

**1. Agency Information**

- a. Agency Name Nevada County Sheriff's Office
- b. Organizational Unit 16
- c. Address 950 Maidu Ave
- d. Address 2
- e. City Nevada City State CA Zip 95959-8600
- f. Federal ID Number 94-6000526 Reference No. 4000000098-02
- g. Agency fiscal year (beginning month and day) July-01
- h. Agency Type
  - City  County
  - U.S. Forest Service  U.S. Forest Service - Patrol District
  - U.S. Bureau of Land Management  Other Federal Agency
  - Federally or State Recognized Native American Tribe  Educational Institution
  - Nonprofit Organization - 501(c)(3) status only  State Agency
  - District  Certified Community Conservation Corps

**2. Project Information**

- a. Project Name General Application Requirements
- b. Is implementing agency same as Agency  Yes  No
- c. Implementing Agency Name
- d. Amount of Funds Requested Project Cost

**Project Request(s) Summary**

#	Project Type	Project Title	Grant Request	Match	Total Project Cost
1	G17-03-16-L01	Law Enforcement	39,648	13,298	52,946

**3. Contact**

a. Authorized Representative

Name	Keith Royal					
Title	Sheriff					
Mailing Address	950 Maidu Ave					
City	Nevada County	State	CA	Zip	95959-8600	
Telephone	(530) 265-1471			Fax	(530) 470-0439	
E-mail Address	keith.royal@co.nevada.ca.us					

b. Project Administrator

Name	Daniel Saunders					
Title	Sergeant					
Mailing Address	950 Maidu Ave					
City	Nevada County	State	CA	Zip	95959-8600	
Telephone	(530) 550-3062			Fax	(530) 470-0439	
E-mail Address	daniel.saunders@co.nevada.ca.us					

**A. Location Map**

<b>Attachment Title</b>	<b>Attachment</b>

**A. Equipment Inventory**

Has your agency purchased any Equipment with OHV Trust Funds within the last five (5) years?  Yes  No

#	Item Description	Make	Model	Model Year	Vehicle Identification Number (VIN) or Serial Number	Project Agreement Number

**PART 1 - DETERMINE THE NEED FOR FULL HABITAT MANAGEMENT PROGRAM (HMP)**

All Applicants submitting Projects involving Ground Disturbing Activities are subject to HMP requirements. The HMP must cover the combined Project Area of all proposed Projects with Ground Disturbing Activities.

Applicants able to certify that none of the proposed activities listed in the Application in areas open to legal OHV Recreation contain any risk factors to special-status species and/or sensitive habitats shall submit only HMP Part 1. Applicants who cannot certify that the proposed activities listed in the Application in areas open to legal OHV Recreation do not contain any risk factors to special-status species and/or sensitive habitats shall submit HMP Parts 1 and 2.

1. Do any of your proposed projects involve Ground Disturbing Activities? (If you checked  Yes  No YES, complete #2. If you checked NO, stop here.)
2. Can the Applicant certify that none of the proposed Projects with Ground Disturbing Activities in areas open to legal OHV Recreation contain any risk factors to special-status species and/or sensitive habitats? (If you checked YES, stop here. If you checked NO, continue (complete HMP PART 2.)  Yes  No

**PART 2 - HABITAT MANAGEMENT PROGRAM (HMP)**

Attachment Title	Attachment

**PART 2 - HABITAT MANAGEMENT PROGRAM (HMP) - Additional attachments (large size)**

- i. Attachment 1
- ii. Attachment 2
- iii. Attachment 3
- iv. Attachment 4
- v. Attachment 5

**PART 1 - DETERMINE THE NEED FOR FULL SOIL CONSERVATION PLAN (SCP)**

**All Applicants submitting Projects involving Ground Disturbing Activities shall submit a Soil Conservation Plan (SCP) that achieves the Soil Conservation Standard with regard to the proposed Project(s). The SCP must cover the combined Project Area of all proposed Projects with Ground Disturbing Activities.**

Applicants able to certify that none of the proposed activities listed in the Application in areas open to legal OHV Recreation have potential to cause erosion or sedimentation which significantly affects resource values beyond the Facilities, or generate soil loss that exceeds restorability, shall submit SCP Part 1.

