From:

Don Bessee <chaircomstndrds@prodigy.net>

Sent:

Friday, April 10, 2020 9:35 PM

To:

bdofsupervisors

Subject:

protect the neighborhoods please

Attachments:

A Place for Everything and Everything in its Place.docx

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

I pray this finds you and yours well!

Place for Everything and Everything in its Place

Commercial activity in Residentially Zoned neighborhoods is fundamentally in conflict with their land use protections that everyone agreed to when they signed their title papers that included mandated disclosures.

There are allowed things like piano lessons and day care and there are limits on the traffic those produce in your neighborhood that are meant to protect your peace and personal space.

There is a concept that your home is your castle, but I would submit that your home is your place to relax and enjoy the fact we live in a pine forest and the joy that brings to us all. Avian joys as well as the critters wandering around who are not threatened by us. Ticks notwithstanding. A tranquil island of peace in a sea of turbulence if you will.

For some reason the County is considering an assault on our homeowner's rights by expanding COMMERCIAL activity in peaceful residentially zoned neighborhoods.

They are still looking to abuse the neighborhoods again by trying to repeal or grossly modify the OUTDOOR EVEN ORDIANCE that became necessary to protect our neighborhood from folks that didn't care about your block's peace or your property values. It was all about the Benjamin's for those abusers.

Some years ago, there were people who disrespected their neighborhoods to grab a quick buck and endangered their Fire Evacuation routes in spite of their zoning not allowing their commercial party plans. It was the Road Association Folks who were having their pockets picked for road maintenance to support 1 properties

commercial parties that led the way from across the county to stop it with the outdoor event ordinance.

The wedding planners who did not want to pay their way were incredibly aggressive against the neighborhoods in the committee that developed the ordinance with rhetoric that did not stand up to the actual wedding license activity record. Then Chair Beason bought their own industrial journal to refute the spin and hyperbole! I believe the video is still available.

Then Chair of the Board Beason and Supervisor Anderson were on that committee with me that included department heads, County Council, both sides of the issue as well as Fire, Law Enforcement to speak to the reality on the ground and the very real fire threat to Neighborhoods as well as Environmental Health issues.

Code has no presence on Friday afternoon to Monday to protect you and they knew it. That's why we need the Sheriff to protect the neighborhoods peace on the weekends.

Recently I was being lobbied with the line that the hospitality industry was crushed by the heartless Outdoor Event Ordinance.

Yet again the facts do not support the narrative no matter who is repeating the tired narratives.

The numbers tell the story as they always do.

Weddings are a trackable metric with the license data just like they were the last time around.

The average number of wedding licenses issued in Nevada County over the last 5 years was 453.

In 2015 there 435 licenses issued.

In 2016 there were 480 wedding licenses and that was very near the highest ever.

In 2017 there were 465 licenses issued.

In 2018 there were 432 licenses issued.

In 2019 there were 457 wedding licenses issued in Nevada County.

If you live in LOP or lake WW you might think its not your issue because of your CC& R's that won't allow a property to do that there.

The real financial threat my friends is that they want to do for profit events that will not generate new business but just cannibalize the business of those venues that have to pay a ton to support their commercial infrastructure in the properly zoned areas such as country clubs and event centers.

The idea to double the allowable events to 8 from 4 in your neighborhood is intolerable.

1 Saturday event in your neighborhood means trucks moving in on Friday to setup then departing, the event and all the cars of the attendees in and out, then another caravan to breakdown on Sunday.

Does that sound like something you want 8 times a year in your neighborhood?

The Ag tourism project portion of this proposal looks totally viable and appropriate.

If you live in a R1 or RA neighborhood then you are threatened by this erosion of your established rights as a homeowner. If you live in WW or LOP the financial stability of your country club/restaurant is at risk.

Tell your supervisors what you think of this assault on neighborhood rights!

From:

Eve Diamond

Sent:

Wednesday, April 22, 2020 12:31 PM

To:

BOS Public Comment

Subject:

Proposed Changes to Outdoor Event Ordinance

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Honorable Supervisors:

For neighbors living at the property line, and up to as far as ¼ mile away and even more, the current noise limitations are not appropriate for the kind of amplified sound that is common at concerts, weddings and other large functions. Under the proposed ordinance, a property on 6- 10 acres could have 8 concerts a year with amplified sound up to 10:00 at night in some zoning districts where other homes are nearby. This would not be tolerable for us.

