NEVADA COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION NEVADA COUNTY, CALIFORNIA								
MINUTES Center,	950 of the n	neeting of A Maidu	August 12, 202 Avenue,	21, 1:30 p.m., Nevada	Board Ch City,	ambers, Eric R California	ood Admin via	istration remote
MEMBER	S PRESI	E <b>NT:</b> Comr	nissioners Dur	ncan, Greeno,	Ingram Spo	encer, and Mast	rodonato.	
MEMBER	S ABSE	NT: Colema	an-Hunt.					
Counsel, R	hetta Va	inderPloeg;		nner, Lucas		, Tyler Barringt Senior CDA Te		
PUBLIC H	IEARIN(	GS:						
1. Me		3; RZN21-0	002				Page 1, L	ine 46
STANDIN	G ORDE	ERS: Salute	to the Flag - R	toll Call - Cor	rections to	Agenda.		
CALL ME	ETING '	TO ORDE	<b>R:</b> The meetin	g was called to	o order at 1	:30 p.m. Roll ca	all was take	n.
CHANGE	S TO AG	SENDA: C	Chairman Dunc	can asked if th	ere are any	corrections to t	the agenda.	
Meeting are	e going to	be pulled	from the Cons	ent Items as C	Commission	06/24/2021 Paner Coleman-Hought back to the	unt express	ed some
appearing of the Planning	on the age	enda which a nission, pro	are of interest t	to the public at a action shal	nd are with I be taker	dress the Commin the subject muless otherw	natter jurisd	iction of
COMMIS	SION BU	SINESS: N	Vone					
		e of 202	1-06-24 Plar	nning Comm	nission He	earing Minutes	s (remove	d from
PUBLIC H	IEARIN(	G:						
designation This would Forest with	n of a 55 d result in the Sub	.04-acre pa n an amend odivision L	rcel (APN: 00 Iment to Zoni imitation Con	65-270-005) ng District M nbining Distr	for timber lap #87 to rict (FR-X)	Supervisors to land preservation change the pa to Timberland roject is a rezon	on (RZN2) rcel's zonia d Productio	1-0002). ng from on Zone

15264. **RECOMMENDED PROJECT ACTION**: Recommend that the Board of Supervisors adopt the Ordinance amending Zoning District Map (ZDM) #87 to rezone APN: 065-270-005 from Forest with the Subdivision Limitation Combining District (FR-X) to Timberland Production Zone with the Subdivision Limitation Combining District (TPZ-X), based on the findings contained with the Ordinance. **PLANNER**: Lucas Kannall, Associate Planner

58 59 60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72 73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

54

55

56

57

Associate Planner Lucas Kannall introduced himself to the Commission and introduced the property owner, Marcus Mena. Planner Kannall provided the location of the property which is located approximately 9 miles east of the City of Nevada City on Banner Quaker Hill Road. The property is a 55.04 acre parcel which is currently zoned (FR-X) Forest with the Subdivision Limitation Combining District, General Plan designation of Forest 160 and located on Zoning District Map 87. Planner Kannall explained the surrounding parcels are all either zoned (FR-X) Forest or (TPZ) Timber Forest Zoning. The existing zoning of (FR-X) Forest is meant for the protection and production of timber but there are a variety of commercial and institutional uses allowable within this zoning designation. He explained the property owner is requesting a change from the (FR-X) Forest with the Subdivision Limitation Combining District to (TPZ-X) Timber Production Zone with the Subdivision Limitation Combining District remaining in place. Planner Kannall continued to explain the County Timber Production Zoning District (TPZ) was created in the 1976 Forest Taxation Reform Act, intended to reduce taxes on timber production lands, to better allow the continued use of the property for timber production, so that these timber lands will not be lost in development. The Timber Production Zoning District (TPZ) is more restrictive than the Forest Zoning District (FR-X). Planner Kannall continued to explain the differences between the two zoning districts and the criteria that must be met to qualify for the Timber Production Zoning District (TPZ). Certain uses that are allowed within the (FR-X) Forest Zoning District are not allowed in the Timber Production District (TPZ) such as social event facilities, wineries, kennels and home businesses. Planner Kannall advised the Forest Management Plan prepared by Summit Forestry Services included the required components and adequately demonstrates the site is appropriate for the proposed Timber Production Zoning District (TPZ). When the next harvest is proposed, the Property Owner will be required to submit a Timber Harvest Plan, which is reviewed by the State Department of Forestry. Planner Kannall explained the valuation of timber properties is determined by two factors; general forest type and site classification. The County Assessor has determined this property is a Site 1 classification which is based on productivity potential. Planner Kannall stated the rezone request is consistent with several of the goals and policies of the General Plan which specifically supports the use of the Timer Production to protect our Timberlands. The property is compatible with the proposed Timer Production Zoning District (TPZ), as shown by the Forest Management Plan, which was prepared in accordance with the requirements and demonstrates the site is capable and appropriate for commercial timber production and harvest. Planner Kannall concluded his presentation and offered to answer any questions.

