria ingestion), but these actual "safety" regulations have been illegally ignored in the current mass application of masking in the business workplace setting.
8. We have adopted the draconian CCP practice of forcing everyone to wear an ineffective mask, AS IF EVERYONE IS INFECTED as a way to blindly force obedience to power-hungry officials. What is the miniscule percentage of actually infected people in our county? Less than one percent (-0.1%). Yet, we are all being treated as infected criminals, commanded to kill our local businesses and to kow-tow to our elected "representatives" who serve solely with our consent, who are forcing dangerous measures with NO scientific support.
9. When will this insanity end?
10. When will our Health Department enforce OSHA mask regulations to protect the health of workers and the public from excessive mask usage resulting in CO2 toxicity and bacteria overload? The argument that medical professionals suffer no adverse effects also is not supported. OSHA provides hospital and health professional that do wear masks for lengthy periods to be medically tested and extensive additional oxygen enhancing equipment provided.
11. When will our County Supervisors exercise appropriate control over staff and require independent investigation of legal, scientific and other appropriate considerations, as well as tailoring based upon local considerations?
12. PLEASE ACCEPT THIS AS NOTICE THAT Failure to vote no on Item 37 and continued use of force, under color of law, upon individuals and businesses by county departments of these counter-scientific unwarranted un-Constitutional measures will most certainly expose the county and individuals therein to corporate and personal liability.

Sincerely,

Susan Frisbie

"Those who would give up essential liberty to purchase a little temporary safety deserve neither liberty or safety." - Benjamin Franklin

## Julie Patterson-Hunter

---

**From:** Julie Patterson-Hunter  
**Sent:** Monday, July 27, 2020 9:12 AM  
**To:** All BOS Board Members  
**Subject:** FW: BOS Agenda, Tues. 7/28, Item 37

Either Dist 1 or Dist 2

---

**From:** Sue McGuire <suemcguire.law@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, July 27, 2020 12:08 AM  
**To:** bdofsupervisors <bdofsupervisors@co.nevada.ca.us>; BOS Public Comment <BOS.PublicComment@co.nevada.ca.us>  
**Cc:** Dan Miller <Dan.Miller@co.nevada.ca.us>; Heidi Hall <Heidi.Hall@co.nevada.ca.us>; Sue Hoek <Sue.Hoek@co.nevada.ca.us>; Ed Scofield <Ed.Scofield@co.nevada.ca.us>; Richard Anderson <Richard.Anderson@co.nevada.ca.us>  
**Subject:** BOS Agenda, Tues. 7/28, Item 37

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Supervisors Hall, Scofield, Miller, Hoek & Anderson:

**I am writing to strongly oppose Item 37**, the temporary Urgency Ordinance related to enforcement of COVID-related health department orders.

There are several grounds upon which this is at best a bad idea and at worst an unconstitutional power grab by the governor and health department, and thus by Nevada County.

1. The Governor's emergency power in March was triggered by a need to prevent overloading our urgent care capabilities. That risk has long passed, and under the CA Constitution the Governor's emergency power has ended. No such risk of overwhelming our care capacity currently exists. Accurate data support this conclusion.
2. As a result, the Governor's recent orders are illegal and unenforceable, as are the orders of our Health Department, which has no new emergency authority than the Governor's and which is inadequate to force such draconian measures. No emergency exists.
3. Substantial scientific evidence proves that wearing cloth face masks does not protect the wearer. COVID virus droplets, which to survive must be surrounded by a lipid (fat), can easily pass through the cotton mask cloth or simply pass around the mask periphery, as these are not sealed respirator-type masks.
4. Cotton mask proponents falsely argue that if someone is an asymptomatic carrier of the virus (no one has actually ever been identified as being one) and they breathe or sneeze, the virus will not escape the mask. Just as the virus can pass into the mask, it can pass out. So, they offer little to no protection to anyone.



5. Cotton masks are therefore useless to prevent the spread of the virus, and are nothing more than a "virtue signalling" ploy to self-proclaim as a "caring" individual. And a compliant member of the sheeple.
6. Masks are not just merely a virtue symbol, they degrade the health of the wearer. Breathing one's CO2 and bacteria-laden breath for long periods of time can actually cause health risks including degraded immunity capability--the exact opposite of what we as a society are striving for.
7. OSHA has strict regulations for PPE workplace use of masks and respirators to guard against these types of risks (CO2 toxicity and bacteria ingestion), but these actual "safety" regulations have been illegally ignored in the current mass application of masking in the business workplace setting.
8. We have adopted the draconian CCP practice of forcing everyone to wear an ineffective mask, AS IF EVERYONE IS INFECTED as a way to blindly force obedience to power-hungry officials. What is the miniscule percentage of actually infected people in our county? Less than one percent (-0.1%). Yet, we are all being treated as infected criminals, commanded to kill our local businesses and to kow-tow to our elected "representatives" who serve solely with our consent, who are forcing dangerous measures with NO scientific support.
9. When will this insanity end?
10. When will our Health Department enforce OSHA mask regulations to protect the health of workers and the public from excessive mask usage resulting in CO2 toxicity and bacteria overload? The argument that medical professionals suffer no adverse effects also is not supported. OSHA provides hospital and health professional that do wear masks for lengthy periods to be medically tested and extensive additional oxygen enhancing equipment provided.
11. When will our County Supervisors exercise appropriate control over staff and require independent investigation of legal, scientific and other appropriate considerations, as well as tailoring based upon local considerations?
12. PLEASE ACCEPT THIS AS NOTICE THAT Failure to vote no on Item 37 and continued use of force, under color of law, upon individuals and businesses by county departments of these counter-scientific unwarranted un-Constitutional measures will most certainly expose the county and individuals therein to corporate and personal liability.

Sincerely,

Susan Kay McGuire  
Attorney at Law  
P. O. Box 1715  
Nevada City, CA. 95959-1715

"In the beginning of change, the patriot is a scarce man, and brave and hated and scorned. When his cause succeeds, the timid join him, for then it costs nothing to be a patriot." *Mark Twain, 1904*

## Julie Patterson-Hunter

---

**From:** Julie Patterson-Hunter  
**Sent:** Monday, July 27, 2020 4:19 PM  
**To:** All BOS Board Members  
**Subject:** FW: NO on Item 37

Dist 3

*Julie Patterson Hunter, CCB*  
Clerk of the Board

---

**From:** Clarita Nolan <claritanolan@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, July 27, 2020 4:08 PM  
**To:** bdofsupervisors <bdofsupervisors@co.nevada.ca.us>  
**Subject:** NO on Item 37

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Supervisors Hall, Scofield, Miller, Hoek & Anderson:

**I am writing to strongly oppose Item 37**, the temporary Urgency Ordinance related to enforcement of COVID-related health department orders.

There are several grounds upon which this is at best a bad idea and at worst an unconstitutional power grab by the governor and health department, and thus by Nevada County.

1. The Governor's emergency power in March was triggered by a need to prevent overloading our urgent care capabilities. That risk has long passed, and under the CA Constitution the Governor's emergency power has ended. No such risk of overwhelming our care capacity currently exists. Accurate data support this conclusion.
2. As a result, the Governor's recent orders are illegal and unenforceable, as are the orders of our Health Department, which has no new emergency authority than the Governor's and which is inadequate to force such draconian measures. No emergency exists.
3. Substantial scientific evidence proves that wearing cloth face masks does not protect the wearer. COVID virus droplets, which to survive must be surrounded by a lipid (fat), can easily pass through the cotton mask cloth or simply pass around the mask periphery, as these are not sealed respirator-type masks.
4. Cotton mask proponents falsely argue that if someone is an asymptomatic carrier of the virus (no one has actually ever been identified as being one) and they breathe or sneeze, the virus will not escape the mask. Just as the virus can pass into the mask, it can pass out. So, they offer little to no protection to anyone.
5. Cotton masks are therefore useless to prevent the spread of the virus, and are nothing more than a "virtue signaling" ploy to self-proclaim as a "caring" individual. And a compliant member of the sheeple.

6. Masks are not just merely a virtue symbol; they degrade the health of the wearer. Breathing one's CO2 and bacteria-laden breath for long periods of time can actually cause health risks including degraded immunity capability--the exact opposite of what we as a society are striving for.

7. OSHA has strict regulations for PPE workplace use of masks and respirators to guard against these types of risks (CO2 toxicity and bacteria ingestion), but these actual "safety" regulations have been illegally ignored in the current mass application of masking in the business workplace setting.

8. We have adopted the draconian CCP practice of forcing everyone to wear an ineffective mask, AS IF EVERYONE IS INFECTED as a way to blindly force obedience to power-hungry officials. What is the miniscule percentage of actually infected people in our county? Less than one percent (-0.1%). Yet, we are all being treated as infected criminals, commanded to kill our local businesses and to kow-tow to our elected "representatives" who serve solely with our consent, who are forcing dangerous measures with NO scientific support.

9. When will this insanity end?

10. When will our Health Department enforce OSHA mask regulations to protect the health of workers and the public from excessive mask usage resulting in CO2 toxicity and bacteria overload? The argument that medical professionals suffer no adverse effects also is not supported. OSHA provides hospital and health professional that do wear masks for lengthy periods to be medically tested and extensive additional oxygen enhancing equipment provided.

11. When will our County Supervisors exercise appropriate control over staff and require independent investigation of legal, scientific and other appropriate considerations, as well as tailoring based upon local considerations?