Applicants who cannot certify that the proposed activities listed in the Application in areas open to legal OHV Recreation have no potential to cause erosion or sedimentation which significantly affects resource values beyond the Facilities, or generate soil loss that exceeds restorability shall submit SCP Parts 1 and 2.

Can the Applicant certify that none of the proposed Projects with Ground Disturbing Activities in areas open to legal OHV Recreation have potential to cause erosion or sedimentation which significantly affects resource values beyond the Facilities, or generate soil loss that exceeds restorability? (If you checked YES, you are done with the SCP)  Yes  No

**PART 2 - SOIL CONSERVATION PLAN**

Attachment Title	Attachment

**PART 2 - SOIL CONSERVATION PLAN - Additional attachments (large size)**

- i. Attachment 1
- ii. Attachment 2
- iii. Attachment 3
- iv. Attachment 4
- v. Attachment 5

**A. Public Notification Efforts**

(Check all that apply)

- Notice to interested person(s) (Enter date in mm/dd/yyyy format)
- Published on Applicant's website (Enter date in mm/dd/yyyy format)
- Published in local newspaper
- News release issued
- Public meeting(s)/hearing(s) held

**B. Public Comments**

**C. Application Development as a result of Public Comments**

- a. Were changes made to the Application as a result of public comments?  Yes  No
- b. Describe how public comments affected the Application

**1. Applicant Certifications**

**A. General Conditions**

- A.  The Applicant hereby certifies, under the penalty of perjury, compliance with the following terms and conditions:
1. If the Project involves a Ground Disturbing Activity, the Applicant agrees to monitor the condition of soils and wildlife in the Project Area each year in order to determine whether the soil conservation standard adopted pursuant to Public Resource Code (PRC), Section 5090.35 and the HMP prepared pursuant to Section 5090.53(a) are being met.
  2. If the Project involves a Ground Disturbing Activity, the Applicant agrees that, whenever the soil conservation standard adopted pursuant to PRC Section 5090.35 is not being met in any portion of a Project Area, the recipient shall close temporarily that noncompliant portion, to repair and prevent accelerated erosion, until the same soil conservation standard adopted pursuant to PRC Section 5090.35 is met.
  3. If the Project involves a Ground Disturbing Activity, the Applicant agrees that, whenever the HMP prepared pursuant to PRC Section 5090.53(a) is not being met in any portion of a Project Area, the recipient shall close temporarily that noncompliant portion until the same HMP prepared pursuant to PRC Section 5090.53(a) is met.
  4. The Applicant agrees to enforce the registration of off-highway motor vehicles and the other provisions of Division 16.5 (commencing with Section 38000) of the Vehicle Code and to enforce the other applicable laws regarding the operation of off-highway motor vehicles.
  5. The Applicant agrees to cooperate with appropriate law enforcement entities to provide proper law enforcement at and around the Facility.
  6. The Applicant's Project is in accordance with local or federal plans and the strategic plan for OHV Recreation prepared by the OHMVR Division.

**B. Programmatic Conditions**

- B. **The Applicant must describe the following programmatic conditions:**
1. Identify the potential for the facility to reduce illegal and unauthorized OHV Recreation activities in the surrounding areas:
  2. Describe how the Applicant is meeting the operations and maintenance needs of any existing OHV Recreation Facility under its jurisdiction:

**C. Fee Collection**

Describe how fees collected pursuant to Section 38230 of the Vehicle Code (in-lieu funds) are utilized and whether the fees complement the Applicant's proposed Project:

**D. Compliance with PRC 5090.50(b)(1)(C)**

Projects within the O&M category that affect lands identified as inventoried roadless areas by the U.S. Forest Service, are compliant with PRC 5090.50(b)(1)(C).  Yes  No

**2. Governing Body Resolution**

Attachment Title	Attachment



Certification – Required Documents for Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program - 2017/2018  
Agency: Nevada County Sheriff's Office  
Application: General Application Requirements

---

1. **Written Permission with Land Manager**

Attachment Title	Attachment

2. **Verification of Nonprofit 501(c)(3) Status**

Attachment Title	Attachment

3. **Nonprofit's IRS Form 990 or equivalent**

Attachment Title	Attachment

### **ITEM 1. Proposed Project**

The Nevada County Sheriff's Office services the unincorporated areas of Nevada County which include all of the federal lands in Nevada County. The federal lands include the Tahoe National Forest to the East of Nevada City and Bureau of Land Management lands to the North and Southeast of Nevada City. Large tracts of private lands are interspersed within the public lands.

