NEVADA COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION NEVADA COUNTY, CALIFORNIA						
MINUTES Center,	of the meetin 950	ng of January 1 Maidu	2, 2023 1:30 p.m. Avenue,	, Board Chambe Nevada	ers, Eric Rood A City,	Administration California
MEMBER	S PRESENT:	: Commissioner	s Greeno, Mastrod	onato, Duncan a	nd Milman	
MEMBER	S ABSENT:					
			Brian Foss, Princi nner, Kyle Smith,			
PUBLIC E	IEARINGS:					
1. Gre	eater Higgins A	Area Plan				
PL	N22-0190; GP	A22-0002; RZN	N22-0002; ORD22	-3; EIS22-0014		
STANDIN	G ORDERS:	Salute to the Fla	ag - Roll Call - Co	rrections to Ager	ıda.	
CALL ME	ETING TO (ORDER: The m	eeting was called	to order at 1:31 p	o.m. Roll call wa	as taken.
			1 1 10 1			
CHANGE	S TO AGENI	JA: Chair Gree	eno asked if there	are any correction	ns to the agenda	1.
Director Br	rian Foss advis	sed there were no	o changes to the ag	genda.		
PUBLIC C	COMMENT:	Members of the	e public shall be al	lowed to address	the Commissic	on on items not
appearing c the Plannii	on the agenda on g Commission	which are of inte on, provided th	erest to the public a nat no action sha Government Code	and are within the ll be taken unl	e subject matter	jurisdiction of
Chair Gree	no opened pub	olic comment at	1:31pm.			
Christie Hu	ubbard introdu	ced herself as a	representative of	the Wells Coalit	tion which is a	group of Well
owners nea	r the Idaho Ma	aryland Mine. Sh	ne stated a comprel	nensive domestic	well monitorin	g program was
	· ·		eased for the Idaho	•	•	U
			uired by CEQA. Sl			
			ineral rights area, t properties identifi			
			mately 150 wells o			
			be established, an			and fights area
She Stated .	elquirequire	s that a suscime	oe estuensned, un		inprotoa.	
Sol Hensor	n introduced h	imself as the Pr	esident of the San	Juan Ridge Tax	payers Associa	tion. He spoke
			an Juan Ridge in w	v	· ·	·
			ed some wells lost	•		
		·	He stated the imp			
		shed for the Idah	no Maryland Mine	site which woul	d create a safeg	uard for wate
quality and	quantity.					
a -						
			strict 3 resident and ailed to acknowled			

54 in the surrounding area. He stated expert opinions vary greatly from the Final EIR and there needs to be at

- least a 3-year monitoring period to create a baseline for domestic wells in the area.
- 56

57 Tony Loria introduced himself as a District 1 resident and stated the watering program stated in the FEIR

is not adequate and he feels he shouldn't have to beg the county for water monitoring and safe water for his

property, family, and pets. He stated a baseline monitoring program needs to be established and often 3 years of monitoring is not long enough to create a baseline. He is concerned he will have to negotiate with

Rise Gold to get his water connected to NID and asked if this makes anyone else feel comfortable. He stated

- none of the well owners asked for this project and all have asked for protection from this disastrous project.
- 63

Dr. Haun introduced herself as a resident of District 3 and is speaking on behalf of the CEA (Community 64 Environmental Advocates) Foundation. She stated CEOA does not allow the deferral of important studies 65 necessary to characterize a project's impacts. She stated per 15125 CEQA guidelines, an EIR must include 66 a description of the project's environmental setting which provides a baseline physical description. She 67 stated that unless the EIR identifies current water well levels, it can not establish performance criteria and 68 evaluate how dewatering may impacts the wells. She stated it is not possible to find appropriate mitigations. 69 She is concerned the dewatering is going to drop the well water from 1-10 feet per well, for over 150 wells. 70 She stated the current domestic well monitoring data must have been collected and included in the EIR to 71 72 establish a baseline, so that it can be reviewed and used in the decision making process. She stated this

- 73 critical step has been ignored.
- 74

Laurie Oberholtzer introduced herself as a District 1 resident and CEAF representative and stated the ground water impacts have not been correctly identified in the DEIR. She stated the consultants have continually dismissed comments from technical experts on big ticket impacts and continue to conclude that major impacts will be less than significant. She asked for the flawed EIR to not be certified and for the Planning Commission to deny the project. She continued by stating if the project is denied, the County is under no legal obligation to certify the EIR. She stated the impacts from the Idaho Maryland will be significant and long lasting.