12. PLEASE ACCEPT THIS AS NOTICE THAT Failure to vote NO on Item 37 and continued use of force, under color of law, upon individuals and businesses by county departments of these counter-scientific unwarranted un-Constitutional measures will most certainly expose the county and individuals therein to corporate and personal liability.

Sincerely,

Clarita Nolan  
212 Colfax Ave  
Grass Valley, CA 95945

Dst4

**Julie Patterson-Hunter**

---

**From:** James Driver <jimdriver@theunion.net>  
**Sent:** Monday, July 27, 2020 12:23 PM  
**To:** bdofsupervisors; BOS Public Comment  
**Cc:** Dan Miller; Heidi Hall; Sue Hoek; Ed Scofield; Richard Anderson  
**Subject:** BOS Agenda, Tues. 7/28, Item 37  
**Attachments:** NC BOS Item 37.pdf

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

**From:** James Driver <jimdriver@theunion.net>

**Date:** July 27, 2020

**To:** [bdofsupervisors@co.nevada.ca.us](mailto:bdofsupervisors@co.nevada.ca.us), [bos.publiccomment@co.nevada.ca.us](mailto:bos.publiccomment@co.nevada.ca.us) **Cc:** Dan Miller <[dan.miller@co.nevada.ca.us](mailto:dan.miller@co.nevada.ca.us)>, [Heidi.Hall@co.nevada.ca.us](mailto:Heidi.Hall@co.nevada.ca.us), [Sue.Hoek@co.nevada.ca.us](mailto:Sue.Hoek@co.nevada.ca.us), Scofield Ed <[ed.scofield@co.nevada.ca.us](mailto:ed.scofield@co.nevada.ca.us)>, Anderson Richard <[richard.anderson@co.nevada.ca.us](mailto:richard.anderson@co.nevada.ca.us)>

**Subject:** BOS Agenda, Tues. 7/28, Item 37

Dear Supervisors Hall, Scofield, Miller, Hoek & Anderson:

**I am writing to strongly oppose Item 37**, the temporary Urgency Ordinance related to enforcement of COVID-related health department orders.

There are several grounds upon which this is at best a bad idea and at worst an unconstitutional power grab by the governor and health department, and thus by Nevada County.

1. The Governor's emergency power in March was triggered by a need to prevent overloading our urgent care capabilities. That risk has long passed, and under the CA Constitution the Governor's emergency power has ended. No such risk of overwhelming our care capacity currently exists. Accurate data support this conclusion.
2. As a result, the Governor's recent orders are illegal and unenforceable, as are the orders of our Health Department, which has no new emergency authority than the Governor's and which is inadequate to force such draconian measures. No emergency exists.
3. Substantial scientific evidence proves that wearing cloth face masks does not protect the wearer. COVID virus droplets, which to survive must be surrounded by a lipid (fat), can easily pass through the cotton mask cloth or simply pass around the mask periphery, as these are not sealed respirator-type masks.

***Furthermore, masks do not protect your eyes. In fact, the exposed eye is the most easily infected part of the face! To actually protect the eyes, a person would need to wear tight fitting goggles***

***If you believe that face masks must be mandatory, then you must also believe that goggles to protect the eyes must be mandated!***

4. Cotton mask proponents falsely argue that if someone is an asymptomatic carrier of the virus (no one has actually ever been identified as being one) and they breathe or sneeze, the virus will not escape the mask. Just as the virus can pass into the mask, it can pass out. So, they offer little to no protection to anyone.
5. Cotton masks are therefore useless to prevent the spread of the virus, and are nothing more than a "virtue signalling" ploy to self-proclaim as a "caring" individual.
6. Masks are not just merely a virtue symbol, they degrade the health of the wearer. Breathing one's CO2 and bacteria-laden breath for long periods of time can actually cause health risks including degraded immunity capability--the exact opposite of what we as a society are striving for.
7. OSHA has strict regulations for PPE workplace use of masks and respirators to guard against these types of risks (CO2 toxicity and bacteria ingestion), but these actual "safety" regulations have been illegally ignored in the current mass application of masking in the business workplace setting.
8. We have adopted the draconian CCP practice of forcing everyone to wear an ineffective mask, AS IF EVERYONE IS INFECTED as a way to blindly force obedience to power-hungry officials. What is the miniscule percentage of actually infected people in our county? Less than one percent (-0.1%). Yet, we are all being treated as infected criminals, commanded to kill our local businesses and to kow-tow to our elected "representatives" who serve solely with our consent, who are forcing dangerous measures with NO scientific support.
9. When will this insanity end?
10. When will our Health Department enforce OSHA mask regulations to protect the health of workers and the public from excessive mask usage resulting in CO2 toxicity and bacteria overload? The argument that medical professionals suffer no adverse effects also is not supported. OSHA provides hospital and health professional that do wear masks for lengthy periods to be medically tested and extensive additional oxygen enhancing equipment provided.
11. When will our County Supervisors exercise appropriate control over staff and require independent investigation of legal, scientific and other appropriate considerations, as well as tailoring based upon local considerations?
12. PLEASE ACCEPT THIS AS NOTICE THAT Failure to vote no on Item 37 and continued use of force, under the color of law, upon individuals and businesses by county departments of these counter-scientific unwarranted un-Constitutional measures will most certainly expose the county and individuals therein to corporate and personal liability.

Sincerely,

James Driver

P. O. Box 1411

Rough And Ready, CA. 95975



Virus-free. [www.avg.com](http://www.avg.com)

**From:** James Driver <jimdriver@theunion.net>

**Date:** July 27, 2020

**To:** bdfsupervisors@co.nevada.ca.us, bos.publiccomment@co.nevada.ca.us **Cc:** Dan Miller <dan.miller@co.nevada.ca.us>, Heidi.Hall@co.nevada.ca.us, Sue.Hoek@co.nevada.ca.us, Scofield Ed <ed.scofield@co.nevada.ca.us>, Anderson Richard <richard.anderson@co.nevada.ca.us>

**Subject: BOS Agenda, Tues. 7/28, Item 37**

Dear Supervisors Hall, Scofield, Miller, Hoek & Anderson:

**I am writing to strongly oppose Item 37**, the temporary Urgency Ordinance related to enforcement of COVID-related health department orders.

There are several grounds upon which this is at best a bad idea and at worst an unconstitutional power grab by the governor and health department, and thus by Nevada County.

1. The Governor's emergency power in March was triggered by a need to prevent overloading our urgent care capabilities. That risk has long passed, and under the CA Constitution the Governor's emergency power has ended. No such risk of overwhelming our care capacity currently exists. Accurate data support this conclusion.
2. As a result, the Governor's recent orders are illegal and unenforceable, as are the orders of our Health Department, which has no new emergency authority than the Governor's and which is inadequate to force such draconian measures. No emergency exists.
3. Substantial scientific evidence proves that wearing cloth face masks does not protect the wearer. COVID virus droplets, which to survive must be surrounded by a lipid (fat), can easily pass through the cotton mask cloth or simply pass around the mask periphery, as these are not sealed respirator-type masks.

*Furthermore, masks do not protect your eyes. In fact, the exposed eye is the most easily infected part of the face! To actually protect the eyes, a person would need to wear tight fitting goggles*

*If you believe that face masks must be mandatory, then you must also believe that goggles to protect the eyes must be mandated!*

4. Cotton mask proponents falsely argue that if someone is an asymptomatic carrier of the virus (no one has actually ever been identified as being one) and they breathe or sneeze, the virus will not escape the mask. Just as the virus can pass into the mask, it can pass out. So, they offer little to no protection to anyone.
5. Cotton masks are therefore useless to prevent the spread of the virus, and are nothing more than a "virtue signalling" ploy to self-proclaim as a "caring" individual.
6. Masks are not just merely a virtue symbol, they degrade the health of the wearer. Breathing one's CO2 and bacteria-laden breath for long periods of time can actually cause health risks including degraded immunity capability--the exact opposite of what we as a society are striving for.
7. OSHA has strict regulations for PPE workplace use of masks and respirators to guard against these types of risks (CO2 toxicity and bacteria ingestion), but these actual "safety" regulations

have been illegally ignored in the current mass application of masking in the business workplace setting.

8. We have adopted the draconian CCP practice of forcing everyone to wear an ineffective mask, AS IF EVERYONE IS INFECTED as a way to blindly force obedience to power-hungry officials. What is the miniscule percentage of actually infected people in our county? Less than one percent (-0.1%). Yet, we are all being treated as infected criminals, commanded to kill our local businesses and to kow-tow to our elected "representatives" who serve solely with our consent, who are forcing dangerous measures with NO scientific support.

9. When will this insanity end?

10. When will our Health Department enforce OSHA mask regulations to protect the health of workers and the public from excessive mask usage resulting in CO2 toxicity and bacteria overload? The argument that medical professionals suffer no adverse effects also is not supported. OSHA provides hospital and health professional that do wear masks for lengthy periods to be medically tested and extensive additional oxygen enhancing equipment provided.

11. When will our County Supervisors exercise appropriate control over staff and require independent investigation of legal, scientific and other appropriate considerations, as well as tailoring based upon local considerations?

12. PLEASE ACCEPT THIS AS NOTICE THAT Failure to vote no on Item 37 and continued use of force, under the color of law, upon individuals and businesses by county departments of these counter-scientific unwarranted un-Constitutional measures will most certainly expose the county and individuals therein to corporate and personal liability.