In the Tahoe National Forest lands within Nevada County there are 80 miles of designated motorized OHV trails and 68 miles of OSV trails. There are five high use OHV/OSV trailheads/staging areas serving the eastern part of Nevada County located at, Eagle Lakes Road, Rattlesnake Road, Meadow Lake, Prosser Hills and Little Truckee Summit. There is one dedicated OHV area located at Prosser Pits and one high OHV/OSV use area located at Boca Reservoir.

In Western Nevada County there is one dedicated OHV trailhead located at Chalk Bluff. There are six high use OHV areas that include Greenhorn Creek, Steephollow Creek, Jackass Flats, Deadman's Flat, Auburn Road, and Town of Washington areas.

This project will allow the Nevada County Sheriff's Office to positively impact OHV/OSV problems ranging from registration violations to fatal collisions. We will contact OHV/OSV enthusiasts, educate and take enforcement action when and where necessary to ensure that all OHV/OSV's are properly operated as required by law. This will include those vehicles brought into our county from out of the state that are not properly permitted as required by 38087.5 CVC. We will increase the level of safety and fire prevention by enforcing equipment violations ensuring OHV's are properly fitted with spark arrestors. We will have a positive impact on the number of injuries and deaths caused by ATV and ROV riders not being properly equipped with helmets, operating ATV's with more than the one person on board unless equipped to do so and minors operating ATV's and ROV's without proper supervision. We will aggressively seek to educate and enforce egregious violations of unsafe operation including speed and reckless operation. We will make contact with those OHV/OSV enthusiasts who trespass on private property and reduce the problem through a program of education and enforcement. We will patrol and make education and enforcement contacts relative to complaints of noise, dust and other disturbances.

We will work in conjunction with USFS and BLM law enforcement officers to meet our common goal of educating OHV/OSV enthusiasts and enforcement of OHV/OSV violations.

### **ITEM 2. Project Coverage**

The area to be patrolled is located primarily to the east of Nevada City. This area extends to the Nevada State line with the exception of Deadman's Flat and Auburn Rd which are located west of Nevada City. This area encompasses approximately 508 square miles. This area includes all of the USFS lands and the majority of the BLM lands in Nevada County. The public lands to be patrolled total 267 square miles.

### **ITEM 3. Describe the frequency of the patrols**

Patrols will be conducted in the Chalk Bluff, Greenhorn Creek, Steephollow Creek, Auburn Road, Jackass Flats, Deadman's Flat, Town of Washington, Bowman Lake, Rattlesnake Road, Meadow Lake, Prosser Hills, Little Truckee Summit, Prosser Pits and Boca Reservoir areas.

Extra patrols will be conducted on high use weekends such as Memorial Day, Independence Day, Labor Day and Martin Luther King Day.

As problematic OHV/OSV use areas are identified they will receive increased patrols.

Current OHV patrols are conducted on weekends and consist of two (2) units. Generally, one unit will handle Western Nevada County, while the second patrols the East side of the County. Staffing levels will ultimately dictate the frequency of patrols. Adjustments to these patrols will be adjusted as needed to cover the more problematic and high use areas.

**ITEM 4. Deployment of Personnel**

A Sergeant will be assigned to oversee the project and will periodically patrol with Deputies to evaluate performance and safety procedures. The Sergeant will evaluate complaints related to OHV/OSV activity and determine the appropriate action to resolve these complaints.

Two Deputies will be deployed via OHV/OSV or patrol vehicle for patrol activities. Deputies will work eight to ten hour shifts while on patrol and vary the start times to provide the best service to the OHV/OSV community.

An accountant will be assigned to assist with budget expenditure tracking and billing.