Our home is about ¼ mile from two properties at opposite sides of our neighborhood that have, or have had, large gatherings or weddings with amplified sound. The sound has been so loud as to be a nuisance to us and forced us inside at the end of the day and to close windows on some of the hottest nights of the year. One has to wonder why the sound needs to be so loud that it travels beyond the crowd of guests, over the property line and into nearby neighborhoods.

The reality of setting noise limits at an event by decibel levels seems impractical to enforce. Can we rely on a Sheriff deputy or a Code Compliance officer to bring a sound level meter to an event on a Friday or Saturday night? How will this provision in the ordinance be enforced? With four weddings a year the situation for us was barely tolerable. We object to 8 events a year and would prefer the Board deny this request. However, understanding that this proposed ordinance has been under development for some time, and could benefit small businesses who may have suffered greatly since the onset of the coronavirus pandemic, we respectfully and emphatically ask the Board to require stricter limitations and reliable enforcement on amplified sound, and expand the noticing requirements.

Specifically:

- Reduce by 10 decibels the allowable levels for amplified sound on parcels of 10 acres or less.
- Ensure Sheriff deputies are trained on, and utilize, sound meters when responding to nuisance calls at the hours when Code Compliance staff are not available.
- Because sound carries, expand the Notice to Neighbors (Sec. G-5 2.7.A.13) to properties up to 1,000 feet away instead of 500 feet. Such notice should also include any neighborhood association the Community Development Agency has in its contact list for the neighborhood(s) containing the property(s) within the 1000 foot boundary.

Thank you for your service to the County and for accepting these comments.

Respectfully,

Eve Diamond and Tom Sheehy

From:

Nicole Long

Sent:

Wednesday, April 22, 2020 5:25 PM

To:

BOS Public Comment

Cc:

Terry McMahan

Subject:

04/28/20 Outdoor Event Ordinance

Attachments:

NCFCA Outdoor Events.pdf

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Good Evening,

Attached is a letter from the Nevada County Fire Chiefs' Association regarding the public hearing on the outdoor event ordinance.

Please confirm receipt of this email.

Thank you,

Nicole Long, Operations Support
Nevada County Consolidated Fire District
640 Coyote Street
Nevada City, CA 95959
Office – (530) 265-4431
nicolelong@nccfire.com

Confidentiality Notice: The information contained in this e-mail may be confidential and legally privileged. It is intended only for use of the individual(s) named. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that the disclosure, copying, distribution, or taking of any action in regards to the contents of this e-mail – except its direct delivery to the intended recipient – is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender immediately and destroy this e-mail and any attachments, and delete from your system, if applicable.

Nevada County Fire Chiefs' Association

P. O. Box 1742 Grass Valley, California 95945

CAL FIRE
Grass Valley
Higgins CDF
Nevada City
Nevada Co. Consolidated
North San Juan
Ophir Hill
Peardale-Chicago Park
Penn Valley
Rough & Ready
U.S.F.S.-Tahoe
Washington

April 21, 2020

County of Nevada Board of Supervisors 950 Maidu Avenue Nevada City CA 95959

Re: Outdoor Events Ordinance

The Nevada County Fire Chiefs' Association held a conference call and reviewed the proposed changes to the current Outdoor Event Ordinance and has the following comments:

The Chiefs' do not have a concern with increasing the number of events from four (4) to eight (8).

The Nevada County Fire Chiefs Association supports the Community Development Agency as the issuing agency as long as all permits are routed to the proper Fire District for comments and conditions. As I understand, the current system Fire Agencies are supposed to be notified of these events. The concern is that we seldom get notified of events until there is a complaint from a neighbor or in some cases a neighborhood. In polling the Districts on the call no agency had been notified of these types of events.

We have no comment on the requirements for portable toilets, criminal record checks, security posting or proof of insurance. As far as the appeal and violation procedures there are provisions in the California Fire Code that address violations of the code and are typically misdemeanor violations that would be handled through the court system.

In reviewing the document labeled Exhibit A, the following are a list of suggested changes for your consideration:

- Section G-V 2.1 Findings
- B. There is also increased demands on the Fire Service and/or First Responders.

- D. Consider adding narrow roads and inadequate access as concerns.
- Section G-V 2.5 Outdoor Events for which no Permit is Required:

A.1 This has not been happening, we feel that the application needs to be routed to the Fire Agencies for comment and approval. It is suggested that the Community Development Agency work with the Fire Districts to determine when notification will be required, or an application needs to be forwarded to the District for review.