Sincerely,

James Driver

P. O. Box 1411

Rough And Ready, CA. 95975

Dst+4

**Julie Patterson-Hunter**

---

**From:** Julie Patterson-Hunter  
**Sent:** Monday, July 27, 2020 3:20 PM  
**To:** Clerk of Board  
**Subject:** FW: BOS Agenda, Tues. 7/28, Item 37

**From:** Mark DeMartini <mademartini@att.net>  
**Sent:** Monday, July 27, 2020 3:15 PM  
**To:** bdofsupervisors <bdofsupervisors@co.nevada.ca.us>; BOS Public Comment <BOS.PublicComment@co.nevada.ca.us>; Dan Miller <Dan.Miller@co.nevada.ca.us>; Heidi Hall <Heidi.Hall@co.nevada.ca.us>; Sue Hoek <Sue.Hoek@co.nevada.ca.us>; Ed Scofield <Ed.Scofield@co.nevada.ca.us>; Richard Anderson <Richard.Anderson@co.nevada.ca.us>  
**Subject:** BOS Agenda, Tues. 7/28, Item 37

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

**I am writing to strongly oppose Item 37**, the temporary Urgency Ordinance related to enforcement of COVID-related health department orders.

There are several grounds upon which this is at best a bad idea and at worst an unconstitutional power grab by the governor and health department, and thus by Nevada County.

1. The Governor's emergency power in March was triggered by a need to prevent overloading our urgent care capabilities. That risk has long passed, and under the CA Constitution the Governor's emergency power has ended. No such risk of overwhelming our care capacity currently exists. Accurate data support this conclusion.

2. As a result, the Governor's recent orders are illegal and unenforceable, as are the orders of our Health Department, which has no new emergency authority than the Governor's and which is inadequate to force such draconian measures. No emergency exists.

3. Substantial scientific evidence proves that wearing cloth face masks does not protect the wearer. COVID virus droplets, which to survive must be surrounded by a lipid (fat), can easily pass through the cotton mask cloth or simply pass around the mask periphery, as these are not sealed respirator-type masks.



4. Cotton mask proponents falsely argue that if someone is an asymptomatic carrier of the virus (no one has actually ever been identified as being one) and they breathe or sneeze, the virus will not escape the mask. Just as the virus can pass into the mask, it can pass out. So, they offer little to no protection to anyone.

5. Cotton masks are therefore useless to prevent the spread of the virus, and are nothing more than a "virtue signalling" ploy to self-proclaim as a "caring" individual. And a compliant member of the sheeple.

6. Masks are not just merely a virtue symbol, they degrade the health of the wearer. Breathing one's CO<sub>2</sub> and bacteria-laden breath for long periods of time can actually cause health risks including degraded immunity capability--the exact opposite of what we as a society are striving for.

7. OSHA has strict regulations for PPE workplace use of masks and respirators to guard against these types of risks (CO<sub>2</sub> toxicity and bacteria ingestion), but these actual "safety" regulations have been illegally ignored in the current mass application of masking in the business workplace setting.

8. We have adopted the draconian CCP practice of forcing everyone to wear an ineffective mask, AS IF EVERYONE IS INFECTED as a way to blindly force obedience to power-hungry officials. What is the miniscule percentage of actually infected people in our county? Less than one percent (-0.1%). Yet, we are all being treated as infected criminals, commanded to kill our local businesses and to kow-tow to our elected "representatives" who serve solely with our consent, who are forcing dangerous measures with NO scientific support.

9. When will this insanity end?

10. When will our Health Department enforce OSHA mask regulations to protect the health of workers and the public from excessive mask usage resulting in CO<sub>2</sub> toxicity and bacteria overload? The argument that medical professionals suffer no adverse effects also is not supported. OSHA provides hospital and health professional that do wear masks for lengthy periods to be medically tested and extensive additional oxygen enhancing equipment provided.

11. When will our County Supervisors exercise appropriate control over staff and require independent investigation of legal, scientific and other appropriate considerations, as well as tailoring based upon local considerations?

12. PLEASE ACCEPT THIS AS NOTICE THAT Failure to vote no on Item 37 and continued use of force, under color of law, upon individuals and businesses by county departments of these counter-scientific unwarranted un-Constitutional measures will most certainly expose the county and individuals therein to corporate and personal liability.

## Julie Patterson-Hunter

---

**From:** Julie Patterson-Hunter  
**Sent:** Monday, July 27, 2020 8:46 AM  
**To:** All BOS Board Members  
**Cc:** Barbara Price  
**Subject:** FW: Item 37 to be voted on July 28th

Dist 4

---

**From:** Barie Duffin <barieduffin@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, July 27, 2020 6:15 AM  
**To:** bdofsupervisors <bdofsupervisors@co.nevada.ca.us>  
**Subject:** Item 37 to be voted on July 28th

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to oppose Item 37, the temporary Urgency Ordinance related to enforcement of COVID-related health department orders.

There are several grounds upon which this is at best a bad idea and at worst an unconstitutional power grab by the governor and our health department, and thus by our County.

1. The Governor's emergency power in March was triggered by a need to prevent overloading our urgent care capabilities. That risk has long passed, and under the CA Constitution the Governor's emergency power has ended. No such risk of overwhelming our care capacity exists now.
2. Therefore, his recent orders are illegal and unenforceable. As are the orders of our Health Department, which has no new emergency authority than the Governor possesses, which is inadequate to force such a draconian measure.
3. Wearing cloth face masks does not protect the wearer. COVID virus droplets, which to survive must be surrounded by a lipid (fat), can easily pass through the cotton mask cloth or simply pass around the mask periphery, as these are not sealed respirator-type masks.
4. Cotton mask proponents falsely argue that if someone is an asymptomatic carrier of the virus (no one has actually ever been identified as being one) and they breathe or sneeze, the virus will not escape the mask. Just as the virus can pass into the mask, it can pass out. So, they offer little to no protection to anyone.
5. Cotton masks are therefore useless to prevent the spread of the virus, and are nothing more than a "virtue signalling" ploy to self-proclaim as a "caring" individual. And a compliant member of the sheeple.
6. Masks are not just merely a virtue symbol, they degrade the health of the wearer. Breathing one's CO2 and bacteria-laden breath for long periods of time can actually cause health risks including degraded immunity capability--the exact opposite of what we as a society are striving for.

7. OSHA has strict regulations for workplace use of masks and respirators to guard against these types of risks (CO2 toxicity and bacteria ingestion), but these regulations have been illegally ignored in the current mass application of masking in the business workplace setting.

8. In Asian countries, often cited as examples for effective mask use, the masks have traditionally only been worn by INFECTED persons who venture out into society.

9. We have adopted the draconian CCP practice of forcing everyone to wear an ineffective mask, AS IF EVERYONE IS INFECTED as a way to blindly force obedience to power-hungry officials. What is the miniscule percentage of actually infected people in our county?? A VERY VERY low number. Yet we are all being treated as infected criminals, commanded to kill our local businesses and to kow-tow to to "authority" with actually NO scientific basis.

10. When will this insanity end?

11. When will our Health Department enforce OSHA mask regulations to protect the health of workers and the public from excessive mask usage resulting in CO2 toxicity and bacteria overload?

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Barie Duffin  
Penn Valley, CA

Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android

## Julie Patterson-Hunter

---

**From:** Julie Patterson-Hunter  
**Sent:** Monday, July 27, 2020 12:35 PM  
**To:** Barbara Price  
**Subject:** FW: BOS Agenda, Tues. 7/28, Item 37  
**Attachments:** NC BOS Item 37.pdf

Dist 4

*Julie Patterson Hunter, CCB*  
Clerk of the Board

---

**From:** Ed Scofield <Ed.Scofield@co.nevada.ca.us>  
**Sent:** Monday, July 27, 2020 12:26 PM  
**To:** Julie Patterson-Hunter <Julie.Patterson-Hunter@co.nevada.ca.us>  
**Subject:** FW: BOS Agenda, Tues. 7/28, Item 37

---

**From:** James Driver [mailto:jimdriver@theunion.net]  
**Sent:** Monday, July 27, 2020 12:23 PM  
**To:** bdofsupervisors <bdofsupervisors@co.nevada.ca.us>; BOS Public Comment <BOS.PublicComment@co.nevada.ca.us>  
**Cc:** Dan Miller <Dan.Miller@co.nevada.ca.us>; Heidi Hall <Heidi.Hall@co.nevada.ca.us>; Sue Hoek <Sue.Hoek@co.nevada.ca.us>; Ed Scofield <Ed.Scofield@co.nevada.ca.us>; Richard Anderson <Richard.Anderson@co.nevada.ca.us>  
**Subject:** BOS Agenda, Tues. 7/28, Item 37

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

**From:** James Driver <jimdriver@theunion.net>

**Date:** July 27, 2020

**To:** [bdofsupervisors@co.nevada.ca.us](mailto:bdofsupervisors@co.nevada.ca.us), [bos.publiccomment@co.nevada.ca.us](mailto:bos.publiccomment@co.nevada.ca.us) **Cc:** Dan Miller <[dan.miller@co.nevada.ca.us](mailto:dan.miller@co.nevada.ca.us)>, [Heidi.Hall@co.nevada.ca.us](mailto:Heidi.Hall@co.nevada.ca.us), [Sue.Hoek@co.nevada.ca.us](mailto:Sue.Hoek@co.nevada.ca.us), Scofield Ed <[ed.scofield@co.nevada.ca.us](mailto:ed.scofield@co.nevada.ca.us)>, Anderson Richard <[richard.anderson@co.nevada.ca.us](mailto:richard.anderson@co.nevada.ca.us)>

**Subject:** BOS Agenda, Tues. 7/28, Item 37

Dear Supervisors Hall, Scofield, Miller, Hoek & Anderson:

**I am writing to strongly oppose Item 37**, the temporary Urgency Ordinance related to enforcement of COVID-related health department orders.

