	NEVADA COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION NEVADA COUNTY, CALIFORNIA
MINU Rood	T ES of the regular meeting at 1:30 p.m. on February 28, 2019, in the Board Chambers, En Administration Center, 950 Maidu Avenue, Nevada City, Californ
MEMI and Bu	BERS PRESENT: Chair Duncan and Commissioners Coleman-Hunt, Aguilar, Johanson Illock.
MEM	BERS ABSENT: None.
Princip	F PRESENT: Planning Director, Brian Foss; Director of Public Works, Trisha Tillotso pal Planner, Tyler Barrington; Deputy County Counsel, Scott McLeran; Associate Planner Martin; Associate Planner, Sadie Caldas; Administrative Assistant, Tine Mathiasen.
PUBL	IC HEARINGS:
1.	Mena Rezone (PLN18-0086; RZN18-0001)
	Lone Oak Design Review (PLN18-0104; DRP18-0002; VAR19-0001)
3.	Caldwell Events (PLN18-0023; CUP18-0009; MGT18-0018; MGT18-0019; AAM1
	0002; EIS18-0012)
4.	Nevada County Capital Improvement Plan
1. 2.	DING ORDERS: FLAG SALUTE ROLL CALL. Chair and all Commissioners present. CHANGES TO AGENDA: None.
PUBL	IC COMMENT: Members of the public shall be allowed to address the Commission of
	not appearing on the agenda which are of interest to the public and are within the subje
	jurisdiction of the Planning Commission, provided that no action shall be taken unle
otherw	vise authorized by Subdivision (6) of Section 54954.2 of the Government Code. None.
COM	MISSION BUSINESS: Training. Michael Colantuono gave a presentation on the rol
	sibilities and duties of the Planning Commissioner. The presentation was followed by
-	sion between the Commissioners and Mr. Colantuono.
CONS	SENT ITEM:
1.	Acceptance of the 02-07-2019 Planning Commission Hearing Minutes
	n by Commissioner Johansen to approve the February 2, 2019 Planning Commission
Hearin	g Minutes; second by Commissioner Aguilar. Motion carried on a voice vote 5/0.

PUBLIC HEARINGS:

47 48

49

[Minutes for the first and second public hearings redacted.]

50 51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62 63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

3. PLN18-0023; CUP18-0009; MGT18-0018 & -0019; AAM18-0002; EIS18-0012: The project is a combined application to the Planning Commission for a Conditional Use Permit, a Seasonal and Ephemeral Stream Management Plan, an Oak Resources Management Plan, and an Amendment to an Approved Tentative Parcel Map. The Use Permit is to allow up to twenty (20) special events per year with up to 125 guests at a 15-acre parcel with a single-family residence and an accessory dwelling unit. All event activities would be outdoors only. The project proposes a 350-square-foot restroom facility for event use, and the installation of a new septic system. An Oak Management Plan is proposed to allow the leach field for the new restroom facility to be installed near and within a landmark oak grove. A Seasonal and Ephemeral Stream Management Plan is proposed to allow disturbance within the setbacks of a seasonal stream, in order to widen an existing driveway. Other proposed improvements include the installation of a 10,000-gallon water tank for fire suppression, an ADA compliant pathway, a curtain drain, an additional driveway off Cattle Drive, and the construction of a gravel parking area with fifty-nine (59) spaces and landscaping. Two ADA compliant parking spaces are proposed near the accessory dwelling unit and would connect to the pathway. The Amendment to the Approved Tentative Parcel Map (PM16-002) is proposed to expand the area of the building envelope to include the proposed 59space gravel parking area. **PROJECT LOCATION:** 17860 Cattle Drive, Rough and Ready, California 95975. ASSESSOR'S PARCEL NUMBER: 051-110-004 RECOMMENDED ENVIRONMENTAL DETERMINATION: Recommended approval of a Mitigated Negative Declaration (EIS18-0012). **RECOMMENDED PROJECT ACTION:** Recommend approval of the proposed Conditional Use Permit (CUP18-0009), Management Plans (MGT18-0018 & -0019), and Amendment to the Approved Map (AAM18-0012). PLANNER: Sadie Caldas, Associate Planner.

737475

Commissioner Johansen recused himself from the hearing.

76 77 78

79

80

Planner Sadie Caldas provided a review of the proposed project. She discussed the project site, its zoning, and the surrounding uses. She provided the project background and detailed the activities that the proposed entitlements would allow. She discussed the environmental review and the recommended mitigation measures and conditions of approval. She provided the Commission with staff's recommendations.