82

Chair Greeno advised we have reached our 15 minutes allotted for public comment and asked how many more speakers are wanting to speak in opposition of the Mine.

85

Mr. Brock came forward and introduced himself as a Real Estate Broker in the County. He stated he is in strong opposition to the EIR for the Idaho Maryland Mine. He asked that the EIR be held accountable and held up to the County's General Plan, specifically chapter 17 for mineral extraction, in which it refers you to other chapters of the General Plan. He asked that the County use that as a guideline as decisions are being made on this most important issue.

- Jim Bair introduced himself and stated he has been reading the draft EIR. He stated there is nothing worse
 than air pollution regarding asbestos and his comment on the draft EIR was not addressed correctly. He
 provided an analysis regarding the impacts from asbestos to staff. He stated there is a need to have asbestos
 tested and they have not been provided in the FEIR.
- 96

97 With no further comments coming forward, Chair Greeno closed public comment at 2:01pm.

98

99 COMMISSION BUSINESS: None

100

101 **CONSENT ITEMS:**

102

105

- Acceptance of the 2022-12-08 Planning Commission Hearing Minutes
 Approved as amended by Commissioner Duncan
- 106 **PUBLIC HEARING:**

1:30 p.m. PLN22-0190; GPA22-0002; RZN22-0002; ORD22-3; EIS22-0014: Public hearing to 108 consider a recommendation to the Board of Supervisors for the Greater Higgins Area Plan, including: 1) 109 approving a Negative Declaration (EIS22-0014); 2) approving a General Plan Text Amendment (GPA22-110 0002) to adopt the Area Plan and to update references to the Area Plan within Chapter 1. Land Use Element 111 of the General Plan; 3) approving a Zoning Map Amendment (RZN22-0002) to correspond with the 112 proposed expansion of the Area Plan boundaries to add the Site Performance (SP) Combining District 113 zoning to specific parcels to reflect the applicability of the Area Plan, and; 4) approving a Zoning 114 Ordinance Amendment (ORD22-3) to amend Sec. L-II 4.2.3 of the Nevada County Zoning Ordinance to 115 update the reference to the Greater Higgins Area Plan. (District II). ASSESSOR PARCEL NUMBER: 116 Various. LOCATION: The Greater Higgins Corner area is an unincorporated community in Western 117 Nevada County. The Lake of the Pines Village Center is located across California State Highway 49, 118 twelve miles South of Grass Valley and two miles North of the Nevada-Placer County line. 119 **RECOMMENDED ENVIRONMENTAL DETERMINATION:** Recommend the Board of Supervisors 120 approve the Mitigated Negative Declaration and Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program (EIS22-121 0014). **RECOMMENDED PROJECT ACTION**: Recommend the Board of Supervisors approve the 122 General Plan Text Amendment (GPA22-0002), adopt the amendments to Zoning District Map (RZN22-123 0002), and approve the amendment to the Nevada County Zoning Ordinance (ORD22-3). PLANNER: 124 Kyle Smith, Senior Planner 125

126

107

Senior Planner Kyle Smith introduced himself and started his presentation. He introduced Bruce Brubaker, Principal Planner at Placeworks and Lead Consultant for the Greater Higgins Area Plan. Planner Smith provided a background on County Area Plans and why they are created, the purpose of the Greater Higgins Area Plan, and Project Partners such as the South County Municipal Advisory Council and their important participation in creating the Greater Higgins Area Plan. He continued his presentation by describing the expansion of the previous adopted Higgins Corner Area Plan into what is now the Greater Higgins Area Plan.

134

Mr. Brubaker advised there was an extensive Community Engagement process and events such as stakeholder interviews, four community workshops, regular meetings with the South County MAC, along with outreach through website postings, email blasts, social media, direct engagement and flyers. He spoke to the Plan Organization of 7 chapters and an appendix that were created.

Planner Smith explained the project components such as the General Plan Text Amendments, Zoning Ordinance text Amendment and Zoning Map Amendment. He also stated the project does require environmental review and explained the public review period, comments received and that the Initial Study found this project would not result in a negative environmental impact. He advised this project does not issue any entitlements or authorize any physical disturbance of the environment. Planner Smith stated any future projects within the Plan boundaries would be based on consistency with the County General Plan, Land Use and Development Code and the Greater Higgins Area Plan.

147

139

Planner Smith introduced Erin Sullivan, South County MAC Chair, and asked her to come forward andmake a few comments.