**District and County Information**

**A. California State Senate Districts**

Select one or more of the California State Senate Districts where the proposed project activities will occur. Copy and Paste the URL ([http://www.legislature.ca.gov/legislators\\_and\\_districts/districts/districts.html](http://www.legislature.ca.gov/legislators_and_districts/districts/districts.html)) in your browser to determine the State Senate district(s).

- |   |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> State Senate 01 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Senate 02 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Senate 03 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Senate 04 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Senate 05 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> State Senate 06            | <input type="checkbox"/> State Senate 07 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Senate 08 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Senate 09 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Senate 10 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> State Senate 11            | <input type="checkbox"/> State Senate 12 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Senate 13 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Senate 14 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Senate 15 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> State Senate 16            | <input type="checkbox"/> State Senate 17 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Senate 18 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Senate 19 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Senate 20 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> State Senate 21            | <input type="checkbox"/> State Senate 22 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Senate 23 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Senate 24 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Senate 25 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> State Senate 26            | <input type="checkbox"/> State Senate 27 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Senate 28 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Senate 29 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Senate 30 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> State Senate 31            | <input type="checkbox"/> State Senate 32 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Senate 33 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Senate 34 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Senate 35 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> State Senate 36            | <input type="checkbox"/> State Senate 37 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Senate 38 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Senate 39 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Senate 40 |

**B. California State Assembly Districts**

Select one or more of the California State Assembly Districts where the proposed project activities will occur. Copy and Paste the URL ([http://www.legislature.ca.gov/legislators\\_and\\_districts/districts/districts.html](http://www.legislature.ca.gov/legislators_and_districts/districts/districts.html)) in your browser to determine the State Assembly district(s).

- |  |   |   |   |   |
|--|---|---|---|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> State Assembly<br>01 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly<br>02 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly<br>03 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly<br>04 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly<br>05 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly<br>06            | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly<br>07 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly<br>08 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly<br>09 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly<br>10 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly<br>11            | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly<br>12 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly<br>13 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly<br>14 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly<br>15 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly<br>16            | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly<br>17 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly<br>18 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly<br>19 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly<br>20 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly<br>21            | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly<br>22 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly<br>23 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly<br>24 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly<br>25 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly<br>26            | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly<br>27 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly<br>28 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly<br>29 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly<br>30 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly<br>31            | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly<br>32 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly<br>33 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly<br>34 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly<br>35 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly<br>36            | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly<br>37 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly<br>38 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly<br>39 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly<br>40 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly<br>41            | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly<br>42 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly<br>43 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly<br>44 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly<br>45 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly<br>46            | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly<br>47 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly<br>48 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly<br>49 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly<br>50 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly<br>51            | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly<br>52 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly<br>53 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly<br>54 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly<br>55 |

- |   |   |   |   |   |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly<br>56 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly<br>57 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly<br>58 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly<br>59 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly<br>60 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly<br>61 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly<br>62 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly<br>63 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly<br>64 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly<br>65 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly<br>66 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly<br>67 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly<br>68 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly<br>69 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly<br>70 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly<br>71 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly<br>72 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly<br>73 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly<br>74 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly<br>75 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly<br>76 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly<br>77 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly<br>78 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly<br>79 | <input type="checkbox"/> State Assembly<br>80 |

**C. California Congressional Districts**

Select one or more of the California Congressional Districts where the proposed project activities will occur. Copy and Paste the URL (<https://www.govtrack.us/congress/members/CA>) in your browser to determine the Congressional district(s).