81 82 83

Commissioner Aguilar asked about lighting.

84 85

Planner Caldas discussed lighting at the site, including location and existing use. Additional lighting exhibits would be required.

86 87 88

Chair Duncan asked if Planner Caldas was referencing existing lighting.

89 90

Planner Caldas noted that there was no new lighting proposed.

91 92

Commissioner Aguilar asked if the Use Permit would allow use until 11 p.m.

93

Planner Caldas clarified the time to be 10 p.m.

949596

Commissioner Aguilar wanted to know the impact of parking and event lights.

97 98

Planner Caldas noted that no new lighting was proposed.

Chair Duncan asked if Commissioner Aguilar was referring to lighting for the parking area. Commissioner Aguilar explained that there was going to be some kind of lighting and he would like to know what the applicant was proposing. Planner Caldas said a diagram would be required. No lighting was proposed in the parking area. All lighting would be near the existing development on the property. Commissioner Bullock asked Planner Caldas to show the site. Planner Caldas showed an aerial photo. Commissioner Bullock asked which neighbors would be affected. Planner Caldas showed the nearest residence. Commissioner Coleman-Hunt asked about staff's responsibilities in the five-year monitoring program for the oak mitigation plan. Planner Caldas explained the review of the annual reports. Commissioner Coleman-Hunt asked about the oak replacement ratio. Planner Caldas noted the recommendation of the biologist and said she would check the ordinance. Chair Duncan directed Planner Caldas to wait to check the ordinance. Commissioner Bullock asked about noise penalties and the location of the decibel level measurement. Planner Caldas clarified the location at the nearest property line. Commissioner Hardy said the numbers made sense. Chair Duncan invited the applicant's representative to speak. Rob Wood introduced himself as representative for Ms. Caldwell. He discussed the county's need for the project's use and whether other similar projects had gone through the Use Permit process for outdoor weddings and events. Many weddings had been held at the site and they were going through the process so all impacts would be properly mitigated. He discussed the site, its uses, environmental review, and impacts and mitigations. He discussed the project's engagement with

the Ag Commission and the Penn Valley MAC. An approved Use Permit would provide an enforcement mechanism and ensure proper mitigation.

Commissioner Aguilar expressed his concerns about lighting.

Mr. Wood explained the lighting plan and existing lighting. Any new lighting would meet the lighting ordinance.

148	
149	Commissioner Aguilar asked about landscaping.
150 151	Mr. Wood noted that a final landscape plan that meets requirements would be required.
152	77. Wood noted that a final landscape plan that meets requirements would be required.
153 154	Planner Barrington noted the condition specific to lighting.
155	Chair Duncan asked about parking.
156	
157	Mr. Wood clarified the location of parking, including ADA stalls and signage.
158 159 160	Commissioner Coleman Hunt asked about the Ag Commission.
161	Mr. Wood discussed the letter written by the Ag Commissioner and the waiving of the need for an
162 163	ag management plan. He described the small portion of land in the Farmlands of Local Importance as already developed.
164	as asserted to verify a
165 166	Commissioner Coleman-Hunt asked about outreach and the impact of events on the surrounding neighbors.
167	
168	Mr. Wood discussed the setback between the event area and the nearest residence and the Right to
169 170	Farm notice condition.
171 172	Chair Duncan asked if the applicant's purpose was to legitimize existing activities on the site.
172 173 174	Mr. Wood agreed and noted that rules would be put in place.
175	Chair Duncan said the Use Permit would regulate and provide oversite.
176 177	Mr. Wood agreed.
178 179	Chair Duncan asked if there was also permit from the Sherriff's office.
180 181 182	Mr. Wood confirmed.
183 184	Chair Duncan asked about the impact of the Commission's actions on the Sherriff's permit.
185 186	Planner Caldas explained the need for a Special Event Permit.
187	Chair Duncan asked if a permit from the Sherriff's office would no longer be needed if the Use Permit was approved.
188 189	remit was approved.
190	Planner Caldas said correct.
191	
192 193	Commissioner Coleman-Hunt asked about the non-compliance issue and if there was a complaint.
194	Planner Caldas referred to the open Code Compliance case that was generated by a complaint. The
195 196	Code Compliance Division had been working with the owner to resolve the violations and the property owner chose to apply for approval of a Use Permit to come into compliance.

