From: <u>Kim James</u>

To: <u>bdofsupervisors</u>; <u>BOS Public Comment</u>

Subject: August 9th

Date: Monday, August 10, 2020 5:20:47 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

I am deeply concerned and disturbed by what happened in Nevada City yesterday, as I'm sure you are, too. I was not there, but have seen several videos.

Please keep the community updated with any special meetings and/or measures to prevent this from ever. ever. ever. happening again.

I have also written to the Chief of Police, the Sheriff's Office, city council and the DA.

Kim James Nevada City From: Richard Dejesus
To: BOS Public Comment
Subject: Covid Enforcement Ordinance
Date: Monday, August 10, 2020 5:33:43 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

As written I ask that you vote no on Item #26 of the Covid Enforcement Ordinance

Richard Dejesus

From: Dan Mackenzie
To: BOS Public Comment

Subject: covid caper

Date: Monday, August 10, 2020 4:57:50 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

we need to be left alone---no pernicious fines----no lock-downs----NO SPIES----MAKE AMERICA THINK AGAIN---- D Mackenzie G V Cali

From: Susan Kay McGuire

To: BOS Public Comment; Heidi Hall; Ed Scofield; Dan Miller; Sue Hoek; Richard Anderson

Cc: Kit Elliott; Gregg R. Lien; steven@stevencbailey.org

Subject: Board Agenda Item 26, 8/11/20 Urgency Ordinance re COVID-19, Public Comment

Date: Monday, August 10, 2020 4:50:58 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

To the Nevada County Board of Supervisors:

I request that the Board take no immediate action on the proposed Emergency Ordinance on the 8/11/20 Agenda, for the following reasons:

As you know, pursuant to a proclamation by the County Health Department dated March 5, 2020, a local emergency was declared by the Board on March 10, 2020,, declaring "extreme peril" "likely to be beyond the control, capacity, and resources of the ... facilities of Nevada County ..." and asking the "Governor to maintain a State of Emergency for the County of Nevada." The County is required by statute to end the local emergency as soon as possible. To do so, they must revisit the basis for maintaining a current state of emergency. Statutorily the County is responsible to provide for the "protection of life and property." Two prongs of consideration.

At present, the statistics in Nevada County do not support the Board continuing their declaration of emergency based upon the "**protection of life**." It is insufficient to consider at this point one valuable elderly person's demise, who passed approximately five months ago and I am confident medical professional and similar experts would certainly agree, had at least two life threatening co-morbidities that actually caused this death. I am informed and believe that as a general matter approximately 80 persons per month die in Nevada County, or 400 other persons have died in the intervening months, which deaths were not COVID-19 related. Likewise, since Nevada County began tracking the COVID testing from March 16 to the present (8/10/2020), there have been a total of 2 hospitalizations, 300 recovered and 22 currently active cases and 13,183 tested in a population separated by the East and West substantial geographic divide. With a population of more than 98,765 persons, the death rate in Nevada County is therefore .001% in 5 months (1/thousandths of one percent) and 322 confirmed cases (not necessarily symptomatic persons as it indicates there are approximately 21 active cases) or .3% (3/10ths of one percent) over five (5) months.

The Board's duty under when declaring an emergency per the statutes also includes terminating the emergency absent a need for the "**protection of property**." As you know, continuation of the declaration of emergency and the currently proposed "Emergency Ordinance" is actually doing substantial harm if not destroying altogether local business, which are the lifeblood and pocketbook of Nevada County and City governments. The proposed "Emergency" to protect life, as explained above, does not exist in this County. There was no emergency threatening "property." Initially, the "Emergency Ordinance" for protection of life has effected critical harm to private sector businesses and tax revenues, while all scrambled to understand the apparent threat. As the "life threatening" emergency does not now exist, this threat has actually become actionable as a taking of private property and inverse condemnation. The current County proposed "Emergency Ordinance" is not based upon

statutory law or a pending emergency based upon verifiable science or facts; therefore, the proposed Emergency Ordinance violates the due process clauses of the U. S. (Fifth Amendment) and California (Art. I) Constitutions, as well as your oath of office thereto, specifically require the Board protect of life, liberty and property. To adopt the Ordinance instead constitutes kicking them when they are already down in the name of an emergency that no longer exists. Nevada County already has an unfunded pension plan liability of \$200,000,000 plus. Denying business operations in Nevada County would be devastating to the county budget and jeopardize former and future retired personnel' pensions.

Statutorily, the County's public health officer is required to address the Board with facts and petition the Governor in the strongest terms to address Nevada County's situation of non-emergency. He certainly should not be a rubber stamp. In May the Governor claimed there was a health "menace." That is not the case in our County. This is a conclusory term without substance in our circumstances. The Board must require the local health department to address all the "facts," not unsubstantiated conclusions. The lack of substantiation specific to Nevada County is especially troubling given the Health Officer was given a retroactive raise of \$55,000, bringing his total salary to a whopping \$266,000 per year, while only required to work 28 days per week and also collecting a pension. More is required of this Board the Health Department it directs.

Statutorily, the Governor *cannot* temporarily suspend safety statutes or regulations, yet he has done so and specifically exempted the state (not the cities or counties) from liability. In application, cities and counties have set aside long-standing OSHA regulations believing this is what the Governor ordered under the State Health Department "guidelines." This includes ignoring OSHA regulations an requiring mandatory masks. Although the State Health Department provides exemptions, in reality they are not being applied nor are OSHA mandated protocols being used by businesses or the County prior to mandating and now proposed enforcement through criminal laws and sanctions. This opens the businesses up to wrongful death and personal injury claims, in which they will seek indemnification from cities and counties. The Governor's orders are vague and it is the County's duty to nullify and not obey them or accept the liability (the Governor has carefully included language to exempt the State from liability.) As an example, at least one executive order includes language that residents are to "heed" State public health "directive to the state," whereas the state health department refers to these so-called "directives" as "guidelines," All are inaccurately interchangeably referred to as "orders." The County now proposes not heeding them as criminal in nature.

Additionally, the Governor has no statutory authority to suspend the open meeting laws of the Brown Act or Bagley-Keene Acts beyond the initial 60 days and in any event the Governor advised local agencies to "use sound discretion and to make reasonable efforts to adhere as closely as reasonably possible to the provisions [those Acts], and other applicable local laws regulating the conduct of public meetings, in order to maximize transparency and provide the public access to their meetings." Completely excluding the public from these meetings is improper and actionable, particularly given the current lack of emergency or threat to life.

Board Members took an oath of office to defend the Constitutions of the United States and California, which includes every individual's right to life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness (including property). In founding principle of our nation's birth it is stated that the *sole* purpose of civil government and local, state and federal elected servants to protect individual God-given rights. (See United States Codes, Organic Laws of the United States: Preamble to

the Declaration of Independence; U. S. Constitution Fifth Amendment; California Constitution, Article 1.)

The initial Proclamation of "extreme peril" to life no longer holds true, claims of "menace" and "protection of life" from COVID-19 is not necessary, public meetings should be resumed and the County Health Officer should be directed to provide factual scientifically verifiable information to present to the Governor's Office of Emergency Services and terminate the emergency from the state's perspective in Nevada County, so that this Board and local City Councils answerable to local residents can again conduct business as usual pursuant to their oaths of office.

In conclusion, the proposed Emergency Ordinance is not legally sound, a continued emergency in Nevada County is scientifically baseless and tabling, deferring a vote or other otherwise by the Board is prudent. Further direction must be obtained from County Counsel and the local health officer. I look forward to assisting as appropriate regarding the legal issues identified herein. We all have the same goal: To mutually benefit all Nevada County citizens and businesses, including keeping county government fiscally responsible, viable and avoiding the perilous situation of litigation, which would be a further unnecessary drain upon county resources.

Sincerely,

Susan Kay McGuire

Attorney and Counselor at Law



This email and any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this email in error please notify the sender. This message contains confidential information and is intended only for the individual named. If you are not the named addressee you should not disseminate, distribute or copy this email. Please notify the sender immediately by e-mail if you have received this e-mail by mistake and delete this e-mail from your system. If you are not the intended recipient you are notified that disclosing, copying, distributing or taking any action in reliance on the contents of this information is strictly prohibited.

From: Richard Ludke
To: BOS Public Comment

Cc: Heidi Hall; Ed Scofield; Dan Miller; Sue Hoek; Richard Anderson

 Subject:
 Fwd: Agenda Item SR 20-3326 & SR 20-3359

 Date:
 Monday, August 10, 2020 4:59:21 PM

 Attachments:
 Screen Shot 2020-08-10 at 2.09.10 PM.png Screen Shot 2020-08-10 at 2.17.45 PM.png

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

To the Nevada County Board of Supervisors,

Regarding the above referenced agenda items. I am asking you to please carefully consider a "No" vote based on the facts below that are facing not only Nevada County, but the State of California. Citizen's are loosing hope due to the hardships they have already endured due to the Covid 19 virus.

Please review these facts and ask yourself if it makes sense to fine business and put further restrictions on the hard working people of our county.

In 2017, these are the top 10 cases of death.