There are several grounds upon which this is at best a bad idea and at worst an unconstitutional power grab by the governor and health department, and thus by Nevada County.

1. The Governor's emergency power in March was triggered by a need to prevent overloading our urgent care capabilities. That risk has long passed, and under the CA Constitution the Governor's emergency power has ended. No such risk of overwhelming our care capacity currently exists. Accurate data support this conclusion.

2. As a result, the Governor's recent orders are illegal and unenforceable, as are the orders of our Health Department, which has no new emergency authority than the Governor's and which is inadequate to force such draconian measures. No emergency exists.

3. Substantial scientific evidence proves that wearing cloth face masks does not protect the wearer. COVID virus droplets, which to survive must be surrounded by a lipid (fat), can easily pass through the cotton mask cloth or simply pass around the mask periphery, as these are not sealed respirator-type masks.

***Furthermore, masks do not protect your eyes. In fact, the exposed eye is the most easily infected part of the face! To actually protect the eyes, a person would need to wear tight fitting goggles***

***If you believe that face masks must be mandatory, then you must also believe that goggles to protect the eyes must be mandated!***

4. Cotton mask proponents falsely argue that if someone is an asymptomatic carrier of the virus (no one has actually ever been identified as being one) and they breathe or sneeze, the virus will not escape the mask. Just as the virus can pass into the mask, it can pass out. So, they offer little to no protection to anyone.

5. Cotton masks are therefore useless to prevent the spread of the virus, and are nothing more than a "virtue signalling" ploy to self-proclaim as a "caring" individual.

6. Masks are not just merely a virtue symbol, they degrade the health of the wearer. Breathing one's CO2 and bacteria-laden breath for long periods of time can actually cause health risks including degraded immunity capability--the exact opposite of what we as a society are striving for.

7. OSHA has strict regulations for PPE workplace use of masks and respirators to guard against these types of risks (CO2 toxicity and bacteria ingestion), but these actual "safety" regulations have been illegally ignored in the current mass application of masking in the business workplace setting.

8. We have adopted the draconian CCP practice of forcing everyone to wear an ineffective mask, AS IF EVERYONE IS INFECTED as a way to blindly force obedience to power-hungry officials. What is the miniscule percentage of actually infected people in our county? Less than one percent (-0.1%). Yet, we are all being treated as infected criminals, commanded to kill our local businesses and to kow-tow to our elected "representatives" who serve solely with our consent, who are forcing dangerous measures with NO scientific support.

9. When will this insanity end?

10. When will our Health Department enforce OSHA mask regulations to protect the health of workers and the public from excessive mask usage resulting in CO2 toxicity and bacteria overload? The argument that medical professionals suffer no adverse effects also is not supported. OSHA provides hospital and health professional that do wear masks for lengthy periods to be medically tested and extensive additional oxygen enhancing equipment provided.

11. When will our County Supervisors exercise appropriate control over staff and require independent investigation of legal, scientific and other appropriate considerations, as well as tailoring based upon local considerations?

12. PLEASE ACCEPT THIS AS NOTICE THAT Failure to vote no on Item 37 and continued use of force, under the color of law, upon individuals and businesses by county departments of these counter-scientific unwarranted un-Constitutional measures will most certainly expose the county and individuals therein to corporate and personal liability.

Sincerely,

James Driver

P. O. Box 1411

Rough And Ready, CA. 95975



Virus-free. [www.avg.com](http://www.avg.com)

DISTS

**Julie Patterson-Hunter**

---

**From:** Raina ~Satori~ Stewart <redgingersnap@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, July 27, 2020 4:00 PM  
**To:** BOS Public Comment  
**Cc:** Sheriff; Public Health; Heidi Hall; Amy Irani; Ed Scofield; Dan Miller; Sue Hoek; Richard Anderson; HHSA; erin4nevadacity@gmail.com; duanestrawser@gmail.com; davidsparkyparker569@gmail.com; danielafornevadacity@gmail.com; Env.Health; Chad Ellis; Glennah Trochet; CEO; Ken Cutler  
**Subject:** I oppose Item 37! Keep our businesses open.

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Supervisors Hall, Scofield, Miller, Hoek & Anderson:

I am writing to strongly oppose Item 37, the temporary Urgency Ordinance related to enforcement of COVID-related health department orders.

There are several grounds upon which this is at best a bad idea and at worst an unconstitutional power grab by the governor and health department, and thus by Nevada County.

1. The Governor's emergency power in March was triggered by a need to prevent overloading our urgent care capabilities. That risk has long passed, and under the CA Constitution the Governor's emergency power has ended. No such risk of overwhelming our care capacity currently exists. Accurate data support this conclusion.
2. As a result, the Governor's recent orders are illegal and unenforceable, as are the orders of our Health Department, which has no new emergency authority than the Governor's and which is inadequate to force such draconian measures. No such emergency exists.
3. Substantial scientific evidence proves that wearing cloth face masks does not protect the wearer. COVID virus droplets, which to survive must be surrounded by a lipid (fat), can easily pass through the cotton mask cloth or simply pass around the mask periphery, as these are not sealed respirator-type masks.
4. Cotton mask proponents falsely argue that if someone is an asymptomatic carrier of the virus (no one has actually ever been identified as being one) and they breathe or sneeze, the virus will not escape the mask. Just as the virus can pass into the mask, it can pass out. So, they offer little to no protection to anyone.
5. Cotton masks are therefore useless to prevent the spread of the virus, and are nothing more than virtue signaling ploy to self-proclaim as a caring individual.
6. Masks are not just merely a virtue symbol; they degrade the health of the wearer. Breathing one's CO2 and bacteria-laden breath for long periods of time can actually cause health risks including degraded immunity capability--the exact opposite of what we as a society are striving for.
7. OSHA has strict regulations for PPE workplace use of masks and respirators to guard against these types of risks (CO2 toxicity and bacteria ingestion), but these actual "safety" regulations have been illegally ignored in the current mass application of masking in the business workplace setting.
8. We have adopted the draconian CCP practice of forcing everyone to wear an ineffective mask, AS IF EVERYONE IS INFECTED as a way to blindly force obedience to power-hungry officials. What is the miniscule percentage of actually infected people in our county? Less than one percent (-0.1%). Yet, we are all being treated as infected criminals, commanded to kill our local businesses and to kow-tow to our elected "representatives" who serve solely with our consent, who are forcing dangerous measures with NO scientific support.
9. When will this insanity end?
10. When will our Health Department enforce OSHA mask regulations to protect the



health of workers and the public from excessive mask usage resulting in CO2 toxicity and bacteria overload? The argument that medical professionals suffer no adverse effects also is not supported. OSHA provides hospital and health professional that do wear masks for lengthy periods to be medically tested and extensive additional oxygen enhancing equipment provided.

11. When will our County Supervisors exercise appropriate control over staff and require independent investigation of legal, scientific and other appropriate considerations, as well as tailoring based upon local considerations?

12. PLEASE ACCEPT THIS AS NOTICE THAT Failure to vote NO on Item 37 and continued use of force, under color of law, upon individuals and businesses by county departments of these counter-scientific unwarranted un-Constitutional measures will most certainly expose the county and individuals therein to corporate and personal Liability. We will be holding you liable.

I ask that you consider these pieces of information with a balanced and loving approach.

Rana Stewart  
Resident of Nevada county.

Unable to identify District

**Julie Patterson-Hunter**

---

**From:** Sue McGuire <suemcguire.law@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, July 27, 2020 12:08 AM  
**To:** bdofsupervisors; BOS Public Comment  
**Cc:** Dan Miller; Heidi Hall; Sue Hoek; Ed Scofield; Richard Anderson  
**Subject:** BOS Agenda, Tues. 7/28, Item 37

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Supervisors Hall, Scofield, Miller, Hoek & Anderson:

**I am writing to strongly oppose Item 37**, the temporary Urgency Ordinance related to enforcement of COVID-related health department orders.

There are several grounds upon which this is at best a bad idea and at worst an unconstitutional power grab by the governor and health department, and thus by Nevada County.

1. The Governor's emergency power in March was triggered by a need to prevent overloading our urgent care capabilities. That risk has long passed, and under the CA Constitution the Governor's emergency power has ended. No such risk of overwhelming our care capacity currently exists. Accurate data support this conclusion.
2. As a result, the Governor's recent orders are illegal and unenforceable, as are the orders of our Health Department, which has no new emergency authority than the Governor's and which is inadequate to force such draconian measures. No emergency exists.
3. Substantial scientific evidence proves that wearing cloth face masks does not protect the wearer. COVID virus droplets, which to survive must be surrounded by a lipid (fat), can easily pass through the cotton mask cloth or simply pass around the mask periphery, as these are not sealed respirator-type masks.
4. Cotton mask proponents falsely argue that if someone is an asymptomatic carrier of the virus (no one has actually ever been identified as being one) and they breathe or sneeze, the virus will not escape the mask. Just as the virus can pass into the mask, it can pass out. So, they offer little to no protection to anyone.
5. Cotton masks are therefore useless to prevent the spread of the virus, and are nothing more than a "virtue signalling" ploy to self-proclaim as a "caring" individual. And a compliant member of the sheeple.
6. Masks are not just merely a virtue symbol, they degrade the health of the wearer. Breathing one's CO2 and bacteria-laden breath for long periods of time can actually cause health risks including degraded immunity capability--the exact opposite of what we as a society are striving for.
7. OSHA has strict regulations for PPE workplace use of masks and respirators to guard against these types of risks (CO2 toxicity and bacteria ingestion), but these actual "safety" regulations have been illegally ignored in the current mass application of masking in the business workplace setting.