150

Erin Sullivan introduced herself as the Chair of the South County Municipal Advisory Council (MAC). She 151 152 stated the South County MAC consists of 11 members of the Higgins Area Community, all of whom volunteered to participate in an interview process and ultimately invited to serve on the Council. The South 153 County MAC was created for the purpose of reviewing and making recommendations to Staff regarding 154 155 the Greater Higgins Area Plan. Over the course of the last couple of years the South County MAC has held public meetings approximately once a month. The MAC has also held and participated in 4 community 156 input meetings and held workshops and virtual meetings. She advised there was great turnout and they 157 received much input from community members. Ms. Sullivan stated an ad-hoc committee was created to 158 brainstorm ways to promote public meetings and participation opportunities by passing out flyers at 159

160 sporting events at Bear River High School, hosted an information table outside the Holiday Market on Combie Road, put posters up at local businesses and used social media. She stated there are some 161 community members that are concerned about this plan so Staff and the South County MAC worked hard 162 163 to balance the Communities vision while balancing any concerns that were brought forward by the community members. On December 12, 2022 the South County MAC held a special meeting to review the 164 final plan and provide its unanimous recommendation that the Greater Higgins Area Plan be approved by 165 the Planning Commission and adopted by the Board of Supervisors with the direction that staff make some 166 minor changes that were noted as absent in the final plan. Those minor changes are figure 2.10 be redesigned 167 to remove development concepts on Lake of the Pines Association owned parcels and the removal of a 168 169 reference to the Department of General Services when referencing the potential of a CalFire station on Combie Road. With those items noted, on behalf of the South County MAC, she encouraged the Planning 170 commission to approve the Greater Higgins Area Plan. 171 172

- 173 She asked the Planning Commission to approve the Greater Higgins Area Plan.
- 174

176

175 Chair Greeno opened Public Comment.

Gary Baker introduced himself as one of the MAC members and thanked County staff, Supervisor Scofield and the Consultant for all the hard work put into this project. He stated he is very impressed and proud to be apart of this project where everyone was respectful, professional, and cooperative. He encouraged the Planning Commission to adopt the plan they put forth.

181

Matthew Russell introduced himself as a resident of Grass Valley, near Lake of the Pines. He stated he has 182 sent 2 letters to Senior Planner Smith and asked for the Commissioners to read the letters. He continued by 183 184 stating public outreach and notification for this Area Plan was minimal. He stated in December, during the busiest holiday of the year, notification was sent out regarding the Initial Study and Negative Declaration 185 process. He advised he spoke to all of his neighbors and nobody was aware of this Area Plan until the 186 notification was sent out regarding the Initial Study. He asked why these notification letters are not sent out 187 at the beginning of the planning process instead of waiting until December. He continued by stating he 188 spoke with at least 22 of his neighbors and in which none of them were aware of the Area Plan and they all 189 oppose building up the area. Mr. Russell voiced his concern about the development proposed in the area 190 plan which includes compact residential development, affordable housing, multifamily housing, cottage 191 courts, townhouses, apartments and a hotel which does not maintain the rural character and is a road map 192 for builders to build up the area. He expressed his concerns regarding the environmental impact report 193 stating minimal impacts when the Bear River Little League are not able to get new restrooms because of 194 environmental reasons. He asked how these developments are so easily able to pass the environmental 195 impact phase when bathrooms can't even get installed at a baseball field. He stated classroom sizes are 196 adequate and parents and teachers do not want more children in the classrooms. Kids are currently thriving 197 with the current class sizes. He asked, on behalf of himself and his neighbors, for the Planning Commission 198 199 to not approve the Greater Higgins Area Plan and give the community a meaningful opportunity to participate in shaping the Community. 200

201

203

202 Chair Greeno closed public comment.

Commissioner Mastrodonato thanked all the members of the South County MAC for their hard work and for establishing the Council. He stated the scope of work that goes into creating an Area Plan is a lot of hard work and that an Area Plan does not give anyone authority to build and it's more about the Community coming together and creating an idea of the type of community they want to live in. Any further development will have to go through the normal process of getting permits and approval.

209

Commissioner Milman asked if during the development of this plan if additional density was looked at such
 as other options from townhomes or single family homes.