Link https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/pressroom/states/california/california.htm

CA Leading Causes of Death, 2017	Deaths
1. <u>Heart Disease</u>	62,797
2. <u>Cancer</u>	59,516
3. <u>Stroke</u>	16,355
4. <u>Alzheimer's Disease</u>	16,238
5. <u>Chronic Lower Respiratory Diseases</u>	13,881
6. Accidents	13,840
7. <u>Diabetes</u>	9,595
8. <u>Influenza/Pneumonia</u>	6,340
9. <u>Hypertension</u>	5,596
10. Chronic Liver Disease/Cirrhosis	5,325

California COVID 19 Deaths to date are 9,133 per the CDC. If you exclude influenza, the total is 5,056. Link https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nvss/vsrr/covid19/index.htm

Updated August 10, 2020

Jurisdiction of Occurrence	All Deaths involving COVID-19 (U07.1)	Deaths from All Causes	Percent of Expected Deaths ²	Deaths involving Pneumonia, with or without COVID-19, excluding Influenza deaths (J12.0-J18.9)3	Deaths involving COVID-19 and Pneumonia, sexcluding influenza (112.0–118.9 and U07.1) ³	All Deaths involving Influenza, with or without COVID-19 or Pneumonia (J09-J11) ⁴	Deaths involving Pneumonia, Influenza, or COVID- 19 (U07.1 or J09-J18.9) ⁵
United States ⁶	145,298	1,627,731	107	153,107	63,320	6,597	240,71
Alabama	1,668	28,993	103	2,015	499	98	3,27
Alaska	17	2,068	91	88			10
Arizona	3,568	38,456	119	4,053	2,036	114	5,69
Arkansas	510	17,205	101	1,220	216	76	1,59
California	9,133	151,656	105	14,731	5,056	578	19,38

The California YTD suicide rate is 4,312, the highest rate in the country. Link https://worldpopulationreview.com/state-rankings/suicide-rates-by-state

CDC's National update shows cases decreasing from week 30 to 31, with decreasing or stable levels in all regions of the country. These are the most recent numbers reported by the CDC. Link https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/covid-data/covidview/index.html

Mr. and Ms. Supervisor, we the hardworking people of Nevada County are counting on you to do the right thing and vote no on SR 20-3326 & SR 20-3359 to save the heart and soul of our county. More people are dying from accidents and chronic lower respiratory disease than Covid 19, which doesn't even compare to cancer and heart disease. Our suicide rate is only 1,000 less than the reported Covid 19 deaths when you exclude influenza. Again, California is the highest suicide rate in the Country with hardest restrictions.

Thank you for serving and doing the right thing for us all in this crucial hour. We know we voted in the best people, to do the right thing based on the facts that fines will hurt people more than help people.

Sincerely, Richard Ludke Nevada County Resident From: Laurel Manter
To: BOS Public Comment
Subject: Agenda item #26

Date: Monday, August 10, 2020 5:33:57 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello,

My name is Laurel Manter and I want to encourage you to vote YES on agenda item #26. I live in Penn Valley.

Thank you!

From: donna.l.flores22@gmail.com
To: BOS Public Comment

Subject: BOS Agenda for Tuesday, August 11, Item 26 Date: Monday, August 10, 2020 5:20:12 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

To: Nevada County Board of Supervisors Heidi Hall Ed Scofield Sue Hoek Richard Anderson Dan Miller

I am writing to urge your NO vote on Item 26, the temporary Urgency Ordinance related to enforcement of COVID-related.

The proposed fines are extraordinary and are excessive for what would be classified as misdemeanors.

County and State government agencies, particularly Health and Environment departments, have not provided scientific evidence of epidemic. It is not appropriate nor advisable to assign county staff to "enforcement officer" roles.

One has to wonder if this "enforcement" is the string attached to receiving Newsome's money. What COVID relief is needed in our county? Is the hospital overrun with COVID patients? The only relief our county needs is the unbearable mandates put on our local businesses. Open businesses & our economy and there should be no need to crawl to the state for handouts. If balancing the budget is too much for you then do right by the citizens and step down. The State is not your priority, Nevada County citizens who put you in those seats are!

Sincerely, Donna Flores Nevada County

Sent from my iPhone

From: <u>Lisa Hosbein</u>

To: BOS Public Comment; Dan Miller; Heidi Hall; Sue Hoek; Ed Scofield; Richard Anderson

 Subject:
 BOS Agenda, Tue 8/11/2020, Item 26

 Date:
 Monday, August 10, 2020 4:57:28 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Supervisors Hall, Scofield, Miller, Hoek and Anderson,

I am writing this letter to strongly oppose Item 26, the temporary Urgency Ordinance related to enforcement of COVID-related irregularities.

Prior to aggressively enforcing far-reaching guidelines such as the wearing of face masks in public, a risk benefit analysis should be performed. This is what is done in medicine when we obtain informed consent from our patients for various medical procedures. To date I have not seen any type of comprehensive risk benefit analysis to support the aggressive guidelines regarding the wearing of face coverings in public.

Regarding the wearing of face masks to mitigate the spread of SARS-CoV-2 we need further discussion regarding the wearing of masks by symptomatic versus asymptomatic individuals. A study by Leung et al published in Nature Medicine in May 2020 found that, "surgical face masks **could** prevent transmission of human coronaviruses and influenza viruses from **SYMPTOMATIC** individuals." Another study published in Respiratory Medicine this month (Aug 2020, Gao et al) found no SARS-CoV-2 infections in the 455 people who had contact with asymptomatic carriers. The authors concluded that the "infectivity of some asymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 carriers might be weak" and that the "results of the study may alleviate parts of the concern about asymptomatic infected people."

There is significant evidence that low grade hypoxia(too little oxygen), low grade hypercapnia(too much CO2), increased resistance upon inhalation (more work for the diaphragm when breathing in) are known stresses to the body caused by face mask wearing especially by prolonged wearing of face masks. A study by Brewer et al published in Toxins in 2014 presents a heretofore unappreciated risk of rebreathing exhaled air. Brewer et al found that a subset of chronically ill patients had mold colonizing their sinuses. They found that in chronically ill patients this mold was frequently producing extremely dangerous mycotoxins. Wearing a mask would be extremely deleterious to the health of these individuals as it would cause them to rebreathe these extremely toxic mycotoxins. Mask wearing is also deleterious to the health of individuals with a variety of other chronic illnesses such as COPD, CHF, cancer and severe anxiety.

We need to more thoroughly evaluate the risks and benefits of wearing masks before burdensome fines are imposed for not complying with the governor's guidelines.

Thank you for looking at the big picture regarding widespread punitive fines for our local businesses. At present many local businesses are afraid of punishment of some sort if they honor patients' medical conditions that would make it harmful to their health to wear masks.

Sincerely,

Lisa Hosbein, MD

Lisa Hosbein, MD

Tree of Life Health Center Nevada City, CA Tree of Life Wellness Center, Grass Valley, CA



CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE

Please be aware that e-mail is not an encrypted form of communication. If you have any questions regarding this, please contact our office at (530)265-9600.

This e-mail transmission, including any attachments, may contain Protected Health Information (PHI). If so, this e-mail is HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL. It is intended for exclusive use of the addressee. It is to be be used only to aid in providing specific healthcare services to this patient. Any other use is a violation of Federal Law (HIPAA) and will be reported as such. If you received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender immediately by reply e-mail. Please destroy all electronic and hard copies of the communication, including attachments. Thank you.

From: Sarah Ramey

To: <u>bdofsupervisors</u>; <u>BOS Public Comment</u>

Cc: <u>Dan Miller</u>; <u>Heidi Hall</u>; <u>Sue Hoek</u>; <u>Ed Scofield</u>; <u>Richard Anderson</u>

Subject: BOS Agenda, Tues 8/11, Item 26
Date: Monday, August 10, 2020 4:49:38 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to strongly OPPOSE Item 26, the temporary Urgency Ordinance related to enforcement of Coronavirus restrictions.

How can you claim to represent your constituents and try to hide this from them. We deserve a voice, when you are not speaking out for our well being!!

Hold a public hearing to allow the community to speak.

With trust and expectations of your honor, Sarah Larsen

Sent from my iPhone

From: Glenda Skibitzke

To: <u>bdofsupervisors</u>; <u>BOS Public Comment</u>

Cc: <u>Dan Miller; Heidi Hall; Sue Hoek; ccox@sierracollege edu; scofield@co.nevada.ca.us; Richard Anderson</u>

 Subject:
 BOS Agenda, Tues. 8/11, Item 26

 Date:
 Monday, August 10, 2020 4:56:49 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Supervisors Hall, Schofield, Miller, Hoek, and Anderson.

I am writing you for the first time ever to strongly oppose Item 26, the Temporary Urgency Ordinance related to enforcement of COVID-releated. I just can't imagine you not working with our local beloved business to do everything in your power to help them stay afloat!

I can't imagine you hiding from the public and not entertaining public input/comment before passing such an EXTREME ordinance against the businesses and people of this wonderful county. Or, should I say "formerly" wonderful county?

I am so disillusioned with your seemingly cowardly or maybe just heavy-handed approach that threatens the livelihood of some of our most wonderful, beloved restaurants and their wonderful, beloved owners who have contributed so much to our county, supporting Hospitality House, Girls and Boys Club, and a host of other worthy local charities. If you run them out of business, not only will their lives be ruined, but the significant support of these charities will come to a stop.

PLEASE WORK WITH AND HELP OUR LOCAL BUSINESSES, ESPECIALLY OUR RESTAURANTS.

PLEASE DON'T HURT THEM!

PLEASE FOLLOW THE RULES AND ALLOW PUBLIC COMMENT.

PLEASE MEET WITH THEM FACE TO FACE!

SURELY YOU KNOW THAT YOU ARE THE ONLY BOD IN THE WHOLE STATE OF CALIF THAT IS HIDING FROM ITS CONSTITUENTS AND REFUSING TO MEET WITH THEM AND LISTEN TO THEIR INPUT!!!

DO YOU REALIZE HOW COWARDLY AND UNFAIR YOU APPEAR?

DO YOU KNOW THAT THE SCIENCE OF COVID IS EXTREMELY DIVERSE AMONG MEDICAL PROFESSIONALS AND THAT MANY OF THE MEASURES

IMPLEMENTED TO "KEEP THE PUBLIC SAFE" ARE HOTLY DEBATED AND DISAGREED WITH BY RESPECTED DOCTORS AND MEDICAL RESEARCHERS BY THE HUNDREDS?

WHY ARE YOU TREATING US LIKE LA COUNTY AND OTHER HUGE COUNTIES?

DO YOU KNOW THAT ANY GIVEN TIME THERE IS ONLY ONE PATIENT HOSPITALIZED IN OUR COUNTY WITH COVID? AND THAT MOST OF THE TIME THAT NUMBER IS ZERO?