8. We have adopted the draconian CCP practice of forcing everyone to wear an ineffective mask, AS IF EVERYONE IS INFECTED as a way to blindly force obedience to power-hungry officials. What is the miniscule percentage of actually infected people in our county? Less than one percent (-0.1%). Yet, we are all being treated as infected criminals, commanded to kill our local businesses and to kow-tow to our elected "representatives" who serve solely with our consent, who are forcing dangerous measures with NO scientific support.

9. When will this insanity end?

10. When will our Health Department enforce OSHA mask regulations to protect the health of workers and the public from excessive mask usage resulting in CO2 toxicity and bacteria overload? The argument that medical professionals suffer no adverse effects also is not supported. OSHA provides hospital and health professional that do wear masks for lengthy periods to be medically tested and extensive additional oxygen enhancing equipment provided.

11. When will our County Supervisors exercise appropriate control over staff and require independent investigation of legal, scientific and other appropriate considerations, as well as tailoring based upon local considerations?

12. PLEASE ACCEPT THIS AS NOTICE THAT Failure to vote no on Item 37 and continued use of force, under color of law, upon individuals and businesses by county departments of these counter-scientific unwarranted un-Constitutional measures will most certainly expose the county and individuals therein to corporate and personal liability.

Sincerely,

Susan Kay McGuire  
Attorney at Law  
P. O. Box 1715  
Nevada City, CA. 95959-1715

"In the beginning of change, the patriot is a scarce man, and brave and hated and scorned. When his cause succeeds, the timid join him, for then it costs nothing to be a patriot." *Mark Twain, 1904*

## Julie Patterson-Hunter

---

**From:** Julie Patterson-Hunter  
**Sent:** Monday, July 27, 2020 8:47 AM  
**To:** Barbara Price  
**Subject:** FW: Opposition to Item 37 on Tuesday's Agenda

Unable to identify District

---

**From:** Ed Scofield <Ed.Scofield@co.nevada.ca.us>  
**Sent:** Monday, July 27, 2020 6:47 AM  
**To:** Julie Patterson-Hunter <Julie.Patterson-Hunter@co.nevada.ca.us>  
**Subject:** FW: Opposition to Item 37 on Tuesday's Agenda

---

**From:** Mac Young [<mailto:mac.young@gmail.com>]  
**Sent:** Sunday, July 26, 2020 8:53 PM  
**To:** Ed Scofield <Ed.Scofield@co.nevada.ca.us>  
**Subject:** Opposition to Item 37 on Tuesday's Agenda

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Supervisor Scofield,

I am writing to oppose Item 37, the temporary Urgency Ordinance related to enforcement of COVID-related health department orders.

There are several grounds upon which this is at best a bad idea and at worst an unconstitutional power grab by the governor and our health department, and thus by our County.

1. The Governor's emergency power in March was triggered by a need to prevent overloading our urgent care capabilities. That risk has long passed, and under the CA Constitution the Governor's emergency power has ended. No such risk of overwhelming our care capacity exists now.
2. Therefore, his (Governor Newsom) recent orders are illegal and unenforceable. As are the orders of our Health Department, which has no new emergency authority than the Governor possesses, which is inadequate to force such a draconian measure.
3. Wearing cloth face masks does not protect the wearer. COVID virus droplets, which to survive must be surrounded by a lipid (fat), can easily pass through the cotton mask cloth or simply pass around the mask periphery, as these are not sealed respirator-type masks.
4. Cotton mask proponents falsely argue that if someone is an asymptomatic carrier of the virus (no one has actually ever been identified as being one) and they breathe or sneeze, the virus will not escape the mask. Just as the virus can pass into the mask, it can pass out. So, they offer little to no protection to anyone.

5. Cotton masks are therefore useless to prevent the spread of the virus, and are nothing more than a "virtue signalling" ploy to self-proclaim as a "caring" individual. And a compliant member of the sheeple.

6. Masks are not just merely a virtue symbol, they degrade the health of the wearer. Breathing one's CO2 and bacteria-laden breath for long periods of time can actually cause health risks including degraded immunity capability--the exact opposite of what we as a society are striving for.

7. OSHA has strict regulations for workplace use of masks and respirators to guard against these types of risks (CO2 toxicity and bacteria ingestion), but these regulations have been illegally ignored in the current mass application of masking in the business workplace setting.

8. In Asian countries, often cited as examples for effective mask use, the masks have traditionally only been worn by INFECTED persons who venture out into society.

9. We have adopted the draconian CCP practice of forcing everyone to wear an ineffective mask, AS IF EVERYONE IS INFECTED as a way to blindly force obedience to power-hungry officials. What is the miniscule percentage of actually infected people in our county?? A VERY VERY low number. Yet we are all being treated as infected criminals, commanded to kill our local businesses and to kow-tow to "authority" with actually NO scientific basis.

10. When will this insanity end?

11. When will our Health Department enforce OSHA mask regulations to protect the health of workers and the public from excessive mask usage resulting in CO2 toxicity and bacteria overload?

I hope you are well. Send my regards to your lovely wife.

Mac Young (415) 412-5826

## Julie Patterson-Hunter

---

**From:** Julie Patterson-Hunter  
**Sent:** Monday, July 27, 2020 9:57 AM  
**To:** Barbara Price  
**Subject:** FW: Urgent message. Do not pass the ordinance

Dist 3

---

**From:** Sergio Martignago [[mailto:sergio\\_martignago@yahoo.com](mailto:sergio_martignago@yahoo.com)]  
**Sent:** Monday, July 27, 2020 3:55 AM  
**To:** Sheriff <[Sheriff@co.nevada.ca.us](mailto:Sheriff@co.nevada.ca.us)>; CEO <[ceo@co.nevada.ca.us](mailto:ceo@co.nevada.ca.us)>; Heidi Hall <[Heidi.Hall@co.nevada.ca.us](mailto:Heidi.Hall@co.nevada.ca.us)>; Ed Scofield <[Ed.Scofield@co.nevada.ca.us](mailto:Ed.Scofield@co.nevada.ca.us)>; Dan Miller <[Dan.Miller@co.nevada.ca.us](mailto:Dan.Miller@co.nevada.ca.us)>; Sue Hoek <[Sue.Hoek@co.nevada.ca.us](mailto:Sue.Hoek@co.nevada.ca.us)>; Richard Anderson <[Richard.Anderson@co.nevada.ca.us](mailto:Richard.Anderson@co.nevada.ca.us)>; HHSA <[HHSA@co.nevada.ca.us](mailto:HHSA@co.nevada.ca.us)>; Public Health <[PublicHealth@co.nevada.ca.us](mailto:PublicHealth@co.nevada.ca.us)>; Ken Cutler <[Ken.Cutler@co.nevada.ca.us](mailto:Ken.Cutler@co.nevada.ca.us)>; Glennah Trochet <[Glennah.Trochet@co.nevada.ca.us](mailto:Glennah.Trochet@co.nevada.ca.us)>; DSS <[DSS@co.nevada.ca.us](mailto:DSS@co.nevada.ca.us)>; CommunityDevelopment <[ComDevAgency@co.nevada.ca.us](mailto:ComDevAgency@co.nevada.ca.us)>; Env.Health <[Env.Health@co.nevada.ca.us](mailto:Env.Health@co.nevada.ca.us)>; [mmarshallgvda@gmail.com](mailto:mmarshallgvda@gmail.com); [info@grassvalleychamber.com](mailto:info@grassvalleychamber.com); Amy Irani <[Amy.Irani@co.nevada.ca.us](mailto:Amy.Irani@co.nevada.ca.us)>  
**Subject:** Urgent message. Do not pass the ordinance

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

## To: All Public Officials of Nevada County

Board or Supervisors: Heidi Hall, Ed Scofield, Dan Miller, Sue Hoek, Richard Anderson  
Mayor & City Council: Lisa Swarthout, Ben Aguilar, Jan Arbuckle, Howard Levine, Hilary Hodge

Sherriff: Shannon Moon  
Public Health Directors: Jill Blake, Glennah Trochet, Ryan Gruver, Ken Cutler  
Environmental Health: Amy Irani  
County Executive: Alison Lehman, Mali Dyck  
Police: Alex Gammelgard  
Downtown Grass Valley Association: Marni Marshall  
Grass Valley Chamber of Commerce

From: Sergio's Caffe, Grass Valley, CA

July 26, 2020

**URGENT MESSAGE: DO NOT PASS THE "UNCODIFIED TEMPORARY ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING COUNTY ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS TO ENFORCE ORDERS ISSUED BY THE PUBLIC HEALTH OFFER REGARDING THE NOVEL CORONAVIRUS"**

We are writing to you with an urgent request to stop the proposed Ordinance on the agenda July 28, 2020. We ask that you take this off the agenda until there can be a public meeting to discuss the matter.

Sergio's caffe has been a popular and beloved place of Nevada county and surrounding communities for almost 18 years. We have over 20 employees and thousands of loyal customers.

To require us to close down our indoor dining will put our survival at risk. With high summer temperatures

many of our diners will not come, and obviously no one will sit outside in cold and/or rainy weather. Serving on the street requires extra personnel and with reduction of revenue will not make economic sense.

To ask us to operate under these conditions is financial suicide and what we are now seeing as an act of terrorism on our rights.