90 91 92

Chair Duncan asked if the Applicant would like to speak to the Commission. The applicant stated he did not have anything to add.

93 94 95

Commissioner Spencer Ingram asked if the Applicant could step forward and answer a few questions. Commission Spencer Ingram asked if there was any intent for the existing well on the property and asked with the change of the zoning if it would allow for at least one residential structure to be built.

97 98 99

96

Mr. Mena advised he does not have any intensions to build on this property.

100 101

Commissioner Spencer Ingram asked if TPZ Zoning District allows for one residence to be built on this property.

Planner Kannall advised the Timber production Zoning District (TPZ) does allow for one single family residence and one accessory dwelling unit.

106

107 Commissioner Spencer Ingram asked if a house was built if the property taxes would be assessed based 108 on that residence.

109

110 Planner Kannall advised that was correct.

111

112 Commissioner Ingram Spencer thanked both Mr. Mena and Planner Kannall.

113

114 Chair Duncan asked if there were any further questions with none forthcoming she opened public comment.

116

117 Chair Duncan opened public comment at 1:41 p.m.

118

119 Chair Duncan closed public comment at 1:41 p.m.

120

Motion by Commissioner Greeno to, approve the recommendation that the Board of Supervisors find that the adoption of timberland preserve zones is statutorily exempt from the requirement to prepare an EIR or Negative Declaration pursuant to Section 15264 of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) guidelines.

125

Second by Commissioner Ingram Spencer. Motion carried on a roll call vote 4/0. (Commissioner Coleman-Hunt was absent.)

128

Motion by Commissioner Greeno to, approve the recommendation that that Board of Supervisors adopt the attached Ordinance amending Zoning District Map (ZDM) #87 to rezone APN: 065-270-005 from Forest with the Subdivision Limitation Combining District (FR-X) to Timberland Production Zone with the Subdivision Limitation Combining District (TPZ-X), based on the findings contained with the Ordinance.

134 135

Second by Commissioner Ingram Spencer. Motion carried on a roll call vote 4/0. (Commissioner Coleman-Hunt was absent.)

136 137 138

Principal Planner Barrington advised this item is a recommendation to the Board of Supervisors and there is no appeal period.

139 140

141 Chairman Duncan asked if Director Foss had anything for the Commission.

142

158

143 Director Foss stated he can give a general overview of our cannabis program. There have been some comment letters sent to the Commission regarding the cannabis ordinance and specific projects, however, 144 since these projects are administrative, we do not have the ability to agenize specific projects or project 145 comments, since these projects due not fall under the Planning Commission jurisdiction. He offered to set 146 up individual phone calls if there are certain projects any of the Commissioners would like to discuss that 147 are in their districts or of interest. Director Foss stated we have approximately 230-240 applications in 148 process, 100 or so have been approved, and as the numbers grow, there have been complaints on certain 149 150 projects. The complaints range from being against cannabis cultivation in general, odor, traffic, and ground water use. Direct Foss explained there is an appeals process for any cannabis project that is approved or 151 denied. There hasn't been any project officially appealed at this time. We have received communications 152 from neighboring parcels, near applications in process, which have indicated they would like to appeal if 153 and when the project is approved. If that occurs, the appeal will go to the Board of Supervisors. The 154 ordinance allows for an administrative approval process so there is no discretion involved. There is no 155 156 hearing body or public notice. There are a number of development standards and criteria that must be met including setbacks, minimum parcel sizes, maximum grow areas, legal access to property, environmental 157