AND YOU WANT TO DESTROY PEOPLE'S LIVELIHOODS AND THEIR LIVES FOR THOSE NUMBERS?

DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT THIS MAKES NO SENSE WHATSOEVER?

WHAT COULD POSSIBLY BE YOUR MOTIVES FOR DOING SUCH AN IRRATIONAL AND HARMFUL THING TO YOUR CITIZENS?

I am dumbfounded by your harmful and illogical actions. Not to mention deeply disappointed, saddened, and disillusioned about the formerly wonderful place I have chosen to call home.

Respectfully, but deeply disappointed,

Glenda Skibitzke

__

bdofsupervisors: BOS Public Comment Dan Miller <dan.miller@co.nevada.ca.u BOS Agenda, Tues. 8/11, Item 26 Monday, August 10, 2020 5:31:32 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe

Dear Supervisors Hall, Scofield, Miller, Hoek and Anderson:

I am writing to strongly oppose Item 26, the temporary Urgency Ordinance related to enforcement of COVID-related.



As well as the below points that I strongly urge you to look at and consider, please keep in mind the physical/mental health issues that prevent some people from wearing mask's in the first place.

- <!--[if !supportLists]-->1. <!--[endif]-->Restaurants that serve diners outside will be able to do so for only about two more months. Then, rains and cold weather will curtail business to a trickle, so by October ALL restaurants will be out of business. Take-out cannot sustain a restaurant at a profit in most cases. So, a business must choose whe her to go bankrupt because their business is shuttered by the government or to go bankrupt for trying to perform their normal business. Like telling someone they are sentenced to die—here is a gun. You can kill yourself or we will do it.
 Don't tell me that is not draconian!
- <!--[if !supportLists]-->2. <!--[endif]-->The ordinance turns business owners into police by placing the burden on them to assure customers follow mask and other COVID-19 orders.
- <!--[if!!supportLists]-->3. <!--[endif]--->Dining-in penalized. On what basis is there a distinction between being a customer in a restaurant and a customer in any business? Why is it ok for a customer to enter a grocery store, a pharmacy, a dry cleaner, a smoke shop, a liquor store, or any other business but not enter a restaurant? In any business, social distancing rules are in effect. The only answer is that one cannot wear a mask while eating or drinking, even if safely spaced from other diners. There are two factors here: fresh air flow and masking.
- <!--[if !supportLists]-->4. <!--[endif]-->Outside spaces allow fresh air/breezes to carry away any virus droplets. But some of our restaurants have installed higher rates of fresh air intake and advanced filtration systems into their HVAC systems. Similar as on airplanes. Yet, the one size fits all "COVID-19 Orders" do not recognize these fresh air and filtration measures and refuse to allow dining-in.
- <I--[if !supportLists]-->5. <!--[endif]-->Cotton or paper surgical masks are not effective in controlling a virus. These masks cannot stop a virus as their particle size are small enough to fit through the holes in the fabric or simply escape via the open edges of such loosely-worn masks, So, most of this draconian enforcement is based on invalid science. Here are the facts:
 - <!--[if !supportLists]-->a. <!--[endif]-->A report from the CDC in May, 2020 evaluated different Personal Protec ive Measures (PPE) in limiting the spread of COVID. Hand hygiene was identified as effective, but regarding disposable medical masks and cotton masks it concludes "there is limited evidence for their effectiveness in preventing influenza virus transmission either when worn by the infected person for source control or when worn by uninfected persons to reduce exposure." https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/eid/article/26/5/19-0994_article
 - <!--[if !supportLists]-->b. <!--[endif]-->An article published in the New England Journal of Medicine on April 1, 2020 on the topic of COVID-19 stated that "We know that wearing a mask outside health care facilities offers little, if any protection from infection." And goes on to say "the desire for widespread masking is a reflexive reac ion to anxiety over the pandemic." The article was subsequently amended on June 3, 2020 to include the statement "We strongly support the calls of public health agencies for all people to wear masks when circumstances compel them to be within 6 ft. of others for sustained periods." Thus, spacing OR masking is adequate while neither is necessary for short interactions. https://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/NEJMp2006372
 - <!--[if !supportLists]-->c. <!--[endif]--->The use of N95 respirators (unlike a cloth or surgical mask, a respirator is fitted to he face with an airtight seal) are not effective in stopping a virus. Quo ing from a manufacturer of such masks: "Oil and fat-based particulates are very small and "slippery" for lack of a better term. They are often capable of penetrating filters hat non-oil particulates cannot, even when those particulates are small. These include everything from certain hazardous chemicals to viruses like SARS, which has a lipid (fat) shell around it." The letter "N" means Not resistant to oil-based particulates. Thus if N95 respirators cannot stop a SARS virus then loose fitting cotton or paper masks cannot. (COVID is also referred to as SARS 2)



- <!--[if !supportLists]-->6. <!--[endif]-->Further on masks, there is significant controversy among health experts around the world on he effectiveness of masks. Many European countries do not require masks, and heir death rates are low. Refer to this article for some perspec ive: https://fee org/articles/europes-top-health-officials-say-masks-arent-helpful-in-beating-covid-19/
- <!--[if !supportLists]-->7. <!--[endif]-->Dine-in restaurants have reduced the seating capacity to create safe spacing between tables and diners not in one party. That spacing is adequate protection wi hout the need for con inuous masking while dining-in.
- <!--[if !supportLists]-->8. <!--[endif]-->Our county's recent increase in COVID cases cannot be traced to dining-in. It can be directly traced to some large weekend rave parties illegally held within the county over the 4th of July weekend.
- <l--[if !supportLists]-->9. <!--[endif]-->The Governor is applying a one-size-fits-all approach rather than allowing each county to assess the situation and apply appropriate measures.
- <!--[if !supportLists]-->10. <!--[endif]-->Punishing the County's restaurants punishes the county's citizens and ability to provide County services. Sales tax revenues have plummeted.
- <I--[if !supportLists]-->11.<I--[endif]-->The Governor's order to wear masks in all businesses has already ac ivated the "Face Mask Fascists" in Nevada County to spy on and report businesses if an employee has to temporarily "come up for air" from continuously wearing the suffocating masks. Even if the employees are practicing safe distancing. One of our Supervisors has on social media whipped up these Fascists to report to the county so enforcement officers can go out and inspect, issue citations and fines, and this is directed at all businesses, not just for restaurants—a bank was targeted! That is despicable and like the Gestapo or Stassi secret police.
- <I--[if !supportLists]-->12. <!--[endif]-->Some of our businesses have been physically attacked and vandalized already by vigilantes who are empowered by the draconian measures being taken by our county and believe they have the right to take matters into their own hands. Passage of this Ordinance will make this issue worse. We are now a lawless society, enabled and encouraged by our County's staff and Supervisors.

- <!--[if !supportLists]-->13. <!--[endif]-->The Governor is promising monetary aid to our county for legitimizing his dictatorial and illegal orders. A small benefit for the much greater damage that will be inflicted on our county's businesses for years to come.
- <!--[if !supportLists]-->14. <!--[endif]-->The number of cases identified in the US has risen, based mainly on more frequent testing. But the death rates are dropping around the country because of better understanding of the virus and how to treat it. The single death in Nevada County was to a very elderly person with terminal cancer who wanted to spend her last days in her Truckee home. There are only 20 active cases in our county as of today.
- Just some food for thought.

That woman you shamed in the grocery store, because she wasn't wearing a mask? She already feels enough shame because she was raped. Having something over her nose & mouth triggers her PTSD, and causes her to relive that trauma.

That man at the Quickee Mart who you called selfish? He's a volunteer firefighter, and just came from the ER, after being treated for smoke inhalation. He removed his air mask, in order to help a child breathe fresh air, instead of thick smoke.

That elderly lady who you screamed at to put a mask on, or shop when it's her turn? Her husband of 60 years just passed away. She's doing her best to learn to live alone. Every breath is physically painful, due to her grief.

That little boy you lectured about removing his mask? He's autistic. He doesn't understand. He simply wants it off of his face.

That little girl who screams when somebody tries to mask her? She's claustrophobic. She came from an abusive home, where she was confined to a closet.

There are all sorts of reasons for not wearing a mask. Not all are lung, or immune system related.

How many of you are among those shaming, name calling, and berating complete strangers, or worse, family members? How many of you are against bullying? If you are among the first group, and align with the second, you may want to pump the brakes, and check yourself... you have become the bully you claim to be against.

Wearing a mask does not make you a kind person. You are either a kind person, or you aren't. A piece of cloth does not determine that trait.

On the flipside, not wearing a mask does not make a person selfish, or inconsiderate. t simply means that, there may be an unseen reason why they cannot wear one. You don't know their story, and, to be quite honest, it's none of your business.

From: <u>Jonathan Steel</u>

To: <u>bdofsupervisors</u>; <u>BOS Public Comment</u>

Cc: Dan Miller; Heidi Hall; Sue.Hoeck@co.nevada.ca.us; ed.scofield@nevada.ca.us; Richard Anderson

Subject: BOS Agenda, Tuesday 8/11, Item 26
Date: Monday, August 10, 2020 5:30:50 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to strongly oppose Item 26, the temporary Urgency Ordinance related to enforcement of COVID-related Orders.

Business owners in general, and restaurants in particular are being targeted by these measures. There is no reason why restaurants cannot serve diners indoors when most stores are open. Many restaurants have taken steps to reduce the space out the number of tables and have installed air and filtration systems to adequately address the issues.

In addition, the findings of the CDC and others in the medical profession show that masks only needed to be worn when social distancing (6 feet) was not possible The New England Journal of Medicine on June 3 said that "We strongly support the calls of public health agencies for all people to wear masks when circumstances compel them to be within 6 ft. of others for sustained periods." Spacing and masking together is not required. The fact that people have to remove their masks to eat is not a problem.

We are all aware that outdoor dining or any other outdoor business is going to have to be brought indoors when the weather changes.