197

198 Commissioner Coleman-Hunt asked if additional work was required for compliance.

199200

Planner Caldas said yes.

201

Commissioner Coleman-Hunt asked if the additional things they need to do were conditions of the Use Permit.

204

Planner Caldas said yes. The original application did not meet some County requirements. In order to meet requirements, additional entitlements were added to the application.

207208

Chair Duncan asked if the complaint involved noise concerns.

209

210 Planner Caldas recalled the complaint as the act of having events without a Use Permit.

211

Commissioner Aguilar asked whether the mitigation measures and Use Permit process would satisfy the complaint.

214215

Planner Caldas did not recall that the complaint provided detail of specific concerns. A Use Permit would bring the property into compliance and resolve violations. She did not believe the complaint was regarding specific issues.

217218

216

219 Chair Duncan asked if the complaint was for illegal operations.

220

Planner Barrington clarified the complaint and violation.

222223

Chair Duncan opened the hearing to public comment at 4:13 p.m.

224225

226

227

228

229

230

Curt Chittock discussed his concerns regarding noise. He discussed the proposed mitigation measure and requested the inclusion of a restriction on subwoofers. He discussed speaker direction, decibels, and time of day. He requested an official letter of record. He discussed Right to Farm and his own operations. He talked about the responsible agencies, what constitutes an event, limiting weekends, commercialization, the Ag Commission and MAC, and zoning incompatibility. He requested that the Use Permit not be voted on for approval until some of the issues were

231232233

clarified.

Jerry Wood discussed noticing and a zoning change. He asked for assurance that neighboring parcels will not be affected by the project and asked about wetlands in the parking area.

234235

Bob Winters discussed the MAC's recommendation of denial. If the project were to be considered for approval, the MAC requested the Commission consider the property owner's compliance history, event allowance and timing, the definition of event, noticing, subwoofers, Right to Farm, and monitoring.

240

Laurie Oberholtzer stated that the project did not comply with the General Plan and expressed hope that the Commission not approve the Use Permit. She discussed General Plan goals and objectives, commercialization, primary use, land use conversion, and land use incompatibility. She hoped the Commission would deny the project.

Roy Weaver discussed his positive experiences at events and as a neighbor. 246 247 Cory Pavan discussed the project's fit in the area, discussions and plans with neighbors about 248 sound, Right to Farm, and going green. 249

250

Daemon Rennert discussed his experience as an employee for Ms. Caldwell and hoped the 251 Commission would approve the project. 252

253

Heather Featherston discussed agritourism and the application's compatibility with agriculture. 254 She supported the project and hoped the Commission approved it. 255

256 257

Rebecca Hahn discussed the commercialization of agricultural land, the prevalence of other event centers in the county, and the project's inconsistency with keeping the county rural.

258 259 260

Diane Schafer described Ms. Caldwell and discussed the project's benefit to the community. She hoped the Commission granted the permit.

261 262 263

Alexa Desena described Ms. Caldwell and discussed the rural nature of the venue. She expressed hope that the project would be approved.

264 265 266

Lisa Dunham discussed the need for venues in the community, supporting small farms, compatibility with ag, and the applicant's efforts to comply. She said the project should be supported.

268 269 270

267

Jeff Christensen noted that the expressed concerns were being addressed. He discussed the rural experience and neighbor support. He expressed hope that the project would be approved.

271 272 273

Gary Richard discussed his experience living in the area. He described the positive impacts of the project from a business perspective.

274 275 276

277

278

Applicant Mardalynne Caldwell addressed Right to Farm, the desired rural feeling, the scarcity of permitted outdoor facilities, the original complaint, and her efforts to come into compliance. She described her community involvement and environmentally conscious efforts. She described the proposed improvements to the site and addressed the parking and wetland issue.

279 280

Chair Duncan closed public comment at 4:52 p.m.

281 282

Planner Caldas addressed the concerns on noise and subwoofers. 283

284 285

Commissioner Aguilar asked for clarification on vibration.

286

Planner Caldas talked about county code and environmental review. She noted the private 287 agreement between the applicant and the neighbor. 288

289

Commissioner Aguilar asked if the Commission could create a regulation as part of the permitting 290 process. 291

292

Planner Barrington replied yes.