- |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 1 | <input type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 2  | <input type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 3  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 4 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 5            | <input type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 6  | <input type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 7  | <input type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 8            |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 9            | <input type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 10 | <input type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 11 | <input type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 12           |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 13           | <input type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 14 | <input type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 15 | <input type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 16           |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 17           | <input type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 18 | <input type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 19 | <input type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 20           |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 21           | <input type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 22 | <input type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 23 | <input type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 24           |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 25           | <input type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 26 | <input type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 27 | <input type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 28           |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 29           | <input type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 30 | <input type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 31 | <input type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 32           |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 33           | <input type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 34 | <input type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 35 | <input type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 36           |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 37           | <input type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 38 | <input type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 39 | <input type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 40           |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 41           | <input type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 42 | <input type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 43 | <input type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 44           |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 45           | <input type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 46 | <input type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 47 | <input type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 48           |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 49           | <input type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 50 | <input type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 51 | <input type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 52           |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Congressional District 53           |  |  |  |

**D. County**

Select one or more of the California Counties where the proposed project activities will occur.

- |                                       |  |                                      |                                     |  |   |
|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Alameda      | <input type="checkbox"/> Alpine        | <input type="checkbox"/> Amador      | <input type="checkbox"/> Butte      | <input type="checkbox"/> Calaveras         | <input type="checkbox"/> Colusa         |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Contra Costa | <input type="checkbox"/> Del Norte     | <input type="checkbox"/> El Dorado   | <input type="checkbox"/> Fresno     | <input type="checkbox"/> Glenn             | <input type="checkbox"/> Humboldt       |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Imperial     | <input type="checkbox"/> Inyo          | <input type="checkbox"/> Kern        | <input type="checkbox"/> Kings      | <input type="checkbox"/> Lake              | <input type="checkbox"/> Lassen         |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Los Angeles  | <input type="checkbox"/> Madera        | <input type="checkbox"/> Marin       | <input type="checkbox"/> Mariposa   | <input type="checkbox"/> Mendocino         | <input type="checkbox"/> Merced         |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Modoc        | <input type="checkbox"/> Mono          | <input type="checkbox"/> Monterey    | <input type="checkbox"/> Napa       | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Nevada | <input type="checkbox"/> Orange         |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Placer       | <input type="checkbox"/> Plumas        | <input type="checkbox"/> Riverside   | <input type="checkbox"/> Sacramento | <input type="checkbox"/> San Benito        | <input type="checkbox"/> San Bernardino |
| <input type="checkbox"/> San Diego    | <input type="checkbox"/> San Francisco | <input type="checkbox"/> San Joaquin | <input type="checkbox"/> San Luis   | <input type="checkbox"/> San Mateo         | <input type="checkbox"/> Santa Barbara  |

<input type="checkbox"/> Santa Clara	<input type="checkbox"/> Santa Cruz	<input type="checkbox"/> Shasta	<input type="checkbox"/> Sierra	<input type="checkbox"/> Siskiyou	<input type="checkbox"/> Solano
<input type="checkbox"/> Sonoma	<input type="checkbox"/> Stanislaus	<input type="checkbox"/> Sutter	<input type="checkbox"/> Tehama	<input type="checkbox"/> Trinity	<input type="checkbox"/> Tulare
<input type="checkbox"/> Tuolumne	<input type="checkbox"/> Ventura	<input type="checkbox"/> Yolo	<input type="checkbox"/> Yuba		

**Law Enforcement - Page 1**

1. Identify areas with high priority law enforcement needs because of public safety, cultural resources, and sensitive environmental habitats, including wilderness areas and areas of critical environmental concerns:
  1. The Greenhorn Creek, Chalk Bluff and Steepollow Creek areas have historically been a high use OHV area seeing many OHV enthusiasts each weekend. With the closure of Hanson Brothers and BLM properties in the Greenhorn Creek area, there will be increased intrusions onto public and private lands that have been traditionally closed to OHV use.
  2. The Steepollow Creek area located to the southeast of Greenhorn Creek has seen an increase of OHV use due to the Greenhorn Creek closures. The public lands surrounding Steepollow Creek are managed by the BLM and are closed to OHV use. Nevada Irrigation District and private land owners are experiencing intrusions onto their private lands that are also closed to OHV use.
  3. The Boca Reservoir, Prosser Reservoir and the Interstate 80 corridor areas have a high percentage of OHV enthusiasts from out of state utilizing the area. There will continue to be a large number of OHV/OSV's without Non-resident Special Operating Permits or registration from their states.
2. Describe how the proposed Project relates to OHV Recreation and will sustain OHV Recreation, motorized off-highway access to non-motorized recreation, or OHV Opportunities associated with the Project Area:

By coupling aggressive education with enforcement activities this project will allow safe OHV/OSV opportunities in Nevada County. The project will help reduce the number of OHV/OSV complaints directed towards federal land agencies by neighboring private landowners which will help reduce potential public land closures to OHV/OSV recreation. The projects will also ensure that out of state OHV/OSV users comply with California's laws and standards of safe OHV/OSV operation.
3. Describe the Applicant's formal or informal cooperation with other law enforcement agencies:

The Nevada County Sheriff's Office works with the USFS, BLM and State Parks on a mutual aid basis.

Additionally the USFS contracts with the Nevada County Sheriff's Office for additional law enforcement coverage during the summer months. One deputy is assigned to patrol the USFS Lands within Nevada County.

**Law Enforcement - Page 2**

4. Does the Applicant recover a portion of the law enforcement costs directly associated with privately sponsored OHV events where sponsors have obtained a local permit?  Yes  No  

Explain:  
The privately sponsored OHV/OSV events in Nevada County obtain their use permits through the USFS. The Nevada County Sheriff's Office receives no funds from these events.
5. The Applicant agrees to implement a public education program that includes information on safety programs available in the area and how to report OHV violations?  Yes  No
6. Describe the Applicant's OHV law enforcement training program including how the training program educates personnel to address OHV safety and natural and cultural resource protection:

The Nevada County Sheriff's Office conducts hands on training for deputies on OHV/OSV operation and safety as needed. The training is currently provided for select deputies and specialized teams. Briefing training is provided for all deputies on vehicle code sections pertaining to OHV/OSV operation and enforcement. Additionally selected deputies will be sent to OHV Enforcement Training provided by the California State Parks OHV Program. Natural and cultural resource protection is addressed in OHV enforcement training.

**Law Enforcement - Page 3**

7. Is the proposed project in accordance with local or federal plans and the OHMVR Division Strategic Plan?  Yes  No

8. LOCAL AGENCIES ONLY - Describe the Applicant's policies and/or agreements regarding enforcement on federal land:

The Nevada County Sheriff's Office enforces all local and state laws on public lands within its jurisdiction pursuant to a memorandum of understanding with Federal Agencies.

9. COUNTIES ONLY - Describe how the OHV in-lieu of tax funds are being used and whether the use of these fees complements the Applicant's project:

The Nevada County Sheriff's Office uses its in-lieu of tax funds for OHV/OSV enforcement during daily operations. These operations include, patrols in high use areas, designated areas as well as areas of ingress and egress. These patrols are done in marked Sheriff 4x4 vehicles, on quads, snowmobiles, snowcat and at times on foot. A portion of these funds will be used as matching funds for these listed patrols related to this project.

**Law Enforcement - Page 4**

10. APPLICANTS WHO MANAGE OHV RECREATION FACILITIES – Describe how your organization is meeting its operation and maintenance needs:

The Nevada County Sheriff's Office does not manage any OHV Facilities.

11. The Applicant agrees to enforce the registration of OHVs and the other provision of Division 16.5 commencing with Section 38000 of the vehicle code and to enforce other applicable laws regarding the operation of OHVs?  Yes  No

Project Cost Estimate for Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program - 2017/2018  
 Agency: Nevada County Sheriff's Office  
 Application: Law Enforcement