Too many business are already gone and not returning. Why must we add more? I know that you all know this. I can only hope that these measures are not being taken with that purpose in mind. The one-size fits all approach from the governor is not appropriate. Please do not punish this wonderful county!

Jonathan Steel, Nevada City From: <u>Barbara Timmons</u>

To: <u>bdofsupervisors</u>; <u>BOS Public Comment</u>

Cc: <u>Dan Miller</u>; <u>Heidi Hall</u>; <u>Sue Hoek</u>; <u>Ed Scofield</u>; <u>Richard Anderson</u>

Subject: BOS Agenda, Tuesday, August 11, 2020, Item 26

Date: Monday, August 10, 2020 5:31:40 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to strongly oppose Item 26, the temporary Urgency Ordinance related to enforcement of COVID-related restrictions.

Each county should be treated as the unique counties they are. Only the supervisors for Nevada County should be making 'law' about how to handle this virus situation. Our cases are and have remained low and our businesses should be allowed to use appropriate measures to keep their businesses open.

Barbara Timmons Nevada City resident since 1987 From: Holly Beardsley
To: BOS Public Comment

Subject: For the BOS meeting 8/11/2020 for the record Date: Monday, August 10, 2020 4:49:01 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Manufactured Pandemic: Testing People for Any Strain of a Coronavirus, Not Specifically for COVID-19

By Julian Rose

Global Research, June 29, 2020

Region: **USA**

First published by Global Research on March 27, 2020

The following is from a medical forum. The writer, who is a widely respected professional scientist in the US, prefers to stay anonymous, because presenting any narrative different than the official one can cause you a lot of stress in the toxic environment caused by the scam which surrounds COVID-19 these days. — **Julian Rose**

I work in the healthcare field. Here's the problem, we are testing people for any strain of a Coronavirus. Not specifically for COVID-19. There are no reliable tests for a specific COVID-19 virus. There are no reliable agencies or media outlets for reporting numbers of actual COVID-19 virus cases. This needs to be addressed first and foremost. Every action and reaction to COVID-19 is based on totally flawed data and we simply can not make accurate assessments.

This is why you're hearing that most people with COVID-19 are showing nothing more than cold/flu like symptoms. That's because most Coronavirus strains are nothing more than cold/flu like symptoms. The few actual novel Coronavirus cases do have some worse respiratory responses, but still have a very promising recovery rate, especially for those without prior issues.

The 'gold standard' in testing for COVID-19 is laboratory isolated/purified coronavirus particles free from any contaminants and particles that look like viruses but are not, that have been proven to be the cause of the syndrome known as COVID-19 and obtained by using proper viral isolation methods and controls (not the PCR that is currently being used or Serology /antibody tests which do not detect virus as such). PCR basically takes a sample of your cells and amplifies any DNA to look for 'viral sequences', i.e. bits of non-human DNA that seem to match parts of a known viral genome.

The problem is the test is known not to work.

It uses 'amplification' which means taking a very very tiny amount of DNA and growing it exponentially until it can be analyzed. Obviously any minute contaminations in the sample will also be amplified leading to potentially gross errors of discovery.

Additionally, it's only looking for partial viral sequences, not whole genomes, so identifying a single pathogen is next to impossible even if you ignore the other issues.

The Mickey Mouse test kits being sent out to hospitals, at best, tell analysts you have some viral DNA in your cells. Which most of us do, most of the time. It may tell you the viral sequence is related to a specific type of virus – say the huge family of coronavirus. But that's all. The idea these kits can isolate a specific virus like COVID-19 is nonsense.

And that's not even getting into the other issue – viral load.

If you remember the PCR works by amplifying minute amounts of DNA. It therefore is useless at telling you how much virus you may have. And that's the only question that really matters when it comes to diagnosing illness. Everyone will have a few virus kicking round in their system at any time, and most will not cause illness because their quantities are too small. For a virus to sicken you you need a lot of it, a massive amount of it. But PCR does not test viral load and therefore can't determine if it is present in sufficient quantities to sicken you.

If you feel sick and get a PCR test any random virus DNA might be identified even if they aren't at all involved in your sickness which leads to false diagnosis.

And coronavirus are incredibly common. A large percentage of the world human population will have covi DNA in them in small quantities even if they are perfectly well or sick with some other pathogen.

Do you see where this is going yet? If you want to create a totally false panic about a totally false pandemic – pick a coronavirus.

They are incredibly common and there's tons of them. A very high percentage of people who have become sick by other means (flu, bacterial pneumonia, anything) will have a positive

PCR test for covi even if you're doing them properly and ruling out contamination, simply because covis are so common.

There are hundreds of thousands of flu and pneumonia victims in hospitals throughout the world at any one time.

All you need to do is select the sickest of these in a single location – say Wuhan – administer PCR tests to them and claim anyone showing viral sequences similar to a coronavirus (which will inevitably be quite a few) is suffering from a 'new' disease.

Since you already selected the sickest flu cases a fairly high proportion of your sample will go on to die.

You can then say this 'new' virus has a CFR higher than the flu and use this to infuse more concern and do more tests which will of course produce more 'cases', which expands the testing, which produces yet more 'cases' and so on and so on.

Before long you have your 'pandemic', and all you have done is use a simple test kit trick to convert the worst flu and pneumonia cases into something new that doesn't actually exist.

Now just run the same scam in other countries. Making sure to keep the fear message running high so that people will feel panicky and less able to think critically.

Your only problem is going to be that – due to the fact there is no actual new deadly pathogen but just regular sick people, you are mislabeling your case numbers, and especially your deaths, are going to be way too low for a real new deadly virus pandemic.

But you can stop people pointing this out in several ways.

- 1. You can claim this is just the beginning and more deaths are imminent. Use this as an excuse to quarantine everyone and then claim the quarantine prevented the expected millions of dead.
- 2. You can tell people that 'minimizing' the dangers is irresponsible and bully them into not talking about numbers.
- 3. You can talk crap about made up numbers hoping to blind people with pseudoscience.
- 4. You can start testing well people (who, of course, will also likely have shreds of coronavirus DNA in them) and thus inflate your 'case figures' with 'asymptomatic carriers' (you will of course have to spin that to sound deadly even though any virologist knows the more symptom-less cases you have the less deadly is your pathogen.

Take these 4 simple steps and you can have your own entirely manufactured pandemic up and running in weeks.

They can not "confirm" something for which there is no accurate test.

*

From: Susan Morrison

To: <u>bdofsupervisors</u>; <u>BOS Public Comment</u>

Cc: Heidi Hall; Sue Hoek; ed.scofield@co.nevad.ca.us; Richard Anderson; Dan Miller

 Subject:
 Fw: BOS Agenda, Tues. 8/11, Item 26

 Date:
 Monday, August 10, 2020 4:59:10 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Supervisors Hall, Scofield, Miller, Hoek and Anderson:

I am writing to strongly oppose Item 26, the temporary Urgency Ordinance related to enforcement of COVID-related.

Cotton or paper surgical masks are not effective in controlling a virus. These masks cannot stop a virus as their particle size is small enough to fit through the holes in the fabric or simply escape via the open edges of such loosely-worn masks, So, most of this draconian enforcement is based on invalid science.

Here are the facts:

a. A report from the CDC in May, 2020 evaluated different Personal Protective Measures (PPE) in limiting the spread of COVID. Hand hygiene was identified as effective, but regarding disposable medical masks and cotton masks it concludes "there is limited evidence for their effectiveness in preventing influenza virus transmission either when worn by the infected person for source control or when worn by uninfected persons to reduce exposure."

https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/eid/article/26/5/19-0994 article

b. An article published in the New England Journal of Medicine on April 1, 2020 on the topic of COVID-19 stated that "We know that wearing a mask outside health care facilities offers little, if any protection from infection." And goes on to say "the desire for widespread masking is a reflexive reaction to anxiety over the pandemic." The article was subsequently amended on June 3, 2020 to include the statement "We strongly support the calls of public health agencies for all people to wear masks when circumstances compel them to be within 6 ft. of others for sustained periods." Thus, spacing OR masking is adequate while neither is necessary for short interactions.

https://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/NEJMp2006372

PLEASE VOTE AGAINST ITEM # 26. This is way too painful for our county, especially when the death rate is so small. (We all know we can't count "cases" as legitimate numbers because people that are completely well and without symptom or issues are included, thus inflating the numbers and making them irrelevant.

Susan Morrison

From: <u>Melany Castillo</u>

To: BOS Public Comment; Heidi Hall; Ed Scofield; Dan Miller; Sue Hoek; Richard Anderson; Env. Health; Health

Officer; Kim Blix; Jill Blake; CommunityDevelopment; Public Health; Alex Gammelgard

Cc: Chad Ellis; Paul Rohde; Timothy Ewing; Antonio Virga; Kelsey Hess - NCPD; Chris Lewis; Blake Butts; Luke

Holdcroft; Sean Mason; CEO; Catrina Olson; Sheriff

Subject: I DO NOT CONSENT "NO" ON SR-3359

Date: Monday, August 10, 2020 5:19:55 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

NOTICE TO PUBLIC SERVANTS/EMPLOYEES:

TO: THE NEVADA COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, SEAN POWERS, DIRECTOR, COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT AGENCY, AMY IRANI, Dr. RICHARD JOHNSON, "Acting Public Health Director", KIM BLIX, JILL BLAKE, AND UNKNOWN STAFF CONSPIRING TO COMMIT FRAUD AND EXTORTION IN VIOLATION OF YOUR OATH OF OFFICE AND OFFICIAL BOND.

I DO NOT CONSENT, AND I OBJECT TO YOUR UNLAWFUL ATTACK ON THE RIGHTS AND PROPERTY OF THE PEOPLE OF NEVADA COUNTY, AND WE WILL HOLD EACH OF YOU PERSONALLY RESPONSIBLE AND LIABLE FOR INDEMNIFICATION IN THE AMOUNT OF TEN THOUSAND DOLLARS A DAY (\$10,000.00) PER VIOLATION FOR ANY ATTEMPT TO PLUNDER AND UNLAWFULLY ADMINISTER THE ESTATES AND PROPERTY OF THE PEOPLE OR BUSINESSES IN NEVADA COUNTY.