295 296 297	the process of complaints leading staff to investigate.
297 298 299	Chair Duncan suggested the applicant submit annual reports.
300 301	Commissioner Aguilar suggested creating a time limit on the Use Permit.
302 303	Planner Barrington noted that a Use Permit would run with the land.
304 305	Commissioner Coleman-Hunt asked the number of similar venues permitted within AG zoning.
306 307	Planner Barrington noted another venue in Penn Valley.
308 309	Chair Duncan asked if the other venue's permitting was pre-ordinance.
310 311 312	Planner Barrington confirmed the timing and that the other project's permitting followed the same criteria and code.
313 314 315	Chair Duncan noted that this was the first project of its kind coming before the Commission since the ordinance changed.
316 317	Director Foss noted another project.
318 319 320	Chair Duncan said the project was the first coming before the Commission to be in compliance with the new standards.
321 322	Commissioner Aguilar noted the contentious nature of the projects.
323 324 325 326	Planner Barrington explained that the Commission was referencing two different sections of code. The current application was subject to the Zoning Ordinance criteria. Outdoor event permitting was something separate.
327 328	Commissioner Aguilar asked about the definition of event.
329 330	Planner Barrington answered that there was a definition of an outdoor event.
331 332	Director Foss said that traditionally an event was one per day for Use Permits.
333 334	Chair Duncan asked that to be clarified.
335 336	Director Foss responded that it could be clarified.
337 338	Commissioner Bullock asked about the project's relationship to another event center.
339 340	Planner Barrington answered that it was a separate use.
341 342 343	Commissioner Bullock asked about the residence and the definition of commercial in relation to the definition of event.

344 345	Director Foss noted the lack of precedent.
346 347	Commissioner Bullock asked about Airbnbs.
348 349	Commissioner Aguilar discussed the feasibility of two events in one day.
350 351	Commissioner Coleman-Hunt agreed that it happens at some venues.
352 353	Commissioner Aguilar asked the applicant's intention.
354 355	Ms. Caldwell gave her intention of one event per day, with the addition of set up and overnights.
356 357	Commissioner Aguilar asked about DJs.
358 359	Ms. Caldwell detailed her contract and policies with DJs.
360 361	Chair Duncan asked about onsite management.
362 363	Ms. Caldwell confirmed the presence of an onsite host.
364 365 366	Commissioner Aguilar asked that the subwoofer and Airbnb issues and the definition of event be clarified in the Use Permit.
367 368	Commissioner Bullock emphasized the impact of Airbnbs.
369 370	Ms. Caldwell noted the property size and lack of impact on the neighbors.
371 372	Chair Duncan discussed the county's involvement and taxes.
373 374	Ms. Caldwell said they pay TOT.
375 376	Commissioner Bullock reiterated the importance of the home rental aspect.
377 378	Ms. Caldwell noted that only the bridal families and her own personal visitors stayed there.
379 380	Commissioner Aguilar suggested the neighbors be noticed through the website.
381 382	Ms. Caldwell discussed her procedure for noticing surrounding properties.
383 384	Commissioner Bullock asked about the operating season.
385 386	Ms. Caldwell gave the months.
387 388	Chair Duncan asked about tents.
389 390	Ms. Caldwell explained the usual setup.
391 392	Chair Duncan checked in with staff.

Planner Caldas addressed the comments on parking and wetlands, the mention of a zoning change and land conversion, and current development and future use of the site. Commissioner Coleman-Hunt expressed her concerns about commercial activity in AG zoning. Planner Barrington described staff's role in looking at compatibility with code requirements, and what falls within the purview of the Commission. Commissioner Coleman-Hunt noted the Agricultural Commission's view of the project as incompatible and reiterated her struggle with the project's compatibility. Planner Barrington listed staff's amendments to the proposed Conditions of Approval: amend Condition A1 to include "limited to one event per day;" add "Subwoofers are prohibited" to Condition A10; and add a new Condition listed as A27 that read "Prior to December 31st each year, the applicant shall submit a report to the Planning Department to document the number of events held onsite, to demonstrate compliance with the approved Use Permit." Commissioner Aguilar requested that the speakers be pointed south. Planner Barrington noted this. Commissioner Bullock asked the other Commissioners about a respite period during the operating season.

Chair Duncan asked for clarification.

Commissioner Bullock gave an example.

Ms. Caldwell noted the difficulty because of advance bookings.

Commissioner Coleman-Hunt asked for further clarification.

Commissioner Bullock explained that neighbors would understand that they could have their own event or guests.

Ms. Caldwell offered notification and the possibility of neighbors holding events at her site.