Our County is currently NOT experiencing a pandemic. Not even close. As of midnight, July 25st the current COVID-19 facts are these:

1. 255 total cases BUT ONLY 116 in Western Nevada County!
2. 41 active cases.
3. 1,090 total cases per 100,000 for CA vs 255 per 100,000 for Nevada County. 76% less!
4. 1 Death per 100,000 in Nevada County vs 21 per 100,000 for CA. 95.2% less!
5. For comparison we had 30 flu deaths for the last year we have data (2017-2018) which comes to 30 deaths per 100,000 people in Nevada County or THIRTY TIMES THE DEATH RATE WE HAVE FOR COVID! 300% more!! And we NEVER shut down ONE Restaurant!!

Consider this: The declaration of a State of Emergency for the COVID-19 diagnosis criteria for a series of pneumonia and influenza related symptoms and the allegations of the existence of a "novel coronavirus" is based on a series of assumptions that are patently false.

1. According to the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses' (ICTV) Coronaviridae Study Group (CSG) publication on March 2, 2020, the preliminary data suggesting that there was sufficient variation to determine this to be a novel virus vs. a mutation of known coronaviruses, was not based on established scientific principles but was responsive to the World Health Organization's prior unfounded declaration of novelty of both the virus and a new disease;
2. There could be no independent verification of the epidemiologic models predicting dire infection and mortality rates as the underlying models and data were not published, and when sought, were reportedly corrupted so as to make their examination impossible;
3. In violation of State law, no medical or scientific evidence was provided to establish even causal links between the SARS CoV-2 and the symptoms of COVID-19, relying instead on foreign government hearsay and conjecture;
4. Since 2003, the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services and their subordinate organizations – the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID) and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) – maintained a patent preventing any independent organization from testing for the presence of coronavirus transmissible to humans through 2018 resulting in a complete lack of testing technologies;
5. No State official reviewed for accuracy or veracity any of the causal statements made in the Declaration of Emergency which contain false, misleading, and terror inducing statements;
6. In violation of well-established legal precedent from *Jew Ho v. Williamson*, 103 F. 10, 26 (C.C.N.D. Cal. 1900) and subsequent public health law, arbitrary and capricious rules were inflicted upon a part of the population that were not applied generally, resulting in the unlawful confinement of a healthy population with no basis in science or fact;
7. The Governor failed to provide adequate testing to confirm or deny the presence or absence of "a novel coronavirus" and, based on recent reports from testing of incarcerated persons reported by Reuters, 96% of prisoners testing positive for coronavirus are asymptomatic, demonstrating a failure to establish even a statistical link between the virus and the disease;
8. Neither the Governor nor any public health officer has followed evidence-based, peer-reviewed, clinical science showing that neither social distancing (of up to 6 feet of separation), nor the wearing of masks has any clinical effect in a healthy population and that instituting such policies is exclusively for the inducement of fear and terror in the population;

As a result of these and other established facts, the Governor's state of emergency orders are in violation of the State Constitution, are illegal and unenforceable. Therefore, we are asking YOU our Nevada County officials who see and know the factual evidence "in our County" STOP this ordinance, do what is right and allow a 18-year institution to continue to contribute to the wellbeing of our great community.

We hereby demand that you immediately cease and desist in your suspension of my Constitutional rights and those of the common citizenry.

Sincerely,

Sergio & Lena Martignago

Owners of Sergio's Caffè

109 Mill St., Grass Valley, CA 95945



**Julie Patterson-Hunter**

---

**From:** Julie Patterson-Hunter  
**Sent:** Monday, July 27, 2020 9:22 AM  
**To:** All BOS Board Members  
**Subject:** FW: FT

Dist 3

*Julie Patterson Hunter, CCB*  
Clerk of the Board

---

**From:** Rebecca Viola <rebecca.viola@comcast.net>  
**Sent:** Monday, July 27, 2020 8:47 AM  
**To:** bdofsupervisors <bdofsupervisors@co.nevada.ca.us>  
**Subject:** FT

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

**URGENT MESSAGE: DO NOT PASS THE "UNCODIFIED TEMORARY  
ORDIANCE AUTHORIZING COUNTY ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS TO  
ENFORENCE ORDERS ISSUED BY THE PUBLIC HEALTH OFFER REGARDING  
THE NOVEL CORONAVIRUS"**

I am writing to you with an urgent request to stop the proposed Ordinance on the agenda July 28, 2020. We ask that you take this off the agenda until there can be a public meeting to discuss the matter.

Thank you  
Rebecca Viola  
Grass Valley

**Julie Patterson-Hunter**

---

**From:** Julie Patterson-Hunter  
**Sent:** Monday, July 27, 2020 9:22 AM  
**To:** All BOS Board Members  
**Subject:** FW: FT

Dist 3

*Julie Patterson Hunter, CCB*  
Clerk of the Board

---

**From:** Rebecca Viola <rebecca.viola@comcast.net>  
**Sent:** Monday, July 27, 2020 8:47 AM  
**To:** bdofsupervisors <bdofsupervisors@co.nevada.ca.us>  
**Subject:** FT

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

**URGENT MESSAGE: DO NOT PASS THE "UNCODIFIED TEMORARY  
ORDIANCE AUTHORIZING COUNTY ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS TO  
ENFORENCE ORDERS ISSUED BY THE PUBLIC HEALTH OFFER REGARDING  
THE NOVEL CORONAVIRUS"**

I am writing to you with an urgent request to stop the proposed Ordinance on the agenda July 28, 2020. We ask that you take this off the agenda until there can be a public meeting to discuss the matter.

Thank you  
Rebecca Viola  
Grass Valley

## Julie Patterson-Hunter

---

**From:** Julie Patterson-Hunter  
**Sent:** Monday, July 27, 2020 8:43 AM  
**To:** All BOS Board Members  
**Cc:** Barbara Price  
**Subject:** FW: Friar tucks

Dist 4

---

**From:** Jennifer Lee <vw Dexter@hotmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, July 27, 2020 1:58 AM  
**To:** bdofsupervisors <bdofsupervisors@co.nevada.ca.us>  
**Subject:** Friar tucks

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

**To: All Public Officials of Nevada County**

Board or Supervisors: Heidi Hall, Ed Scofield, Dan Miller, Sue Hoek, Richard Anderson

Mayor & City Council: **Erin Minett, Duane Strawser, David Parker, Daniela Fernández**

**Sherriff: Shannon Moon**

**Public Health Directors: Jill Blake, Glennah Trochet, Ryan Gruver, Ken Cutler**

Environmental Health: Amy Irani

County Executive: Alison Lehman

Police: Chad Ellis

**URGENT MESSAGE: DO NOT PASS THE “UNCODIFIED TEMPORARY ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING COUNTY ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS TO ENFORCE ORDERS ISSUED BY THE PUBLIC HEALTH OFFER REGARDING THE NOVEL CORONAVIRUS”**

I am writing to you with an urgent request to stop the proposed Ordinance on the agenda July 28, 2020. We ask that you take this off the agenda until there can be a public meeting to discuss the matter.

Friar Tuck's has been the heart and soul of the downtown community for community and fellowship. We have 35 employees & 1000's of loyal community folks who have a history build in our restaurant. To require us to close down our indoor dining would be the end of an institution! Now add in the PG&E shutdowns we are expecting Friar Tucks' has a tombstone waiting. We cannot financially survive with out-door dining, the upcoming PG&E shutdowns and 40% of our revenue is during the months of November and December. We have the capacity for 185 indoor customers and moving outside dining would provide at the maximum 40. To ask us to survive outside with an 80% reduction

in revenue until the rain arrives in the fall is financial suicide and what I am now seeing as an act of terrorism on our rights.

**Our County is currently NOT experiencing a pandemic. Not even close. As of midnight, July 25<sup>st</sup> the current COVID-19 facts are these:**

1. 255 total cases BUT ONLY 116 in Western Nevada County!
2. 41 active cases.
3. 1,090 total cases per 100,000 for CA vs 255 per 100,000 for Nevada County. 76% less!
4. 1 Death per 100,000 in Nevada County vs 21 per 100,000 for CA. 95.2% less!
5. For comparison we had 30 flu deaths for the last year we have data (2017-2018) which comes to 30 deaths per 100,000 people in Nevada County or THIRTY TIMES THE DEATH RATE WE HAVE FOR COVID! 300% more!! And we NEVER shut down ONE Restaurant!!