This proposed ordinance SR-3359 is nothing more than an ultra vires conspiracy to extort money/property and under color of law, to plunder and administer the estates of the people of Nevada county by a rogue county agent named Sean Powers on behalf of the Board of Supervisors, who has no authority to write or make law, yet he admitted he is the one who drafted this ordinance

SR-3359 in a live radio broadcast interview on KVMR radio, Friday, July 7, 2020, at approximately 6:15 pm.

The U.S. Supreme Court, in Scheuer v. Rhodes, 416 U.S. 232, 94 S. Ct. 1683, 1687 (1974) stated that "when a state officer acts under a state law in a manner violative of the Federal Constitution, he "comes into conflict with the superior authority of that Constitution, and he is in that case stripped of his official or representative character and is subjected in his person to the consequences of his individual conduct. The State has no power to impart to him any immunity from responsibility to the supreme authority of the United States." [Emphasis supplied in original]. YOU WILL BE HELD ACCOUNTABLE FOR YOUR ACTIONS!

There is NO MASK LAW in California

NO Governor has ANY authority to compel any individual to do anything. See California Constitution, 1849.

- 1. Newsom didn't issue any order. It was Guidance on Face Coverings statement from the Dept of Public Health.
- 2. It is not a public health order -- it is a statement... "Guidance"
- 3. There is no law cited or penal code cited. It is unenforceable.
- 4. This guidance actually RELAXES the previous orders.
- 5. This is pure deception to present this as "new law".
- 6. As Gov Newsom stated in an ABC interview from Stockton in July 2020, "I can't Mandate anything to the people". It is available to view on Bitchute.

From Peggy Hall - The Healthy American

The alarming trend we are seeing is that ESTABLISHED LAW is being violated by shopkeepers, medical professionals, public officials, and law enforcement!!!

I am SICK-AND-TIRED of law enforcement saying, "It's a private business, they can do whatever they want."

Oh R-E-A-L-L-Y?!?

That is a big fat NO!

As one of THE HEALTHY AMERICANS, you know that no business can create a policy that violates established law. PERIOD.

No executive order can violate or suspend established law. PERIOD.

No state of emergency can violate established law or the rights of the people. PERIOD.

No city or county ordinance can violate established law or the state or federal Constitution. PERIOD. You are governed by the codes and statutes and they are implemented to protect or rights, and not infringe on them.

You know it, and I know it -- now we need to educate the businesses, the politicians, and law enforcement!

CHAPTER 9. Meetings [54950 - 54963]

(Chapter 9 added by Stats. 1953, Ch. 1588)

54950.

In enacting this chapter, the Legislature finds and declares that the public commissions, boards and councils, and the other public agencies in this State exist to aid in the conduct of the people's business. It is the intent of the law that their actions be taken openly and that their deliberations be conducted openly. OPENLY!! NOT ON ZOOM!

The people of this State do not yield their sovereignty to the agencies which serve them. The people, in delegating authority, do not give their public servants the right to decide what is good for the people to know and what is not good for them to know. The people insist on remaining informed so that they may retain control over the instruments they have created.

```
CIVIL CODE - CIV
DIVISION 1. PERSONS [38 - 86]

( Heading of Division 1 amended by Stats. 1988, Ch. 160, Sec. 12. )
PART 2. PERSONAL RIGHTS [43 - 53.7]

( Part 2 enacted 1872. )

51.7.
```

- (a) This section shall be known and may be cited, as the Ralph Civil Rights Act of 1976.
- (b) All persons within the jurisdiction of this state have the right to be free from any violence, or intimidation by threat of violence, committed against their persons or property because of political affiliation, or on account of any characteristic listed or defined in subdivision (b) or (e) of Section 51, or position in a labor dispute, or because another person perceives them to have one or more of those characteristics. The identification in this subdivision of particular bases of discrimination is illustrative rather than restrictive.
- (c)
- (1) A person shall not require another person to waive any legal right, penalty, remedy, forum, or procedure for a violation of this section, as a condition of entering into a contract for goods or services, including the right to file and pursue a civil action or complaint with, or otherwise notified, the Attorney General or any other public prosecutor, or law enforcement agency, the Department of Fair Employment and Housing, or any court or other governmental entity.
- (2) A person shall not refuse to enter into a contract with or refuse to provide goods or services to, another person on the basis that the other person refuses to waive any legal right, penalty, remedy, forum, or procedure for a violation of this section, including the right to file and pursue a civil action or complaint with, or otherwise notify, the Attorney General or any other public prosecutor, or law enforcement agency, the Department of Fair Employment and Housing, or any other governmental entity.

- (3) Any waiver of any legal right, penalty, remedy, forum, or procedure for a violation of this section, including the right to file and pursue a civil action or complaint with, or otherwise notify, the Attorney General or any other public prosecutor, or law enforcement agency, the Department of Fair Employment and Housing, or any other governmental entity shall be knowing and voluntary, in writing, and expressly not made as a condition of entering into a contract for goods or services or as a condition of providing or receiving goods and services.
- (4) Any waiver of any legal right, penalty, remedy, forum, or procedure for a violation of this section that is required as a condition of entering into a contract for goods or services shall be deemed involuntary, unconscionable, against public policy, and unenforceable. Nothing in this subdivision shall affect the enforceability or validity of any other provision of the contract.
- (5) Any person who seeks to enforce a waiver of any legal right, penalty, remedy, forum, or procedure for a violation of this section shall have the burden of proving that the waiver was knowing and voluntary and not made as a condition of the contract or of providing or receiving the goods or services.

From: <u>Bridgette Tedder</u>

To: BOS Public Comment; Heidi Hall; Ed Scofield; Dan Miller; Sue Hoek; Richard Anderson; Env.Health; Health

Officer; Kim Blix; Jill Blake; CommunityDevelopment; Public Health; Alex Gammelgard

Cc: Chad Ellis; Paul Rohde; Timothy Ewing; Antonio Virga; Kelsey Hess - NCPD; Chris Lewis; Blake Butts; Luke

Holdcroft; Sean Mason; CEO; Env. Health; Catrina Olson; Sheriff

Subject: I DO NOT CONSENT. "NO" ON SR-3359 Urgency Ordinance. BOS agenda 8/11

Date: Monday, August 10, 2020 4:49:44 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

To the Board of Supervisors:

I think it is fully irresponsible and most likely illegal to hold board meetings outside of public view. Virtual meetings are not sufficient because they do not allow for everyone to both witness and interact with the board. And the e-mails submitted for public comment are not being read in their entirety into the record as if you don't even care what the public (the people whom you are supposed to be serving) has to say on the matter.

I think it would be a disastrous precedent to allow the County to automatically adopt mandates and orders from the State directly into County law. Bypassing the authority of the board negates the community's influence on our Constitutional right to a republican form of government where local leaders establish law based on our local needs and wishes. This slippery slope could indeed render this board and future boards irrelevant thus crumbling the people's constitutional intent of maintaining our local government's jurisdiction.

While I appreciate the difficult position each of you all are in while trying to balance our rights with public safety, I also believe that you have isolated yourselves from the dissenting voices in the community (perhaps intentionally). I believe the media has been very effective at putting a great deal of fear into my neighbors without good cause or good intention. Likewise, I find it disturbing that you are not using all of your resources to question this narrative when by obeying it we may suffer such lasting repercussions on our community both with its economic and physical health.

Lastly, while in most cases it is tragic to lose even a single life, I have to sincerely question your morality when you would actively destroy the lives of our community while condoning discrimination for a sickness that has allegedly affected 0.00322% of Nevada County and killed only 0.0001%.

Sincerely,

Bridgette Tedder District 2 From: House of Harlequin
To: BOS Public Comment

Subject: Item 26 for August 11 District 1

Date: Monday, August 10, 2020 4:59:17 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

I am asking that all of you vote yes on this item.

I feel the pandemic is a public health emergency and it is your responsibility to represent our community. The price for ignoring the crisis will be unnecessary deaths. Wade Laughter

From: <u>Barbara Timmons</u>

To: <u>bdofsupervisors</u>; <u>BOS Public Comment</u>

Cc: <u>Dan Miller</u>; <u>Heidi Hall</u>; <u>Sue Hoek</u>; <u>Ed Scofield</u>; <u>Richard Anderson</u>

Subject: Item 26 on Agenda for 8/11

Date: Monday, August 10, 2020 5:31:36 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

I strongly oppose item 26, the temporary Urgency Ordinance related to enforcement of COVID-related restrictions.

If restrictions are going to be such that dine-in restaurants will be impossible to run, I am sure you can see the devastation to our local businesses. Gyms, nail/hair salons, bowling allies, swimming pools will all be closed. We might as well just pack up and move to Arkansas or Tennessee.

If your intention is to literally KILL this county, then you should just continue to follow the stupid rules that our ignorant governor is putting out. There are more than just a few privileged people in this state that make the rules. Maybe they should not allowed their personal protection details (at MY expense) and have to live like the rest of us.

"Orders" or whatever is concocted by the state/local governments should not be incorporated into laws for Nevada County unless SOMEONE, ALMOST ANYONE, has to put it before the citizens and it is discussed in a sane and reasonable manner.

Gary Timmons Nevada City From: <u>Barbara Timmons</u>

To: <u>bdofsupervisors</u>; <u>BOS Public Comment</u>

Cc: <u>Dan Miller</u>; <u>Heidi Hall</u>; <u>Sue Hoek</u>; <u>Ed Scofield</u>; <u>Richard Anderson</u>

Subject: Item 26 on the 8/11 agenda

Date: Monday, August 10, 2020 4:58:19 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

I see the word 'temporary' being bantered about: what is temporary? So you want to make it nearly impossible for dozens of businesses to operate....why? Just because you can? Because you have the POWER??????