Chair Duncan noted Mr. Chiddock's proximity.

Commissioner Bullock discussed his own experience with his neighbors. He asked if a letter of agreement would be included in the conditions of approval.

Planner Barrington replied that that was a private agreement. He also noted that the conditions already address speaker direction.

Chair Duncan discussed the expansion of the definition of ag. She discussed past commercial use of the property and the current condition of the site. The project supported her idea of ag supporting activities. She addressed Mr. Chittock.

Commissioner Aguilar discussed public benefit, the promotion of agriculture and tourism,

mitigation, and Farm to Table.

444445

Ms. Caldwell mentioned her vineyard.

446

Commissioner Aguilar discussed the General Plan and the questions he asked as a Commissioner.

448

Commissioner Coleman-Hunt asked about the oak replacement policy.

450

Planner Caldas explained the policy and differences based on timing.

452

Commissioner Aguilar asked about the removal of trees.

454

Commissioner Coleman-Hunt clarified the reference to trees dying.

456

Commissioner Aguilar clarified the oak mitigation.

458

Planner Caldas confirmed.

460

- Motion by Commissioner Aguilar to adopt the proposed Mitigated Negative Declaration (EIS-0012) (Attachment 2), and the Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program (Attachment 1)
- pursuant to Section 15073.5, 15074 and 15097 of the California Environmental Quality Act
- Guidelines, making Findings A through E; second by Commissioner Bullock. Motion carried
- on a roll call vote 4/0 (Commissioner Johansen recused).

466

- Motion by Commissioner Aguilar to approve the proposed Management Plan (MGT18-0018)
- for oak resources, allowing encroachment of the leach field and curtain drain to be installed within and adjacent to the landmark oak grove, subject to the attached Mitigation Measures and
- Conditions of Approval, and make findings A and B pursuant to Section L-II 4.3.15.C of the
- Nevada County Land Use and Development Code, in that encroachment into the landmark oak
- grove is necessary in order to provide project infrastructure; second by Bullock. Motion carried
- on a roll call vote 4/0 (Commissioner Johansen recused).

474

- 475 **Motion by Commissioner Aguilar** to approve the proposed Management Plan (MGT18-0019)
- allowing encroachment into the 50-foot setback of the ephemeral and seasonal streams to allow
- driveway improvements, subject to the attached Mitigation Measures and Conditions of Approval,
- and make findings A and B pursuant to Section L-II 4.3.17.C of the Nevada County Land Use and
- Development Code, in that encroachment into the setback of the waterways is necessary in order to provide project infrastructure; **second by Commissioner Bullock. Motion carried on a roll**
- to provide project infrastructure; **second by Commissioner Bullock. Motion carri** call vote 4/0 (Commissioner Johansen recused).

482

- 483 **Motion by Commissioner Aguilar** to approve the proposed Amendment to the Tentative Parcel
- Map (AAM18-0002) to expand the building envelope on Parcel 1, as shown in Attachment 5,
- making findings A-F pursuant to Section L-IV 2.18.D of the Nevada County Land Use and
- Development Code; second by Commissioner Bullock. Motion carried on a roll call vote 4/0
- 487 (Commissioner Johansen recused).

- 489 **Motion by Commissioner Aguilar** to approve the proposed Conditional Use Permit (CUP18-
- 0009) subject to the attached Conditions of Approval shown in Attachment 1, making findings A-

491	L, as amended, pursuant to Sections L-II 5.6.G and L-II 5.5.2.C of the Nevada County Land Use
492	and Development Code; second by Commissioner Bullock. Motion carried on a roll call vote
493	4/0 (Commissioner Johansen recused).
494	
495	Chair Duncan noted the 10-day appeal period.
496	
497	[Minutes for the fourth public hearing redacted.]
498	
499	Discussion ensued regarding upcoming Commission meetings and ongoing project statuses.
500	
501	Motion by Commissioner Coleman-Hunt; second by Commissioner Johansen to adjourn.
502	Motion carried on voice vote 4/0 (Commissioner Aguilar absent).
503	
504	There being no further business to come before the Commission, the meeting was adjourned at
505	5:50 p.m. to the next meeting tentatively scheduled for March 7, 2019, at 1:30 p.m. at the Town
506	of Truckee Council Chambers, 10183 Truckee Airport Road, Truckee, California.
507	
508	
509	Passed and accepted this day of , 2019.
510	
511	
512	Brian Foss, Ex-Officio Secretary