- Section G-V 2.8 Regulations for Outdoor Events:
- D. Add to Compliance with County Building and Fire Codes.
- G. Parking and Traffic Circulation. Last sentence should read. Vehicles shall not be parked in any manner that would create a traffic hazard or interfere with the ingress or egress of emergency vehicles as determined by the Community Development Agency, Sheriff and/or the Local Fire Official.
- Section G-V 2.9 Processing Application; Bonds; Appeals:
- B. Add Local Fire Agencies after "Sheriff" in the first sentence.
- Section G-V 2.10 Effective Date of Permit; Separate Permit Required for Each Day; Permit Non-Transferable:

Where appropriate in this section add that the Fire Agencies may impose a fee for services.

- Section G-V 2.12 Revocation of Permits and Denial of Future Permits; Failure to Comply with Permit Conditions; Closure of Events:
- E. Add Local Fire Official after "Sheriff" in the first sentence.
- Section G-V 2.13 Penalties:

Should Fire Code Violations be added to this section or is it necessary? The Fire Service would need to be able to enforce the Fire Code misdemeanor violations and fines.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

President





April 27, 2020

Julie Patterson Hunter, Clerk of the Board County of Nevada 950 Maidu Avenue Nevada City, CA 95959

RE: Amendments to the Nevada County Outdoor Event Ordinance

Dear Ms. Patterson Hunter,

On behalf of the Greater Grass Valley and the Nevada City Chambers of Commerce, it is our pleasure to write a letter of support for amendments to the Nevada County Outdoor Event Ordinance.

Before the end of 2014, Nevada County had gained prominence as a premier event destination. The wedding and events industries were powerful economic drivers.

The revisions and restrictions incorporated into the Nevada County Outdoor Event Ordinance in 2014 had an immediate and devastating impact on the wedding and events industries and long-term effects on both. These industries are comprised of a multiple of smaller enterprises that embody our small business community. Caterers, musicians, photographers, hair and makeup stylists, cake makers, and event planners, to name a few, along with hospitality, restaurants, and retail businesses, have realized significant losses over the past five years. Many purveyors have left Nevada County, and others have had to pivot to retain viability.

Members of the Greater Grass Valley and Nevada City Chambers have reached out to our organizations with pleas to help amend this ordinance.

Throughout 2019, the Greater Grass Valley Chamber's Community Affairs Committee worked with Sean Powers of the Community Development Agency and Brian Foss, Planning Director, to create changes that would mitigate restrictions, yet satisfy County requirements. We feel confident that those changes, along with the enhanced number of events, will allow the events community to prosper.

On behalf of our memberships and the events community, we ask that the Board of Supervisors approve the amendments to the Nevada County Outdoor Event Ordinance, which will reinvigorate these lagging industries.

Sincerely,

Robin Galvan Davies

Robin Galvan Davies, CEO Greater Grass Valley Chamber of Commerce

Greater Grass Valley Chamber of Commerce 128 East Main Street, Grass Valley, CA 95945 (530) 273-4667 • grassvalleychamber.com Cathy Whittlesey

Cathy Whittlesey, Executive Director Nevada City Chamber of Commerce

Nevada City Chamber of Commerce 132 Main Street, Nevada City, CA 95959 (530) 265-2692 • nevadacitychamber.com

From:

Joy Porter

Sent:

Monday, April 27, 2020 5:14 PM

To:

Clerk of Board

Subject:

Event Ordinance

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

My name is Joy Porter and I own Winding Road Imagery in Nevada County. I'm writing with regards to the decision that the 2014 Board of Supervisors made that severely impacted my business as well as other vendors in the wedding industry.

Even in the midst of the discussions with the board, I had 4 couples cancel due to the unknown outcome in that six month timeframe (that was equivalent to an instant \$18,000 loss for my company – a conservative number). Our community had begun building a reputation and becoming known as the Monterey of the foothills and an beautiful Wedding Destination Location. The wedding industry was decimated in our county by the 2014 vote. As a result I shifted my offerings and stopped promoting weddings as part of my offerings.

That said, for the sake of fellow vendors (caterers, florists, photographers, hotels, restaurants, limousine services) my business and I encourage the current Board to adopt the current revisions to the Nevada County Outdoor Event Ordinance and let's help open the opportunity to begin building our community back up once our state opens and draw couples back to our beautiful community.

Thank you for listening.