Use that some of the power and stop the violence, stop the killing and OPEN UP THE CHURCHES AND SCHOOLS. I am sick and tired of riots being ok to participate in without your precious social distancing and ever-loving masks, but I haven't been allowed to go to church since March.

I hate to say it, but it is starting to feel and sound like socialism, oppression and tyranny are just around the corner.

Jeremiah Timmons Nevada City From: House of Harlequin

To: BOS Public Comment

Subject: Item not on the agenda public comment District 1

Date: Monday, August 10, 2020 5:36:42 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

I wish to thank each of you for your service to our community in these difficult times. Our county needs strong leaders because there is so much misinformation and agitation right now. Somehow, a public health emergency, an economic crisis and a realization of the need for justice in our culture have all come together at the same time and been made into a political moment

Your positions are supposed to be non partisan. I ask that you, as our elected representatives continue to set a good example of civil discourse in making difficult choices with limited resources.

From: Kerrie Faubert

To: BOS Public Comment

Subject: Just say NO to Item 26!

Date: Monday, August 10, 2020 4:51:48 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Agenda, Tues. 8/11, Item 26

Dear Supervisors Hall, Scofield, Miller, Hoek and Anderson:

I am writing to strongly oppose Item 26, the temporary Urgency Ordinance related to enforcement of COVID-related Mask wearing and fines. Please do the responsible thing for your community and vote NO on Item 26!

Regards,

Kerrie Faubert

From: noreply@granicusideas.com
To: BOS Public Comment

Subject: New eComment for Nevada County Board of Supervisors August 11, 2020 meeting Agenda

Date: Monday, August 10, 2020 5:30:57 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.



New eComment for Nevada County Board of Supervisors August 11, 2020 meeting Agenda

Colleen Gulas submitted a new eComment.

Meeting: Nevada County Board of Supervisors August 11, 2020 meeting Agenda

Item: 26. SR 20-3359 (Introduce/Waive further reading/Adopt) An uncodified temporary Urgency Ordinance authorizing certain enforcement actions related to orders issued by the County Environmental Health Director, State and Local Public Health Officers, and the Governor of California regarding the novel coronavirus (COVID-19). (4/5 affirmative vote required)

eComment: We oppose this because we believe that wearing the mask for long periods of time is more detrimental to your health being smart about where you are going and what you are doing.

View and Analyze eComments

This email was sent from https://granicusideas.com

Unsubscribe from future mailings

From: Google Voice

To: nc.public.comment@gmail.com
Subject: New voicemail from (530) 432-5225
Date: Monday, August 10, 2020 4:49:04 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.



My name is Madeline Dee Andrea and I live in Lake Wildwood. And the agenda item is a vote to wear masks and my comment is that as health issues seem to dictate wearing masks is our safest way of of preventing more death and illness. So I thank you for your service. I know this is not an easy job. I also am concerned about the people that We're in Nevada City this last weekend, and I'm hoping that you can deal with them in a way that we can find out who they are and who lives in this area, and if they don't live in this area, then maybe they shouldn't be able to come to the meeting. Thank you very much. Bye.

PLAY MESSAGE

YOUR ACCOUNT HELP CENTER HELP FORUM

This email was sent to you because you indicated that you'd like to receive email notifications for voicemail. If you don't want to receive such emails in the future, please update your <a href="mailto:emai

Google LLC 1600 Amphitheatre Pkwy Mountain View CA 94043 USA From: <u>Yvette Rindels</u>

To: Amy.irani@co.nevda.ca.us; BOS Public Comment; Dan Miller; Ed Scofield; Heidi Hall; HHSA; Richard Anderson;

Sheriff; Sue Hoek

Subject: No on Item #26

Date: Monday, August 10, 2020 4:59:18 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear County Officials:

I am a resident of District 1. Considering the botched COVID-19 data discovery, and the abrupt resignation of our state's health director, please vote NO on Item 26 and please delay any new COVID ordinances until a full investigation has been conducted.

State experts ADMIT data errors on statistics that counties are supposed to base their reopening strategies on. Passing this ordinance shows that our BOS value the harassment of individuals and the destruction of small businesses over SCIENCE and DATA.

Thank you for your consideration as you try to do what is best for our communities!

Yvette Cotton Rindels

Date: Monday, August 10, 2020 5:01:19 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Agenda, Tues. 8/11, Item 26

Dear Supervisors Hall, Scofield, Miller, Hoek and Anderson:

I am writing to strongly oppose Item 26, the temporary Urgency Ordinance related to enforcement of COVID-related Mask wearing and fines. Please do the responsible thing for your community and vote NO on Item 26!

Regards,

Date: Monday, August 10, 2020 4:59:32 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Agenda, Tues. 8/11, Item 26

Dear Supervisors Hall, Scofield, Miller, Hoek and Anderson:

I am writing to strongly oppose Item 26, the temporary Urgency Ordinance related to enforcement of COVID-related Mask wearing and fines. Please do the responsible thing for your community and vote NO on Item 26!

Regards,

Date: Monday, August 10, 2020 4:49:03 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Agenda, Tues. 8/11, Item 26

Dear Supervisors Hall, Scofield, Miller, Hoek and Anderson:

I am writing to strongly oppose Item 26, the temporary Urgency Ordinance related to enforcement of COVID-related Mask wearing and fines. Please do the responsible thing for your community and vote NO on Item 26!

Regards,

Kerrie Faubert

Sent from my iPhone

To: <u>BOS Public Comment</u>
Subject: No on Item 26!

Date: Monday, August 10, 2020 5:07:53 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Agenda, Tues. 8/11, Item 26

Dear Supervisors Hall, Scofield, Miller, Hoek and Anderson:

I am writing to strongly oppose Item 26, the temporary Urgency Ordinance related to enforcement of COVID-related Mask wearing and fines. Please do the responsible thing for your community and vote NO on Item 26!

Regards,

Date: Monday, August 10, 2020 5:03:49 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Agenda, Tues. 8/11, Item 26

Dear Supervisors Hall, Scofield, Miller, Hoek and Anderson:

I am writing to strongly oppose Item 26, the temporary Urgency Ordinance related to enforcement of COVID-related Mask wearing and fines. Please do the responsible thing for your community and vote NO on Item 26!

Regards,

Date: Monday, August 10, 2020 4:49:32 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Agenda, Tues. 8/11, Item 26

Dear Supervisors Hall, Scofield, Miller, Hoek and Anderson:

I am writing to strongly oppose Item 26, the temporary Urgency Ordinance related to enforcement of COVID-related Mask wearing and fines. Please do the responsible thing for your community and vote NO on Item 26!

Regards,

To: <u>BOS Public Comment</u>
Subject: No on Item 26

Date: Monday, August 10, 2020 5:07:23 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Agenda, Tues. 8/11, Item 26

Dear Supervisors Hall, Scofield, Miller, Hoek and Anderson:

I am writing to strongly oppose Item 26, the temporary Urgency Ordinance related to enforcement of COVID-related Mask wearing and fines. Please do the responsible thing for your community and vote NO on Item 26!

Regards,

Date: Monday, August 10, 2020 5:02:50 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Agenda, Tues. 8/11, Item 26

Dear Supervisors Hall, Scofield, Miller, Hoek and Anderson:

I am writing to strongly oppose Item 26, the temporary Urgency Ordinance related to enforcement of COVID-related Mask wearing and fines. Please do the responsible thing for your community and vote NO on Item 26!

Regards,

Date: Monday, August 10, 2020 5:00:54 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Agenda, Tues. 8/11, Item 26

Dear Supervisors Hall, Scofield, Miller, Hoek and Anderson:

I am writing to strongly oppose Item 26, the temporary Urgency Ordinance related to enforcement of COVID-related Mask wearing and fines. Please do the responsible thing for your community and vote NO on Item 26!

Regards,

Date: Monday, August 10, 2020 4:53:22 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Agenda, Tues. 8/11, Item 26

Dear Supervisors Hall, Scofield, Miller, Hoek and Anderson:

I am writing to strongly oppose Item 26, the temporary Urgency Ordinance related to enforcement of COVID-related Mask wearing and fines. Please do the responsible thing for your community and vote NO on Item 26!

Regards,

To: <u>BOS Public Comment</u>
Subject: No to Item 26!

Date: Monday, August 10, 2020 5:10:22 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Agenda, Tues. 8/11, Item 26

Dear Supervisors Hall, Scofield, Miller, Hoek and Anderson:

I am writing to strongly oppose Item 26, the temporary Urgency Ordinance related to enforcement of COVID-related Mask wearing and fines. Please do the responsible thing for your community and vote NO on Item 26!

Regards,

Date: Monday, August 10, 2020 5:07:15 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Agenda, Tues. 8/11, Item 26

Dear Supervisors Hall, Scofield, Miller, Hoek and Anderson:

I am writing to strongly oppose Item 26, the temporary Urgency Ordinance related to enforcement of COVID-related Mask wearing and fines. Please do the responsible thing for your community and vote NO on Item 26!

Regards,

Date: Monday, August 10, 2020 5:06:00 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Agenda, Tues. 8/11, Item 26

Dear Supervisors Hall, Scofield, Miller, Hoek and Anderson:

I am writing to strongly oppose Item 26, the temporary Urgency Ordinance related to enforcement of COVID-related Mask wearing and fines. Please do the responsible thing for your community and vote NO on Item 26!

Regards,

To: <u>BOS Public Comment</u>
Subject: No to Item 26!

Date: Monday, August 10, 2020 5:04:51 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Agenda, Tues. 8/11, Item 26

Dear Supervisors Hall, Scofield, Miller, Hoek and Anderson:

I am writing to strongly oppose Item 26, the temporary Urgency Ordinance related to enforcement of COVID-related Mask wearing and fines. Please do the responsible thing for your community and vote NO on Item 26!