212

213 Senior Planner Smith advised other housing types are considered and there is a potential for high density

housing through the General Plan and Land Use and Development Code. He stated there was a strong desire

for the community to retain its rural character. He stated cottage courts and 6–8 unit housing options were

- considered. He continued by stating there are commercial nodes that already exist in the area that can be utilized and built up. The ultimate goal is for the rural area to retain its character and to not be as impacted
- by an urban type of development.
- 219
- Commissioner Duncan asked for any explanation on why there is such a diverse number of zonings in this
 area.
- 222

Planner Smith stated the Nevada County General Plan defines the percentage of the County that should be designated to different land use types. There is no proposed rezoning and there are many different land types currently in this area such as single family residential, multi family housing, and commercial to provide all of the land uses and opportunities this area would need.

227

Mr. Brubaker added senior housing and family housing is proposed because the population is aging, and often adult children are not able to afford to live in this area so providing multifamily homes can retain some the residents from moving away.

231

232 Commissioner Duncan stated she hears the concerns brought forward regarding the lack of notification and advised this is often heard and as we go through these processes, we have to ask if there are other methods 233 that can be deployed to ensure a community is well informed. She advised she likes the transparency of the 234 Plan and it's a blueprint for the community. As evidence, by resent development, the Higgins area is 235 growing and there are more commercial businesses than previously. She stated there is a concern that the 236 237 businesses are struggling to attract workforce because there are no reasonably priced accommodations. She stated the workforce isn't necessarily seniors or small families but workers within our community. She 238 stated she too has the desire for the Higgins Area to keep its rural character and not turn into an urban 239 appearance and character but that is sustainable across time and fits the needs and desires of the community. 240 She thanked the Community members for participating in the process. 241

242

247

Planner Smith stated they spoke with school officials, and they were advised schools are not at capacity and there is a need and desire to have more students in the schools which will help support families with opportunities. He also stated that although the Planning Department did a good job at notifying the community and held many opportunities for participation, there is always room for improvement.

Motion by Commissioner Duncan to Recommend the Board of Supervisors approve the attached Resolution for the Negative Declaration (EIS22-0014) for the Area Plan and associated actions pursuant to Section 15074 of the California Environmental Quality Act Guidelines making the findings contained within the draft Resolution (*Attachment 1*).

- 253 Second by Commissioner Mastrodonato. Motion Carried on a 4/0 vote.
- Motion by Commissioner Duncan to Recommend the Board of Supervisors approve the attached Resolution for General Plan Text Amendment (GPA22-0002) to adopt the Greater Higgins Area Plan and amend the General Plan Land Use Element for internal consistency (*Attachment 2*).
- 258
- 259 Second by Commissioner Mastrodonato. Motion Carried on a 4/0 vote.

Motion by Commissioner Duncan to Recommend the Board of Supervisors adopt the attached Ordinance
for the amendments to Zoning District Map (RZN22-0002) described in the project description including
adding the "SP" Site Performance Combining District to parcels that have been included in the expanded
Plan boundaries making the findings contained within the draft Ordinance (*Attachment 3*).

266 Second by Commissioner Mastrodonato. Motion Carried on a 4/0 vote.

267	
268	Motion by Commissioner Duncan to Recommend the Board of Supervisors approve the amendment to
269	Sec. L-II 4.2.3 of the Nevada County Zoning Ordinance (ORD22-3) to update the reference to the
270	applicability of the Greater Higgins Area Plan making the findings contained with the draft Ordinance
271	(Attachment 4).
272	
273	Second by Commissioner Mastrodonato. Motion Carried on a 4/0 vote.
274	
275	Chair Greeno asked for information for any upcoming meetings.
276	
277	Director Foss advised there are no meetings scheduled for the remainder of January but there could be one
278	in February or March.
279	Chain Course and a lifethere is a data for the Idaha Mandard Minster in
280	Chair Greeno asked if there is a date for the Idaho Maryland Mine hearing.
281	Director Foss advised there is no date at this time.
282 283	Director Foss advised there is no date at this time.
203 284	Chair Greeno adjourned the meeting at 2:53 p.m.
285	chan orecho adjourned the meeting at 2.55 p.m.
285	There being no further business to come before the Commission, the meeting was adjourned at 2:53 p.m.
287	to the next meeting, at a date to be determined, in the Board of Supervisors Chambers, 950 Maidu Avenue,
288	Nevada City.
289	Novada City.
290	
291	Passed and accepted this day of , 2023.
292	rassed and accepted this day of , 2025.
293	
293	Brian Foss, Ex-Officio Secretary
295	bhan ross, Ex Oniolo bollotary
296	