Sincerely, Joy Porter



Commercial | Brand | Lifestyle Photographer www.WindingRoadImagery.com

From:

Jerry Cirino

Sent:

Monday, April 27, 2020 7:52 PM

To:

Clerk of Board

Subject:

Wedding Venue Vote

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Julie & BOS,

This is Jerry Cirino owner of Cirino's at Main Street restaurant. I encourage you to consider voting to increase the number of wedding venues and the number of events at each site. The loss of this vital industry has left a significant negative mark on revenues, wages and tax dollars at my business and in the town of Grass Valley.

Thank you,

Kind regards and respect,

Jerry Cirino

Sent from my iPad

From:

Linda Miller

Sent:

Monday, April 27, 2020 10:06 PM

To:

bdofsupervisors; Sue Hoek

Subject:

Amendment to Outdoor Event Ordinance

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Good Morning!

I would like to encourage all of you to vote in favor of the amendment to the Outdoor Event Ordinance. SR20-1850. I no longer host weddings, but receive calls all during the year asking if we still offer a venue. The county is missing a huge opportunity to garner revenue for many businesses as well as local vendors who offer services for these events.

Thank you, Linda Miller

Nevada City, CA 95959

From:

Yuba Blue

Sent:

Tuesday, April 28, 2020 6:09 AM

To:

Clerk of Board

Subject:

Outdoor Event Ordinance

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

My name is Lillie Piland and I own Yuba Blue in Grass Valley. The decision that the 2014 Board of Supervisors negatively impacted, many businesses and I encourage the current Board to adopt the current revisions to the Nevada County Outdoor Event Ordinance.

Sincerely,

Lillie Piland, Yuba Blue 116 Mill Street Grass Valley, CA 95945

Yubablue@sbcglobal.net

From:

Jan Roth

Sent:

Monday, April 27, 2020 10:06 PM

To:

BOS Public Comment

Subject:

re: Outdoor Event Ordinance

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

I respectfully address the Board:

I am Jan Roth, owner of The Roth Estate on North Bloomfield Road in Nevada City.

Across these past eleven years I have hosted ninety-two weddings here on the estate, most couples coming from out of the area.

All have helped to fill our hotels and inns, patronized our restaurants and bars, and spent uncountable dollars shopping in both NC and GV. Moreover, they have handsomely supported our local vendors.

This is my personal *Vendor's List*, given to all my Brides – *so they will hire locally*:

Alterations, Assistants, Bartenders, Beauty Consultants, Cakes/Sweets, Caterers, DJs, Insurance, Invitations, Lettering & Design, Lighting, Limos, Lodging, Musicians, Officiants, Photo Booth, Photographers, Rentals, Vintage Rentals.

OTHER: Hair/Makeup/Nails, Taxis, Wedding Planners, Tents/canopies. etc.

It goes without saying, a single mid-sized wedding can *easily* bring \$40,000+ dollars to our community. Also noteworthy, several of the couples married here loved the area so much that they now own property in Nevada County[~]!

When you cut us down to just four weddings a year, you caused countless couples to plan their special days out of our area!!

And, in my particular case, I can no longer offer my estate to the myriad local non-profit associations that have annually used it, gratis, for fund-raising, as I do not now have the funds to properly keep up the grounds.

Esteemed Board Members, I most sincerely hope you will see fit to reinstate your initial allowance of eight weddings a year to those of us who open our privately-owned venues to host weddings. This will certainly be a win-win, for us and for the County of Nevada!

Sincerely,

Jan Roth, dba The Roth Estate.

roth@sti.net

www.therothestate.com

From:	Google Voice -
Sent:	Monday, April 27, 2020 5:02 PM
То:	nc.public.comment@gmail.com
Subject:	New voicemail from

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.



My name is Ron Mayer. I'm a resident of Nevada County So my residence . Nevada City California 95959 is my address. I'm calling cuz I heard on the radio you're talking about an agenda to have parties at people's houses. I have a problem with that. There's only a one way in and out on Broadway or Bloomfield Road creating a fire hazard for any big party and Thursday also wants to have this party supposedly was brought illegally in my mind, but it was bought by the good old boy Network friends of mine wanted to buy the house and never went on sale. So when they can a person who bought it probably wants to have parties month. Rock Creek divides our house. So my private section of Rock Creek will be affected by to autoimmune diseases. I have Crohn's disease and Graves disease am very sensitive to this virus going around. I don't want anyone hanging out in my part of Rock Creek down there having a party hanging out and getting that virus in there. So I can't I get it and I've been going out to the grocery store only once a week getting my mail to PO Box. I don't not want a party down on my Creek. I have a health concerns. What's going to come back in the fall of the second Way come back in the spring. There's no virus vaccine. This is a health issue for me. If you let people have parties at their houses off. Name is Ron Maye Nevada City, California. Do not change without public opinion that I can't even be there to talk about. The issue, parties. People are allowed to have private parties their houses, especially while there's a virus. Thank you.