Consider these FACTS: The declaration of a State of Emergency for the COVID-19 diagnosis criteria for a series of pneumonia and influenza related symptoms and the allegations of the existence of a “novel coronavirus” **is based on a series of assumptions that are patently false.**

1. According to the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses’ (ICTV) Coronaviridae Study Group (CSG) publication on March 2, 2020, the preliminary data suggesting that there was sufficient variation to determine this to be a novel virus vs. a mutation of known coronaviruses, **was not based on established scientific principles but was responsive to the World Health Organization’s prior unfounded declaration of novelty of both the virus and a new disease;**
2. There could be **no independent verification of the epidemiologic models** predicting dire infection and mortality rates as the underlying models and data were not published, and when sought, were reportedly corrupted so as to make their examination impossible;
3. In violation of State law, **no medical or scientific evidence was provided** to establish even causal links between the SARS CoV-2 and the symptoms of COVID-19, relying instead on foreign government hearsay and conjecture;
4. Since 2003, the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services and their subordinate organizations – the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID) and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) – maintained a patent preventing any independent organization from testing for the presence of coronavirus transmissible to humans through 2018 resulting in **a complete lack of testing technologies;**
5. **No State official reviewed for accuracy or veracity any of the causal statements made in the Declaration of Emergency which contain false, misleading, and terror inducing statements;**
6. In violation of well-established legal precedent from Jew Ho v. Williamson, 103 F. 10, 26 (C.C.N.D. Cal. 1900) and subsequent public health law, **arbitrary and capricious rules were inflicted upon a part of the population** that were not applied generally, resulting in the **unlawful confinement of a healthy population with no basis in science or fact;**
7. The Governor failed to provide adequate testing to confirm or deny the presence or absence of “a novel coronavirus” and, based on recent reports from testing of incarcerated persons reported by Reuters, 96% of prisoners testing positive for coronavirus are

asymptomatic, **demonstrating a failure to establish even a statistical link between the virus and the disease;**

- 8. Neither the Governor nor any public health officer has followed evidence-based, peer-reviewed, clinical science** showing that neither social distancing (of up to 6 feet of separation), nor the wearing of masks has any clinical effect in a healthy population and that instituting such policies is exclusively for the inducement of fear and terror in the population;

We do hope you see and understand we want this for our community. No Friar Tuck's the heart of the community is gone. Friar Tuck's will remain open! We are here to bless the community and we do not plan close as long as we have the resources to do do.

We love our community!!!

We will continue with our Extensive Covid-19 procedures in Place – [learn more](#)

We have made Friar Tuck's the safest place to be! i

**Friar Tuck's announces "Bipolar Ionization" 99.4% of all viral particles destroyed**

**Friar Tuck's has invested & installed new technology into their air & heating conditioned units that makes the restaurant 99.4% viral free. This will allow our customers to feel very safe when they come inside to dine.**

The easiest way to understand this is to think of sunbeams that you see coming through your windows. These sunbeams are comprised of asthma and allergy triggering small particles that include mold, pollen, pet dander and many other organic contaminants. In fact, they are so small that when you walk through the sunbeam, you can't detect the particles moving. If the particles were like snow, the NPBI technology is the snow plow! In layman's terms, when you have sunbeams coming through your windows in your home or apartment, after 2-3 days of operating GPS' NPBI system, you will no longer see sunbeams, and there will be much less particles and dust in the indoor air.

The GPS Needlepoint Bipolar Ionization product used the SARS-CoV-2 virus samples. Based on the results it has been determined that using the GPS model DM-48 or FC-48-AC will result in a 99.4% reduction of viral particles on a stagnant surface in 30 minutes. This investigation demonstrated the presence of SARS-CoV-2 RNA at multiple locations within 172 mechanical AHUs, and more specifically, AHUs serving multiple floors of a hospital tower in which COVID-19 patients were housed.

**Based on these test results it was determined hydrolysis via positively charged hydrogen binding to peplomers of SARS-Cov-2 virus can render 99.4% of viral particles are inactivated on a stagnant surface at 30 minutes. These tests have demonstrated the ionizers ability to neutralize the pathogen namely SARS-Cov-19, on a static surface**

## Julie Patterson-Hunter

---

**From:** Julie Patterson-Hunter  
**Sent:** Monday, July 27, 2020 9:10 AM  
**To:** All BOS Board Members  
**Subject:** FW: URGENT MESSAGE: DO NOT PASS THE "UNCODIFIED TEMPORARY ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING COUNTY ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS TO ENFORCE ORDERS ISSUED BY THE PUBLIC HEALTH OFFER REGARDING THE NOVEL CORONAVIRUS"

Dist 4

---

**From:** Ken Paige <ken@friartucks.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, July 26, 2020 10:51 PM  
**To:** bdofsupervisors <bdofsupervisors@co.nevada.ca.us>; erin4nevadacity@gmail.com; duanestrawser@gmail.com; davidsparkyparker569@gmail.com; danielafornevadacity@gmail.com; Sheriff <Sheriff@co.nevada.ca.us>; Public Health <PublicHealth@co.nevada.ca.us>; Env.Health <Env.Health@co.nevada.ca.us>; Chad Ellis <Chad.Ellis@nevadacityca.gov>; CEO <ceo@co.nevada.ca.us>; Heidi Hall <Heidi.Hall@co.nevada.ca.us>; ed.schfield@co.nevada.ca.us; Dan Miller <Dan.Miller@co.nevada.ca.us>; Sue Hoek <Sue.Hoek@co.nevada.ca.us>; Richard Anderson <Richard.Anderson@co.nevada.ca.us>; Glennah Trochet <Glennah.Trochet@co.nevada.ca.us>; hhsa@co.nevada.ca.ca.us; Kenb.Cutler@co.nevada.ca.us; amy.iran@co.nevada.ca.us  
**Cc:** Robin & Lisa Buckman <buckmanrb@att.net>; Serigo's <sergio\_martignago@yahoo.com>; Chad Paige <chadrpaige@gmail.com>; Donna Paige <mammadonna@hotmail.com>  
**Subject:** URGENT MESSAGE: DO NOT PASS THE "UNCODIFIED TEMPORARY ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING COUNTY ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS TO ENFORCE ORDERS ISSUED BY THE PUBLIC HEALTH OFFER REGARDING THE NOVEL CORONAVIRUS"

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

**To: All Public Officials of Nevada County**

Board or Supervisors: Heidi Hall, Ed Scofield, Dan Miller, Sue Hoek, Richard Anderson  
Mayor & City Council: Erin Minett, Duane Strawser, David Parker, Daniela Fernández  
Sherriff: Shannon Moon  
Public Health Directors: Jill Blake, Glennah Trochet, Ryan Gruver, Ken Cutler  
Environmental Health: Amy Irani  
County Executive: Alison Lehman  
Police: Chad Ellis

From: Friar Tuck's Restaurant & Bar, Nevada City  
July 25, 2020

**URGENT MESSAGE: DO NOT PASS THE "UNCODIFIED TEMPORARY ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING COUNTY ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS TO ENFORCE ORDERS ISSUED BY THE PUBLIC HEALTH OFFER REGARDING THE NOVEL CORONAVIRUS"**

I am writing to you with an urgent request to stop the proposed Ordinance on the agenda July 28, 2020. We ask that you take this off the agenda until there can be a public meeting to discuss the matter.

Friar Tuck's has been the heart and soul of the downtown community for community and fellowship. We have 35 employees & 1000's of loyal community folks who have a history build in our restaurant. To require us to close down our indoor dining would be the end of an institution! Now add in the PG&E shutdowns we are expecting Friar Tucks' has a tombstone waiting. We cannot financially survive with out-door dining, the upcoming PG&E shutdowns and 40% of our revenue is during the months of November

and December. We have the capacity for 185 indoor customers and moving outside dining would provide at the maximum 40. To ask us to survive outside with an 80% reduction in revenue until the rain arrives in the fall is financial suicide and what I am now seeing as an act of terrorism on our rights.

Our County is currently NOT experiencing a pandemic. Not even close. As of midnight, July 25<sup>th</sup> the current COVID-19 facts are these:

1. 255 total cases BUT ONLY 116 in Western Nevada County!
2. 41 active cases.
3. 1,090 total cases per 100,000 for CA vs 255 per 100,000 for Nevada County. 76% less!
4. 1 Death per 100,000 in Nevada County vs 21 per 100,000 for CA. 95.2% less!
5. For comparison we had 30 flu deaths for the last year we have data (2017-2018) which comes to 30 deaths per 100,000 people in Nevada County or THIRTY TIMES THE DEATH RATE WE HAVE FOR COVID! 300% more!! And we NEVER shut down ONE Restaurant!!

Consider this: The declaration of a State of Emergency for the COVID-19 diagnosis criteria for a series of pneumonia and influenza related symptoms and the allegations of the existence of a “novel coronavirus” **is based on a series of assumptions that are patently false.**

1. According to the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses’ (ICTV) Coronaviridae Study Group (CSG) publication on March 2, 2020, the preliminary data suggesting that there was sufficient variation to determine this to be a novel virus vs. a mutation of known coronaviruses, **was not based on established scientific principles but was responsive to the World Health Organization’s prior unfounded declaration of novelty of both the virus and a new disease;**
2. There could be **no independent verification of the epidemiologic models** predicting dire infection and mortality rates as the underlying models and data were not published, and when sought, were reportedly corrupted so as to make their examination impossible;
3. In violation of State law, **no medical or scientific evidence was provided** to establish even causal links between the SARS CoV-2 and the symptoms of COVID-19, relying instead on foreign government hearsay and conjecture;
4. Since 2003, the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services and their subordinate organizations – the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID) and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) – maintained a patent preventing any independent organization from testing for the presence of coronavirus transmissible to humans through 2018 resulting in a **complete lack of testing technologies;**
5. **No State official reviewed for accuracy or veracity any of the causal statements made in the Declaration of Emergency which contain false, misleading, and terror inducing statements;**
6. In violation of well-established legal precedent from *Jew Ho v. Williamson*, 103 F. 10, 26 (C.C.N.D. Cal. 1900) and subsequent public health law, **arbitrary and capricious rules were inflicted upon a part of the population** that were not applied generally, resulting in the **unlawful confinement of a healthy population with no basis in science or fact;**
7. The Governor failed to provide adequate testing to confirm or deny the presence or absence of “a novel coronavirus” and, based on recent reports from testing of incarcerated persons reported by Reuters, 96% of prisoners testing positive for coronavirus are asymptomatic, **demonstrating a failure to establish even a statistical link between the virus and the disease;**
8. **Neither the Governor nor any public health officer has followed evidence-based, peer-reviewed, clinical science** showing that neither social distancing (of up to 6 feet of separation), nor the wearing of masks has any clinical effect in a healthy population and that instituting such policies is exclusively for the inducement of fear and terror in the population;

As a result of these and other established facts, the Governor's state of emergency orders are in violation of the State Constitution, are illegal and unenforceable. Therefore, I am asking YOU, our Nevada County officials who see and know the factual evidence “in our County” STOP this ordinance, do what is right and allow a 50-year institution to continue to contribute to the wellbeing of our great community. I hereby demand that you immediately cease and desist in your suspension of my Constitutional rights and those of the common citizenry.