health requirements with well and septic along with Fire requirements such as safe buildings, public access,

parking, etc. If all those requirements are met and consistent with the code there is no discretion based on the neighborhood; if they are against it or do not believe it is appropriate for their neighborhood. During the development of the Ordinance and Environmental Impact Report (EIR), those areas were analyzed and addressed and decided upon by the Board of Supervisors. This ordinance has been in place since 2019. Planner Foss stated it is not a perfect ordinance at this time. Both staff and the cannabis growing community can see areas that need to be improved as well as neighborhoods that would like tighter controls or more protection of their neighborhoods. Director Foss advised there have been ongoing conversations with the Board of Supervisors, Cannabis Alliance and recently with some neighborhood groups. He stated there have been some policy interpretations at a staff level to try to streamline the ordinance requirements or provide clarification on when certain things are required such as porta potties, etc. Director Foss stated the idea is that there will be some amendments, directed by the Board of Supervisors, to go through items the Board would like to address. There is no time frame for Ordinance Amendments, however he feels they will happen at the end of this year or into next year. Those amendments will go through the Planning Commission for recommendation to the Board of Supervisors. Director Foss advised he is happy to talk to any of the Commissioners outside of the public hearing for informational purposes. He offered to answer any general or Ordinance related questions.

Chair Duncan asked if there were any questions.

Commissioner Greeno stated he had a question regarding another topic. He stated there has been a limitation of public access to the area east of Truckee on Old 40, which is now part of the bikeway from Lake Tahoe to Pyramid. He asked if this was being address by Planning.

 Director Foss stated he is aware of a Lot Line Adjustment in process which the road in question goes through. He advised the Lot Line Adjustment is an administrative project so we are limited at the staff level on what we can look at. He also stated the road Commissioner Greeno referred to as Old 40 is a private road. Director Foss advised he needs to look into this further if there is blocking of access to state lands or the navigable water ways.

Commissioner Greeno asked about roads and right-a-ways that were established prior to the map act.

Director Foss advised Hirschdale Road is county maintained and is a public right away. He also stated the other road, which Commissioner Greeno referred to as Old 40, is a private road so the County jurisdiction ends where it is publicly maintained.

Commissioner Greeno asked where that is located.

Director Foss advised he believes it is on Hirschdale Road.

Commissioner Greeno asked if it is located on the other side of the bridge. He stated the bridge is currently slated to be improved or replaced by the County.

Deputy County Counsel VanderPloeg advised this conversation item is not agenized and should be discussed outside of the public hearing.

Director Foss advised he would look into it further and contact Commissioner Greeno privately.

Chair Duncan thanked Director Foss for his Cannabis update. This update is exactly what she was hoping the Commission would receive when she asked for Cannabis to be included on the agenda. Chair Duncan stated we will most likely see amendments to the ordinance in front of the Commission sooner rather than later as we don't always have a full grasp on a first time approach to something. She stated it's been a good learning experience on what works for the community and what could be made better.

Chair Duncan asked about pending applications status. She stated Quick Quack and Saw Mill projects are still lacking their information and if they are addressing their application status.

- Principal Planner Tyler Barrington stated there was a meeting with the representative for the Saw Mill project and they are looking at amending their application and debating on whether to keep the greenway portion of their application. This meeting included Planning, Public Works, Environmental Health and Fire so they are looking to move forward at some point. Planner Barrington stated Quick Quack was issued an incomplete letter and they are aware of what they need to do next.
- 221 Chair Duncan asked if these projects will come to the Commission any time soon.