2/9/2018

<b>APPLICANT NAME :</b>	Nevada County Sheriff's Office				
<b>PROJECT TITLE :</b>	Law Enforcement	<b>PROJECT NUMBER (Division use only) :</b>		G17-03-16-L01	
<b>PROJECT TYPE :</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Law Enforcement	<input type="checkbox"/> Restoration	<input type="checkbox"/> Education & Safety	<input type="checkbox"/> Acquisition	
	<input type="checkbox"/> Development	<input type="checkbox"/> Ground Operations	<input type="checkbox"/> Planning		
<b>PROJECT DESCRIPTION :</b>	<p>The Nevada County Sheriff's Office services the unincorporated areas of Nevada County which include all of the federal lands in Nevada County. The federal lands include the Tahoe National Forest to the East of Nevada City and Bureau of Land Management lands to the North and Southeast of Nevada City. Large tracts of private lands are interspersed within the public lands.</p> <p>In the Tahoe National Forest lands within Nevada County there are 80 miles of designated motorized OHV trails and 68 miles of OSV trails. There are five high use OHV/OSV trailheads/staging areas serving the eastern part of Nevada County located at, Eagle Lakes Road, Rattlesnake Road, Meadow Lake, Prosser Hills and Little Truckee Summit. There is one dedicated OHV area located at Prosser Pits and one high OHV/OSV use area located at Boca Reservoir.</p> <p>In Western Nevada County there is one dedicated OHV trailhead located at Chalk Bluff. There are six high use OHV areas that include Greenhorn Creek, Steephollow Creek, Jackass Flats, Deadman's Flat, Auburn Road, and Town of Washington areas.</p> <p>This project will allow the Nevada County Sheriff's Office to positively impact OHV/OSV problems ranging from registration violations to fatal collisions. We will contact OHV/OSV enthusiasts, educate and take enforcement action when and where necessary to ensure that all OHV/OSV's are properly operated as required by law. This will include those vehicles brought into our county from out of the state that are not properly permitted as required by 38087.5 CVC. We will increase the level of safety and fire prevention by enforcing equipment violations ensuring OHV's are properly fitted with spark arrestors. We will have a positive impact on the number of injuries and deaths caused by ATV and ROV riders not being properly equipped with helmets, operating ATV's with more than the one person on board unless equipped to do so and minors operating ATV's and ROV's without proper supervision. We will aggressively seek to educate and enforce egregious violations of unsafe operation including speed and reckless operation. We will make contact with those OHV/OSV enthusiasts who trespass on private property and reduce the problem through a program of education and enforcement. We will patrol and make education and enforcement contacts relative to complaints of noise, dust and other disturbances.</p> <p>We will work in conjunction with USFS and BLM law enforcement officers to meet our common goal of educating OHV/OSV enthusiasts and enforcement of OHV/OSV violations.</p>				

	Line Item	Qty	Rate	UOM	Grant Req.	Match	Total
<b>DIRECT EXPENSES</b>							



Project Cost Estimate for Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program - 2017/2018  
 Agency: Nevada County Sheriff's Office  
 Application: Law Enforcement

2/9/2018

Line Item	Qty	Rate	UOM	Grant Req.	Match	Total
<b>Program Expenses</b>						
<b>1 Staff</b>						
1. Staff-Sergeant Notes : FLSA Overtime for Sergeant for administering the project, supervise Law Enforcement Officers and scheduling.	100.0000	82.380	HRS	8,238.00	0.00	8,238.00
2. Staff-Law Enforcement Officers Notes : FLSA Overtime for Patrol Deputies for proactive OHV patrol as assigned/scheduled by the Sergeant.	500.0000	62.820	HRS	31,410.00	0.00	31,410.00
3. Staff-Law Enforcement Officers Notes : Law Enforcement officers engaging in routine OHV patrols utilizing in-lieu funds.	280.0000	39.000	HRS	0.00	10,920.00	10,920.00
<b>Total for Staff</b>				39,648.00	10,920.00	50,568.00
<b>2 Contracts</b>						
<b>3 Materials / Supplies</b>						
<b>4 Equipment Use Expenses</b>						
1. Equipment Use Expenses-Vehicle Operations and Maintenance Notes : Maintenance for patrol vehicles and tow vehicles. These vehicles include 4x4 SUV type patrol vehicles, quads, snowmobiles, sno-cat (if needed), associated trailers and other equipment.	2100.0000	0.545	MI	0.00	1,145.00	1,145.00
<b>5 Equipment Purchases</b>						
<b>6 Others</b>						
<b>Total Program Expenses</b>				39,648.00	12,065.00	51,713.00
<b>TOTAL DIRECT EXPENSES</b>				39,648.00	12,065.00	51,713.00

Project Cost Estimate for Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program - 2017/2018  
 Agency: Nevada County Sheriff's Office  
 Application: Law Enforcement