Regards,

Date: Monday, August 10, 2020 5:04:39 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Agenda, Tues. 8/11, Item 26

Dear Supervisors Hall, Scofield, Miller, Hoek and Anderson:

I am writing to strongly oppose Item 26, the temporary Urgency Ordinance related to enforcement of COVID-related Mask wearing and fines. Please do the responsible thing for your community and vote NO on Item 26!

Regards,

Date: Monday, August 10, 2020 4:52:15 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Agenda, Tues. 8/11, Item 26

Dear Supervisors Hall, Scofield, Miller, Hoek and Anderson:

I am writing to strongly oppose Item 26, the temporary Urgency Ordinance related to enforcement of COVID-related Mask wearing and fines. Please do the responsible thing for your community and vote NO on Item 26!

Regards,

 From:
 Kerrie Faubert

 To:
 BOS Public Comment

 Subject:
 No to Item 26

Date: Monday, August 10, 2020 4:53:16 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Agenda, Tues. 8/11, Item 26

Dear Supervisors Hall, Scofield, Miller, Hoek and Anderson:

I am writing to strongly oppose Item 26, the temporary Urgency Ordinance related to enforcement of COVID-related Mask wearing and fines. Please do the responsible thing for your community and vote NO on Item 26!

Regards,

Kerrie Faubert

Sent from my iPhone

From: Kerrie Faubert

To: BOS Public Comment

Subject:Oppose Item 26 on Tomorrow's Agenda!Date:Monday, August 10, 2020 4:52:15 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Agenda, Tues. 8/11, Item 26

Dear Supervisors Hall, Scofield, Miller, Hoek and Anderson:

I am writing to strongly oppose Item 26, the temporary Urgency Ordinance related to enforcement of COVID-related Mask wearing and fines. Please do the responsible thing for your community and vote NO on Item 26!

Regards,

Kerrie Faubert

Sent from my iPhone

To: <u>BOS Public Comment</u>
Subject: Oppose Item 26!

Date: Monday, August 10, 2020 5:02:19 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Agenda, Tues. 8/11, Item 26

Dear Supervisors Hall, Scofield, Miller, Hoek and Anderson:

I am writing to strongly oppose Item 26, the temporary Urgency Ordinance related to enforcement of COVID-related Mask wearing and fines. Please do the responsible thing for your community and vote NO on Item 26!

Regards,

To: <u>BOS Public Comment</u>
Subject: Oppose Item 26!

Date: Monday, August 10, 2020 5:02:07 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Agenda, Tues. 8/11, Item 26

Dear Supervisors Hall, Scofield, Miller, Hoek and Anderson:

I am writing to strongly oppose Item 26, the temporary Urgency Ordinance related to enforcement of COVID-related Mask wearing and fines. Please do the responsible thing for your community and vote NO on Item 26!

Regards,

Date: Monday, August 10, 2020 4:59:26 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Agenda, Tues. 8/11, Item 26

Dear Supervisors Hall, Scofield, Miller, Hoek and Anderson:

I am writing to strongly oppose Item 26, the temporary Urgency Ordinance related to enforcement of COVID-related Mask wearing and fines. Please do the responsible thing for your community and vote NO on Item 26!

Regards,

Date: Monday, August 10, 2020 4:49:33 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Agenda, Tues. 8/11, Item 26

Dear Supervisors Hall, Scofield, Miller, Hoek and Anderson:

I am writing to strongly oppose Item 26, the temporary Urgency Ordinance related to enforcement of COVID-related Mask wearing and fines. Please do the responsible thing for your community and vote NO on Item 26!

Regards,

To: <u>BOS Public Comment</u>
Subject: Oppose Item 26!

Date: Monday, August 10, 2020 5:07:44 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Agenda, Tues. 8/11, Item 26

Dear Supervisors Hall, Scofield, Miller, Hoek and Anderson:

I am writing to strongly oppose Item 26, the temporary Urgency Ordinance related to enforcement of COVID-related Mask wearing and fines. Please do the responsible thing for your community and vote NO on Item 26!

Regards,

Date: Monday, August 10, 2020 5:07:15 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Agenda, Tues. 8/11, Item 26

Dear Supervisors Hall, Scofield, Miller, Hoek and Anderson:

I am writing to strongly oppose Item 26, the temporary Urgency Ordinance related to enforcement of COVID-related Mask wearing and fines. Please do the responsible thing for your community and vote NO on Item 26!

Regards,

Date: Monday, August 10, 2020 5:04:38 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Agenda, Tues. 8/11, Item 26

Dear Supervisors Hall, Scofield, Miller, Hoek and Anderson:

I am writing to strongly oppose Item 26, the temporary Urgency Ordinance related to enforcement of COVID-related Mask wearing and fines. Please do the responsible thing for your community and vote NO on Item 26!

Regards,

Date: Monday, August 10, 2020 5:04:22 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Agenda, Tues. 8/11, Item 26

Dear Supervisors Hall, Scofield, Miller, Hoek and Anderson:

I am writing to strongly oppose Item 26, the temporary Urgency Ordinance related to enforcement of COVID-related Mask wearing and fines. Please do the responsible thing for your community and vote NO on Item 26!

Regards,

Date: Monday, August 10, 2020 5:03:11 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Agenda, Tues. 8/11, Item 26

Dear Supervisors Hall, Scofield, Miller, Hoek and Anderson:

I am writing to strongly oppose Item 26, the temporary Urgency Ordinance related to enforcement of COVID-related Mask wearing and fines. Please do the responsible thing for your community and vote NO on Item 26!

Regards,

To: <u>BOS Public Comment</u>
Subject: Oppose Item 26!

Date: Monday, August 10, 2020 5:05:38 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Agenda, Tues. 8/11, Item 26

Dear Supervisors Hall, Scofield, Miller, Hoek and Anderson:

I am writing to strongly oppose Item 26, the temporary Urgency Ordinance related to enforcement of COVID-related Mask wearing and fines. Please do the responsible thing for your community and vote NO on Item 26!

Regards,

Date: Monday, August 10, 2020 4:49:09 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Agenda, Tues. 8/11, Item 26

Dear Supervisors Hall, Scofield, Miller, Hoek and Anderson:

I am writing to strongly oppose Item 26, the temporary Urgency Ordinance related to enforcement of COVID-related Mask wearing and fines. Please do the responsible thing for your community and vote NO on Item 26!

Regards,

From: <u>Greg Cameron</u>
To: <u>BOS Public Comment</u>

Subject: Please vote YES on Agenda item 26

Date: Monday, August 10, 2020 5:33:00 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

As a resident of Nevada City and Nevada County, CA, I strongly urge the Nevada County board of supervisors to vote YES on Agenda item #26. We need serious enforcement of current public health protections since many seem to have issues following them. Please vote YES.

Sincerely, Greg Cameron District 1 Nevada City, CA From: Neil Dorfman

To: BOS Public Comment

Subject: Public Comment on Pending Legislation Enforcing Health Standards

Date: Monday, August 10, 2020 5:33:27 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

The following are my public comments for the Nevada County Board of Supervisors meeting tomorrow which I am submitting by this email. They concern the pending legislation increasing penalties on non-compliant business violating health mandates, a measure which I strongly support. I have been a resident of the county for 20 years. I have also been an attorney for over 45 years in six states and have litigated matters of constitutional rights both for and against government entities and individuals. I am not a fake constitutional lawyer as I fear others who may have influenced local establishments to not comply with health regulations might be.

First, I urge you not be intimidated by opposition to such legislation. Cautious and reasonable people in this pandemic understand it is not prudent to personally gather in large groups amongst shouting maskless protesters. Sometimes it has to be done, but it's a risky proposition and many will not do it. Of course, those that ignore science are more than willing to be in your face However if you look at social media you will see 85% of the people are with you.

Secondly, don't be confused by generic statements about "constitutional rights." "Constitutional rights" do not derive from wishful thinking. Asserting a "Constitutional right," to eat chicken piccata inside, doesn't create one. The United States Supreme noted this year in a case challenging Gov. Newsom's restrictions that: "Our Constitution principally entrusts the safety and the health of the people to the politically accountable officials of the States ... When those officials undertake to act in areas fraught with medical and scientific uncertainties, their latitude must be especially broad..." (quotes omitted). So, under the Constitution it is "politically accountable officials" who have the right with "especially broad latitude" to make the call on safety precautions. It's not Sergio, nor Friar Tucks. IT'S YOU! That IS the Constitutional.

For this reason this matter involves no "Freedoms" we so-called "Angels" need protect. Restaurants have no more "freedom" to operate a room where virus is potentially in the air, than a room with cigarette smoke in it. This is not merely a matter of people freely choosing their own risks. Illegal indoor diners imperil more than themselves. If unwittingly infected, they go on to potentially infect everyone else they encounter at the cleaners, at the supermarket etc. It is only the freedom of those individuals, and their loved ones, which is unwittingly imperiled, not the illegal

diners, although no "Angel" seems to care.

Finally, do not be swayed by false excuses and pleas of desperation. <u>Every</u> small business is hurting. But the acts of violating businesses punish other small businesses by unfairly competing against them, and by bringing closer the day every business may have to be shut down to stem a rising wave of infection. There is no courage in the acts of the violators; they are not the only ones feeling economic stress, but the only ones in whose interests they act.

In conclusion, you are the recipients of the power to act under our Constitutional. Your right on the law, your correct on the science, your wise on the public policy, so ACT! These perilous times call you to the highest duty for which you were elected, the protection of the health and safety of your fellow citizens. Do not waiver or falter in that duty. Now is the moment.

Thank you for your consideration and service to Nevada County

Neil Dorfman Attorney at Law

NEIL G. DORFMAN Attorney at Law Law Offices of Dorfman and Sitzberger E-mail: <u>Dorfman@Goodfaithlaw.com</u>

California Office 11750 Ridge Road Nevada City, CA. 95959 Tel: (530) 559-6881 Fax: (530) 687-0400

This e-mail is intended to be received and read only by specific persons. It may contain information protected by the attorney/client privilege, the work product doctrine or by the laws governing trade secrets and proprietary information. If you are not the appropriate recipient and you read the contents, you may be violating the law or legal disciplinary rules. If you are not the intended recipient or if you suspect you received this in error, please close it immediately and call the Law Office of Neil Dorfman at one of the office numbers listed above .