Sincerely,

Ken & Chad Paige



Owners of Friar Tuck's Restaurant and Bar  
111 N. Pine Street, Nevada City 95959

**Ken R Paige**

*Eat your food with gladness & drink your wine with a joyful heart (Ecclesiastes 9:7)*

**Proprietor Friar Tuck's Restaurant & Bar  
111 Pine Street  
Nevada City, California 95959  
408-209-6310**

Unable to identify District

**Julie Patterson-Hunter**

---

**From:** Vicki Marque <vickimarque@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, July 27, 2020 7:18 AM  
**To:** bdofsupervisors  
**Subject:** To: All Public Officials of Nevada County

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

**To: All Public Officials of Nevada County**

Board or Supervisors: Heidi Hall, Ed Scofield, Dan Miller, Sue Hoek, Richard Anderson  
 Mayor & City Council: **Erin Minett, Duane Strawser, David Parker, Daniela Fernández**  
**Sherriff: Shannon Moon**  
**Public Health Directors: Jill Blake, Glennah Trochet, Ryan Gruver, Ken Cutler**  
 Environmental Health: Amy Irani  
 County Executive: Alison Lehman  
 Police: Chad Ellis

**URGENT MESSAGE: DO NOT PASS THE “UNCODIFIED TEMPORARY ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING COUNTY ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS TO ENFORCE ORDERS ISSUED BY THE PUBLIC HEALTH OFFER REGARDING THE NOVEL CORONAVIRUS”**

I am writing to you with an urgent request to stop the proposed Ordinance on the agenda July 28, 2020. We ask that you take this off the agenda until there can be a public meeting to discuss the matter.

Friar Tuck's has been the heart and soul of the downtown community for community and fellowship. We have 35 employees & 1000's of loyal community folks who have a history build in our restaurant. To require us to close down our indoor dining would be the end of an institution! Now add in the PG&E shutdowns we are expecting Friar Tucks' has a tombstone waiting. We cannot financially survive with out-door dining, the upcoming PG&E shutdowns and 40% of our revenue is during the months of November and December. We have the capacity for 185 indoor customers and moving outside dining would provide at the maximum 40. To ask us to survive outside with an 80% reduction in revenue until the rain arrives in the fall is financial suicide and what I am now seeing as an act of terrorism on our rights.

**Our County is currently NOT experiencing a pandemic. Not even close. As of midnight, July 25st the current COVID-19 facts are these:**

- 1 255 total cases BUT ONLY 116 in Western Nevada County!
- 2 41 active cases.
- 3 1,090 total cases per 100,000 for CA vs 255 per 100,000 for Nevada County. 76% less!
- 4 1 Death per 100,000 in Nevada County vs 21 per 100,000 for CA. 95.2% less!
- 5 For comparison we had 30 flu deaths for the last year we have data (2017-2018) which comes to 30 deaths per 100,000 people in Nevada County or THIRTY TIMES THE DEATH RATE WE HAVE FOR COVID! 300% more!! And we NEVER shut down ONE Restaurant!!

Consider these FACTS: The declaration of a State of Emergency for the COVID-19 diagnosis criteria for a series of pneumonia and influenza related symptoms and the allegations of the existence of a “novel coronavirus” **is based on a series of assumptions that are patently false.**

- 1 According to the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses' (ICTV) Coronaviridae Study Group (CSG) publication on March 2, 2020, the preliminary data suggesting that there was sufficient variation to determine this to be a novel virus vs. a mutation of known coronaviruses, **was not based on established scientific principles but was responsive to the World Health Organization's prior unfounded declaration of novelty of both the virus and a new disease;**
- 2 There could be **no independent verification of the epidemiologic models** predicting dire infection

and mortality rates as the underlying models and data were not published, and when sought, were reportedly corrupted so as to make their examination impossible;

3 In violation of State law, **no medical or scientific evidence was provided** to establish even causal links between the SARS CoV-2 and the symptoms of COVID-19, relying instead on foreign government hearsay and conjecture;

4 Since 2003, the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services and their subordinate organizations – the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID) and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) – maintained a patent preventing any independent organization from testing for the presence of coronavirus transmissible to humans through 2018 resulting in **a complete lack of testing technologies**;

5 **No State official reviewed for accuracy or veracity any of the causal statements made in the Declaration of Emergency which contain false, misleading, and terror inducing statements**;

6 In violation of well-established legal precedent from *Jew Ho v. Williamson*, 103 F. 10, 26 (C.C.N.D. Cal. 1900) and subsequent public health law, **arbitrary and capricious rules were inflicted upon a part of the population** that were not applied generally, resulting in the **unlawful confinement of a healthy population with no basis in science or fact**;

7 The Governor failed to provide adequate testing to confirm or deny the presence or absence of “a novel coronavirus” and, based on recent reports from testing of incarcerated persons reported by Reuters, 96% of prisoners testing positive for coronavirus are asymptomatic, **demonstrating a failure to establish even a statistical link between the virus and the disease**;

8 **Neither the Governor nor any public health officer has followed evidence-based, peer-reviewed, clinical science** showing that neither social distancing (of up to 6 feet of separation), nor the wearing of masks has any clinical effect in a healthy population and that instituting such policies is exclusively for the inducement of fear and terror in the population;

We do hope you see and understand we want this for our community. No Friar Tuck's the heart of the community is gone. Friar Tuck's will remain open! We are here to bless the community and we do not plan close as long as we have the resources to do do.

We love our community!!!

We will continue with our Extensive Covid-19 procedures in Place – [learn more](#)

**We have made Friar Tuck's the safest place to be! i**

Friar Tuck's announces “Bipolar Ionization” 99.4% of all viral particles destroyed

Friar Tuck's has invested & installed new technology into their air & heating conditioned units that makes the restaurant 99.4% viral free. This will allow our customers to feel very safe when they come inside to dine.

The easiest way to understand this is to think of sunbeams that you see coming through your windows. These sunbeams are comprised of asthma and allergy triggering small particles that include mold, pollen, pet dander and many other organic contaminants. In fact, they are so small that when you walk through the sunbeam, you can't detect the particles moving. If the particles were like snow, the NPBI technology is the snow plow! In layman's terms, when you have sunbeams coming through your windows in your home or apartment, after 2-3 days of operating GPS' NPBI system, you will no longer see sunbeams, and there will be much less particles and dust in the indoor air.

The GPS Needlepoint Bipolar Ionization product used the SARS-CoV-2 virus samples. Based on the

results it has been determined that using the GPS model DM-48 or FC-48-AC will result in a 99.4% reduction of viral particles on a stagnant surface in 30 minutes. This investigation demonstrated the presence of SARS-CoV-2 RNA at multiple locations within 172 mechanical AHUs, and more specifically, AHUs serving multiple floors of a hospital tower in which COVID-19 patients were housed.

Based on these test results it was determined hydrolysis via positively charged hydrogen binding to peplomers of SARS-Cov-2 virus can render 99.4% or viral particles are inactivated on a stagnant surface at 30 minutes. These tests have demonstrated the ionizers ability to neutralize the pathogen namely SARS-Cov-19, on a static surfac

## Julie Patterson-Hunter

---

**From:** Julie Patterson-Hunter  
**Sent:** Monday, July 27, 2020 3:27 PM  
**To:** All BOS Board Members  
**Subject:** FW: Friar Tuck's Future

Unable to identify District

-----Original Message-----

**From:** MAUDIE WALKER <maudiew@sbcglobal.net>  
**Sent:** Monday, July 27, 2020 3:25 PM  
**To:** bdofsupervisors <bdofsupervisors@co.nevada.ca.us>  
**Subject:** Friar Tuck's Future

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

As a very senior citizen and a 45 year resident of Nevada County, I am joining in a plea in asking that you do not pass the "UNCODIFIED TEMPORARY ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING COUNTY ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS TO ENFORCE ORDERS ISSUED BY THE PUBLIC HEALTH OFFICER REGARDING THE NOVEL CORONAVIRUS".

Please take it off the agenda until there can be a public meeting to discuss the matter. I urge you to fight for our businesses along with also addressing our health issues. It appears that Friar Tuck's is attempting methods to keep customers safe while still being able to keep their doors open. Why not use their new procedures as a test case that perhaps will prove useful to other businesses?

Certainly more people refusing to mask at all possibly add to danger of spreading the virus. We need many more refined statistics. It appears that our businesses and in this case, restaurants in the area, are already going to outrageous expense in keeping their doors open at all and trying to retain employees as best they can.

I applaud Friar Tuck's for going above and beyond in trying to keep their employees and patrons safe. I've enjoyed meals in several local restaurants and they are all in keeping with the social distance requirements, masking, cleanliness, etc.

Let's not allow promises of state funding "if" to damage our already shaky economy. Fight for our businesses, fight for new experimentation, and fight for freedom from oppression! Thank you for supporting the county we love so much.

Maudie Walker  
Sent from my iPad

Sent from my iPad