

## Julie Patterson-Hunter

---

**From:** Julie Patterson-Hunter  
**Sent:** Friday, March 2, 2018 8:21 AM  
**To:** All BOS Board Members  
**Cc:** Rick Haffey; Alison Barratt-Green; Alison Lehman; Sean Powers; Mali Dyck  
**Subject:** FW: Special March 6th BOS meeting regarding Cannabis cultivation

Dist 3

**From:** Misha Rauchwerger [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 1, 2018 6:32 PM  
**To:** bdofsupervisors <bdofsupervisors@co.nevada.ca.us>  
**Subject:** Special March 6th BOS meeting regarding Cannabis cultivation

1. The number of plants allowed is arbitrary compared to a simple number of pounds allowed, which makes more sense if the concern is that people will grow for commercial purposes under the guise of personal use. Some people get up to 10 pounds per plant (allowing up to 60# for personal use!) , some less than a pound, and this sort of limit creates the desire for some people to resort to ecologically destructive actions such as massive chemical inputs which get into our drinking water supply in order to get larger plants, or light deprivation greenhouses that use substantial energy in order to produce plants that could be easily grown outdoors in our climate zone.
2. Boundary Setbacks are arbitrary. It has more to do with lot shape, distance from residences, and neighbor relations. Why not let neighbors work it out for themselves if they have an issue with how close a grow is to their living space, or make the setbacks related to distance from residences.
3. Why, if personal use is allowed by state law, would a person not be allowed to grow however much they want to use personally? Why should government limit the growing of plants for medicine, fiber, oil, or food. It is criminal!
4. Why does the government believe they are allowed to have a registry for something allowed by law unless there is intention to surveil people's properties which is an invasive government act, and is unconstitutional as well as an unnecessary bureaucratic waste of time?
5. Why, if you have plant number requirements would you also need square footage restrictions? 10,000 square feet is also arbitrary, and limits people's freedom to plant their plants around their property, if desired. This is a good practice to limit insect infestations which is more likely when plants are crammed together. Plants need space to prevent mildew and other pests, and planting plants proximate to each other creates conditions for insect pest populations to proliferate.
6. If a number of adults live on a property, they each should be allowed to grow their maximum number of plants. It should not have a maximum per property amount if it limits individual's freedoms to grow their limit.
7. AG zoned properties should be allowed to grow an unlimited number of any kind of plant. It should not matter if it is tobacco, corn for alcohol, or cannabis. Why does the government think it is constitutional to regulate the growing of any natural plant?

8. There should be no limit of number of plants that have less than 3% THC like some CBD varieties used in cancer treatments, or hemp for fiber.

respectfully submitted,

Misha Rauchwerger