2/9/2018

	Line Item	Qty	Rate	UOM	Grant Req.	Match	Total
<b>INDIRECT EXPENSES</b>							
<b>Indirect Costs</b>							
1	<b>Indirect Costs</b>						
	1. Indirect Costs-Grant administration / accounting Notes : Hourly rate for an accounting specialist for billing and contract administration.	50.0000	24.660	HRS	0.00	1,233.00	1,233.00
<b>Total Indirect Costs</b>					0.00	1,233.00	1,233.00
<b>TOTAL INDIRECT EXPENSES</b>					0.00	1,233.00	1,233.00
<b>TOTAL EXPENDITURES</b>					<b>39,648.00</b>	<b>13,298.00</b>	<b>52,946.00</b>

Project Cost Summary for Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program - 2017/2018  
 Agency: Nevada County Sheriff's Office  
 Application: Law Enforcement

2/9/2018

	Category	Grant Req.	Match	Total	Narrative
<b>DIRECT EXPENSES</b>					
<b>Program Expenses</b>					
1	Staff	39,648.00	10,920.00	50,568.00	
2	Contracts	0.00	0.00	0.00	
3	Materials / Supplies	0.00	0.00	0.00	
4	Equipment Use Expenses	0.00	1,145.00	1,145.00	
5	Equipment Purchases	0.00	0.00	0.00	
6	Others	0.00	0.00	0.00	
<b>Total Program Expenses</b>		39,648.00	12,065.00	51,713.00	
<b>TOTAL DIRECT EXPENSES</b>		39,648.00	12,065.00	51,713.00	
<b>INDIRECT EXPENSES</b>					
<b>Indirect Costs</b>					
1	Indirect Costs	0.00	1,233.00	1,233.00	
<b>Total Indirect Costs</b>		0.00	1,233.00	1,233.00	
<b>TOTAL INDIRECT EXPENSES</b>		0.00	1,233.00	1,233.00	
<b>TOTAL EXPENDITURES</b>		<b>39,648.00</b>	<b>13,298.00</b>	<b>52,946.00</b>	

**ITEM 1 and ITEM 2**

**ITEM 1**

- a. ITEM 1 - Has a CEQA Notice of Determination (NOD) been filed for the Project?  Yes  No

**ITEM 2**

- b. Does the proposed Project include a request for funding for CEQA and/or NEPA document preparation prior to implementing the remaining Project Deliverables (i.e., is it a two-phased Project pursuant to Section 4970.06.1(b))  Yes  No

**ITEM 3 - Project under CEQA Guidelines Section 15378**

- c. ITEM 3 - Are the proposed activities a "Project" under CEQA Guidelines Section 15378?  Yes  No
- d. The Application is requesting funds solely for personnel and support to enforce OHV laws and ensure public safety. These activities would not cause any physical change in the environment, or a reasonably foreseeable indirect physical change in the environment and are thus not a "Project" under CEQA.  Yes  No
- e. Other. Explain why proposed activities would not cause any physical change in the environment, or a reasonably foreseeable indirect physical change in the environment, and are thus not a "Project" under CEQA. DO NOT complete ITEMS 4 – 10.

**ITEM 4 - Impact of this Project on wetlands, navigable waters, and sensitive habitats and species (including threatened and endangered species):**

**ITEM 5 - Cumulative Impacts of this Project**

**ITEM 6 - Soil Impacts**

**ITEM 7 - Damage to Scenic Resources**

**ITEM 8 - Hazardous Materials**

Is the proposed Project Area located on a site included on any list compiled pursuant to Section 65962.5 of the California Government Code (hazardous materials)?  Yes  No

If YES, describe the location of the hazard relative to the Project site, the level of hazard and the measures to be taken to minimize or avoid the hazards:

**ITEM 9 - Potential for Adverse Impacts to Historical or Cultural Resources**

Would the proposed Project have potential for any substantial adverse impacts to historical or cultural resources?  Yes  No

Discuss the potential for the proposed Project to have any substantial adverse impacts to historical or cultural resources:

**ITEM 10 - Indirect Significant Impacts**

**CEQA/NEPA Attachment**

---

Attachment Title	Attachment