PLAY MESSAGE

YOUR ACCOUNT

HELP CENTER

HELP FORUM

This email was sent to you because you indicated that you'd like to receive email notifications for voicemail. If you don't want to receive such emails in the future, please update your email notification settings.



From:

Nevada County Farm Bureau

Sent:

Monday, April 27, 2020 6:33 PM

To:

Susan Hoek; bdofsupervisors

Subject:

in Favor of SR 20-1850

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Susan,

I hope you and the family are doing well,

Just letting you know I would be in favor of this amendment to the Outdoor event ordinance.

Thank you Debora Totoonchie,

Nevada City CA 95959

SR 20-1850

Public hearing to consider amendments to the Outdoor Event Ordinance (Article 2 of Chapter V of the General Code). The amendments include the following:

1) Increase the number of allowed events from four (4) to eight (8) per year; 2) Modify the permit issuing agency to the Community Development Agency from the Nevada County Sheriff's Office; 3) Provide clarification of sanitation requirements for portable toilets; 4) Remove the requirements for criminal record checks, security posting and proof of insurance; and 5) Clarify the appeal and violation procedures.

(Introduce/Waive Further Reading/Adopt) An Ordinance amending Article 2 of Chapter V of the Nevada County General Code regarding Outdoor Events.

COUNTY

Debora Totoonchie, Manager NCFB

Nevada County Farm Bureau P.O. Box 27 Grass Valley CA 95945

ncfb.manager@gmail.com nevadacountyfarmbureau.com



From:

BOS Public Comment

Sent:

Tuesday, April 28, 2020 10:18 AM

To:

BOS Public Comment

Subject:

#35 Event Ordinance FW: Event Ordinance Vote

KathE Frazer, General Manager Gold Miners Inn

I am sure you have heard from many of my colleagues who are in support of revising the current Outdoor Event Ordinance. I know that the 2014 Board of Supervisors decision to reduce the number of events, negatively affected many outdoor venues, which resulted in a negative impact in our hotel's occupancy as well. Wedding Season is a huge part of our business and we have been challenged with trying to recreate the seasonal revenue generated prior to the 2014 decision. I encourage the current Board, now more than ever, to adopt the current revisions to the Nevada County Outdoor Event Ordinance.

Thank you for your time.

From: K Frazer <k.frazer@goldminersinngv.com>

Sent: Tuesday, April 28, 2020 10:16 AM

To: BOS Public Comment <BOS.PublicComment@co.nevada.ca.us>

Subject: Event Ordinance Vote

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello-

I am sure you have heard from many of my colleagues who are in support of revising the current Outdoor Event Ordinance. I know that the 2014 Board of Supervisors decision to reduce the number of events, negatively affected many outdoor venues, which resulted in a negative impact in our hotel's occupancy as well. Wedding Season is a huge part of our business and we have been challenged with trying to recreate the seasonal revenue generated prior to the 2014 decision. I encourage the current Board, now more than ever, to adopt the current revisions to the Nevada County Outdoor Event Ordinance.

Thank you for your time-

KathE Frazer

General Manager

Gold Miners Inn, An Ascend Hotel

121 Bank Street

Grass Valley, CA 95945

530-477-1700 x6

530-477-2058 fax

https://www.goldminersinngrassvalley.com/

From:

Sue Hoek

Sent:

Tuesday, April 28, 2020 7:29 PM

To:

Julie Patterson-Hunter

Subject:

Fwd: Outdoor Event Ordinance

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Eve Diamond

Date: April 28, 2020 at 3:03:23 PM PDT **To:** Sue Hoek <Sue.Hoek@co.nevada.ca.us>

Subject: Outdoor Event Ordinance

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi, Sue,

I watched the public hearing today and I wanted to say thank you for your concern about hearing from stakeholders other than the chambers/business owners; and also regarding those businesses who had to get use permits for similar events. When I read the staff report I had wondered if anyone representing residents was involved in the development of the new ordinance. I wasn't sure, but Richard confirmed it for me.

My main concern is really about moderating noise impacts but I also have some additional comments if you have time to call me. As you know I attended the stakeholder meetings back in 2014.