Planner Barrington advised it could relatively be any time.

220

222

223

226

232

237

239240

250

255

258

263

266

270

withdrawn.

Chair Duncan confirmed.

- Chair Duncan asked if the Darkhorse project that was removed from the Pending Projects list was
- Planner Barrington advised it has not been withdrawn however we haven't had any communication in a number of years regarding this project. Planner Barrington confirmed with Chair Duncan she is referring
- to the Darkhorse tree project.

  230 to the Darkhorse tree project.
- Director Foss advised when the new owners took over, several years ago, they requested the current application remain open as they may pick it back up. There has been no communication since. Director Foss stated we will reach out to them and check on the status.
- Chair Duncan stated we should send a letter to let them know their status.
- Chair Duncan asked if there is an update for the Mine project and when it might be presented to the

Director Foss stated a letter is generated that usually will give them 30 days to respond.

- Commission; this year or in 2022.
- Director Foss advised we are still working on the admin draft of the Environmental Impact Report (EIR) and are working with the consultant. Once the draft Environmental Impact Report (EIR) is complete we can release it for a public comments period. Director Foss advised that during the public comments period, we hold a public hearing with the Planning Commission to take comments on the Environmental Impact Report (EIR). No action is taken, just comments received. He explained this should happen this year.
- Commissioner Ingram-Spencer asked if this would be a draft Environmental Impact Report (EIR) or the final Environmental Impact Report (EIR).
- Director Foss advised it would be the draft Environmental Impact Report (EIR).
- Planner Barrington explained the draft Environmental Impact Report (EIR) is the actual Environmental Impact Report (EIR).
- Director Foss explained it's the Draft Environmental Impact Report (EIR) that is reviewed for public comment. After response to comments are completed, the Environmental Impact Report (EIR) isn't certified until it is taken to the Planning Commission and the Board of Supervisors for approval. Once approved it becomes the final Environmental Impact Report (EIR).
- Commissioner Spencer-Ingram asked if the upcoming hearing would be for input and would resolve issues that come forward.
- Director Foss advised the hearing would take public comments and input to the adequacy of the Environmental Impact Report (EIR). It is the consultants' responsibility to respond to comments and either make amendments or provide comments as to why amendments were not made.
- 271 Chair Duncan asked if Commissioner Mastrodenato has any questions.

Commissioner Mastrodenato stated he does not have any questions but thanked the Commission for accommodating his need to join the meeting via zoom. Director Foss advised he has one more update for the Commission in regards to the South County Area Plan/Higgins Area Plan. There will be a kick-off meeting next Wednesday 08/18/2021 at 6PM with the South County Municipal Advisory Committee along with our consultant and staff to kick off the process with the MAC meeting. There will be future meetings to get public input. Chair Duncan asked if this is an update to the current Area Plan in place. Director Foss explained this would be an update/revision to the current area plan that was approved in 2000. Chair Duncan asked if this plan will include the proposed affordable housing project. Director Foss stated the area plan will include the property and depending on the process for timing, there may or may not be some acknowledgment of the proposed project. It will identify the property was rezoned to accommodate x-number of units. This includes a couple sites with one on Cameo Drive and one on Woodridge. Chair Duncan thanked Director Foss for his input. Director Foss introduced David Nicholas, the new Assistant Planner in the Planning Department, to the commission. Commissioners welcomed Planner Nicholas. Chair Duncan asked if there was anything else from staff. Director Foss advised there was not. Chair Duncan asked if there was a motion to adjourn. Motion by Commissioner Ingram-Spencer; second by Commissioner Greeno to adjourn. Motion **carried on voice vote 4/0.** (Commissioner Coleman-Hunt was absent.) There being no further business to come before the Commission, the meeting was adjourned at 2:00 p.m. to the next meeting is to be determined, in the Board of Supervisors Chambers, 950 Maidu Avenue, Nevada City. Passed and accepted this 23 day of September, 2021. Brian Foss (by sr) Brian Foss, Ex-Officio Secretary