Thank you again,

Eve

From:

Sue Hoek

Sent:

Tuesday, April 28, 2020 7:29 PM

To:

Julie Patterson-Hunter

Subject:

Fwd: Outdoor Event Ordinance

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Eve Diamond

Date: April 28, 2020 at 3:03:23 PM PDT
To: Sue Hoek <Sue.Hoek@co.nevada.ca.us>

Subject: Outdoor Event Ordinance

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi, Sue,

I watched the public hearing today and I wanted to say thank you for your concern about hearing from stakeholders other than the chambers/business owners; and also regarding those businesses who had to get use permits for similar events. When I read the staff report I had wondered if anyone representing residents was involved in the development of the new ordinance. I wasn't sure, but Richard confirmed it for me.

My main concern is really about moderating noise impacts but I also have some additional comments if you have time to call me. As you know I attended the stakeholder meetings back in 2014.

Thank you again, Eve

From:

ROBERT HOEK

Sent:

Tuesday, April 28, 2020 7:25 PM

To:

Jeffrey Thorsby

Cc:

Julie Patterson-Hunter

Subject:

Fwd: Expansion of outdoor activity ordinance

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: fran freedle

Date: April 28, 2020 at 5:53:05 PM PDT

To: Susan Hoek

Subject: Expansion of outdoor activity ordinance

Hi Sue,

I have several concerns about the matter that was tabled without a Board of Supervisors decision today.

First, there is a normal procedure to pass this type of change through the Planning Commission, allow public hearings and hear from the affected private property owners.

Second, this recommended change will benefit commercial activities so foreign in the rural areas of our county. The biggest impact will be suffered by private property owners.

Third, there will be no enforcement available to neighbors who are impacted if Code Enforcement is the enforcer - the Sheriff needs to be the one in charge.

I shudder to consider such an activity in my quiet, serene neighborhood off my private road. There are lots of venues in Nevada County where proper zoning exists to accommodate the demands for weddings and other types of activities without passing an ordinance that impacts the entire county.

I would appreciate your consideration of my concerns when the matter is next before the Board for a decision.

Thanks,

Fran

_					
_	P4	\sim	m	٦	
		v		ш	

Nevada County Farm Bureau <ncfb.manager@gmail.com>

Sent: To: Monday, April 27, 2020 6:33 PM Susan Hoek; bdofsupervisors

Subject:

in Favor of SR 20-1850

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Susan,

I hope you and the family are doing well,

Just letting you know I would be in favor of this amendment to the Outdoor event ordinance.

Thank you Debora Totoonchie,

Nevada City CA 95959

SR 20-1850

Public hearing to consider amendments to the Outdoor Event Ordinance (Article 2 of Chapter V of the General Code). The amendments include the following:

1) Increase the number of allowed events from four (4) to eight (8) per year; 2) Modify the permit issuing agency to the Community Development Agency from the Nevada County Sheriff's Office; 3) Provide clarification of sanitation requirements for portable toilets; 4) Remove the requirements for criminal record checks, security posting and proof of insurance; and 5) Clarify the appeal and violation procedures.

(Introduce/Waive Further Reading/Adopt) An Ordinance amending Article 2 of Chapter V of the Nevada County General Code regarding Outdoor Events.

COUNTY

Debora Totoonchie, Manager NCFB

Nevada County Farm Bureau P.O. Box 27 Grass Valley CA 95945

ncfb.manager@gmail.com nevadacountyfarmbureau.com

		-			
-	r	റ	m	n	

Google Voice

Sent:

Tuesday, April 28, 2020 2:17 PM

To:

nc.public.comment@gmail.com

Subject:

New voicemail from

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.



Hello, my name is Rob and gavyn Davies. I'm the CEO of the greater Grass Valley Chamber of Commerce. And I want to make a comment while the discussion is going on. A 2014 our chamber and the Nevada City Chamber were privileged to distribute a great deal of information about weddings and events. Mm folks coming to our community to celebrate after the event ordinances changed the interest with them to the point where neither my chamber nor the Nevada City Chamber sends out any wedding packets we used to do at least ten a week, and now we're down to zero. I've confirmed this with my colleague mrs. Little T. And this is what one of the points that spurred us to reach out to make changes in the event ordinance. Thank you very much. I can be reached at

PLAY MESSAGE

YOUR ACCOUNT

HELP CENTER

HELP FORUM

This email was sent to you because you indicated that you'd like to receive email notifications for voicemail. If you don't want to receive such emails in the future, please update your email notification settings.

Google LLC 1600 Amphitheatre Pkwy Mountain View CA 94043 USA