From: MONICA SENTER

To: BOS Public Comment

Subject: Re: Agenda # 26: Urgency Ordinance Aug. 11 District 1

Date: Monday, August 10, 2020 5:00:05 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear BOS- I am writing AGAIN to urge you to take the stand you are obligated to do by voting Yes in support of the urgency ordinance to enforce the State Health Mandate. For those of you getting pressure to politicize the public health of our community, remember- this day will go down in history- you must not succumb to political pressure, we NEED you to protect us, we NEED you to do the right thing. Do not allow paid insurgents to divide our community any further, vote YES on Agenda item # 26 to enforce the State Health Mandate.

I am a district 1 voter.

Thanks, Monica Senter Nevada City District ONE From: Betsy Taylor

To: <u>bos.publiccomment@co.nevada.ca</u>

Cc: BOS Public Comment; Heidi Hall; Ed Scofield; Dan Miller; Sue Hoek; Richard Anderson

 Subject:
 Re: Agenda Item SR 20-3326 & SR 20-3359

 Date:
 Monday, August 10, 2020 5:24:34 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

To the Nevada County Board of Supervisors,

Regarding the above referenced agenda items. I am asking you to please carefully consider a "No" vote based on the facts below that are facing not only Nevada County, but the State of California. Citizen's are loosing hope due to the hardships they have already endured due to the Covid 19 virus.

Please review these facts and ask yourself if it makes sense to fine business and put further restrictions on the hard working people of our county.

In 2017, these are the top 10 cases of death. Link https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/pressroom/states/california/california.htm

<Screen Shot 2020-08-10 at 2.09.10 PM.png>

California COVID 19 Deaths to date are 9,133 per the CDC. If you exclude influenza, the total is 5,056. Link https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nvss/vsrr/covid19/index.htm

<Screen Shot 2020-08-10 at 2.17.45 PM.png>

The California YTD suicide rate is 4,312, the highest rate in the country. Link https://worldpopulationreview.com/state-rankings/suicide-rates-by-state

CDC's National update shows cases decreasing from week 30 to 31, with decreasing or stable levels in all regions of the country. These are the most recent numbers reported by the CDC. Link https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/covid-data/covidview/index.html

Mr. and Ms. Supervisor, we the hardworking people of Nevada County are counting on you to do the right thing and vote no on SR 20-3326 & SR 20-3359 to save the heart and soul of our county. More people are dying from accidents and chronic lower respiratory disease than Covid 19, which doesn't even compare to cancer and heart disease. Our suicide rate is only 1,000 less than the reported Covid 19 deaths when you exclude influenza. Again, California is the highest

suicide rate in the Country with hardest restrictions.

Thank you for serving and doing the right thing for us all in this crucial hour. We know we voted in the best people, to do the right thing based on the facts that fines will hurt people more than help people.

Sincerely, Betsy Taylor Nevada County Resident From: Ashley Neumann

To: Amy Irani; BOS Public Comment; Dan Miller; Ed Scofield; Heidi Hall; HHSA; Richard Anderson; Sheriff; Sue Hoek

Subject: RE: No on 26 & No more Covid ordinances until accurate data fullinvestigation is complete

Date: Monday, August 10, 2020 5:31:07 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Sorry about the typo. No on 26 please

From: Ashley Neumann

Sent: Monday, August 10, 2020 1:57 PM

To: Amy.irani@co.nevada.ca.us; bos.publiccomment@co.nevada.ca.us; dan.miller@co.nevada.ca.us; ed.scofield@co.nevada.ca.us; heidi.hall@co.nevada.ca.us; hhsa@co.nevada.ca.us; richard.anderson@co.nevada.ca.us; sheriff@co.nevada.ca.us; sue.hoek@co.nevada.ca.us

Subject: No on 28 & No more Covid ordinances until accurate data full investigation is complete

Hello Board,

I reside in District 1.

Considering the botched Covid data discovery and the abrupt resignation of our state's health director, please vote NO on item 26 and delay any new covid ordinances until after a full investigation.

State experts ADMIT data errors that "counties need" to base their reopening strategies on. Passing this ordinance shows our BOS values harassing individuals and destroying small businesses over science and data.

https://www.kcra.com/article/state-health-secretary-gives-update-on-covid-19-california-august-7/33548091

Dr. Sonia Angell resigns, "No reason given". <a href="https://sacramento.cbslocal.com/video/4659697-dr-sonia-angell-californias-top-public-health-director-resigns-no-reason-given/#:~:text=Dr.%20Sonia%20Angell%2C%20California%E2%80%99s%20Top%20Public%20Health%20Director%2C,to%20make%20decisions%20about%20reopening%20businesses%20and%20schools.

Where is the ordinance preventing Gov. Newsom from importing Covid cases/exposed into our: nursing homes, hospital, jail and juvenile hall?

https://www.latimes.com/california/story/2020-04-01/california-orders-skilled-nursing-facilities-to-accept-coronavirus-patients? amp=true

https://www.latimes.com/california/story/2020-07-01/rural-lassen-county-prison-coronavirus-outbreak? amp=true

Please vote NO on this ordinance.

I reside in District 1.

State experts ADMIT data errors that "counties need" to base their reopening strategies on. Passing this ordinance shows our BOS values harassing individuals and destroying small businesses over science and data.

https://www.kcra.com/article/state-health-secretary-gives-update-on-covid-19-california-august-7/33548091

Dr. Sonia Angell resigns, "No reason given". <a href="https://sacramento.cbslocal.com/video/4659697-dr-sonia-angell-californias-top-public-health-director-resigns-no-reason-given/#:~:text=Dr.%20Sonia%20Angell%2C%20California%E2%80%99s%20Top%20Public%20Health%20Director%2C,to%20make%20decisions%20about%20reopening%20businesses%20and%20schools.

Where is the ordinance preventing Gov. Newsom from importing Covid cases/exposed into our: nursing homes, hospital, jail and juvenile hall?

https://www.latimes.com/california/story/2020-04-01/california-orders-skilled-nursing-facilities-to-accept-coronavirus-patients? amp=true

https://www.latimes.com/california/story/2020-07-01/rural-lassen-county-prison-coronavirus-outbreak?_amp=true

Please vote NO on this ordinance.

Sent from Mail for Windows 10

From: Sabrina Dodaj-Zeitler
To: BOS Public Comment

Cc: CEO; Heidi Hall; Ed Scofield; Dan Miller; Sue Hoek; Richard Anderson; Sheriff

Subject: Re: NO on Item 28

Date: Monday, August 10, 2020 5:33:56 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Correction - Item 26 Thank you.

On Mon, Aug 10, 2020 at 4:59 PM Sabrina Dodaj-Zeitler < siddodaj@gmail.com > wrote:

As a resident of Nevada County for many years, I am asking for a NO on Item 28 or have the ordinance pulled.

I have done significant research into this pandemic. My bachelor's degree is in health science. The mandates being created are not based on true science, but clearly on corrupt science. There was a time where science (including the AMA and doctors) promoted smoking cigarettes. We all know how that ended and the millions of deaths as a result of the corruption. It is all documented.

What is being mandated for this Covid-19 virus will result in far more death and destruction than this flu-like illness. Per the LA Times, the majority of deaths (75%) are among the elderly, most of which are over 80 or older, half of which are living in nursing homes and most having at least two comorbidities such as cancer, diabetes, obesity... The death rate for children is zero, and with all age groups, there is a factor of 2 comorbidities with death.

You are destroying livelihoods based on the lie that masks and lockdowns save lives. Per this study from 2015 (clearly not funded by those profiting off of the virus or invested in the vaccine), "The penetration of cloth masks by particles was almost 97% compared to medical masks with 44%." There are no INDEPENDENT studies showing anything to the contrary. Masks are unhealthy and absurd.

Stop with the destruction of the businesses of Nevada County. We have had one supposed death from Covid-19 in this county. Item 28 will result in far greater destruction.

Sincerely, Sabrina Zeitler From: <u>Kate Strolle</u>

To: BOS Public Comment

Subject: SR20-3359

Date: Monday, August 10, 2020 5:33:29 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors:

Thank you for your continued work protecting the health and safety of our county. As COVID-19 cases continue to rise daily, I believe it is more important than ever for our community to come together to fight this virus so that businesses can stay open.

I am writing in support of SR-20-3359 and the enforcement of the health mandate. Most businesses are working hard and pivoting daily to follow health codes and mandates, in order to protect their employees and the public. However, several restaurants are openly disregarding these health codes, refusing to discontinue indoor dining and the wearing of masks. It is unfair that these businesses are not given consequences for these actions, which put our community in danger and will inevitably drag this crisis out for a longer period of time.

Thank you for your YES vote on SR-20-3359 and your continued public service.

From: JS

To: BOS Public Comment

Subject: Support for Enforcing State Health Mandate

Date: Monday, August 10, 2020 5:32:28 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Greetings,

My name is Janeen Singer and I live in and own a business in Nevada City. I am writing to express my support for enforcing our state health mandate re: covid19.

Thank you, Janeen Singer From: Debra Brown
To: BOS Public Comment

Subject: VOTE "NO" ON SR-3359 (COVID Ordinance)

Date: Monday, August 10, 2020 4:52:52 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Vote NO

From: <u>Clarita Nolan</u>

To: Heidi Hall; Ed Scofield; Dan Miller; Sue Hoek; Richard Anderson; Env. Health Officer; Public Health; BOS

Public Comment; Kim Blix

Subject: VOTE "NO" ON SR-3359 (Covid Ordinance)
Date: Monday, August 10, 2020 5:19:53 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

First of all, I want to remind you all that you are PUBLIC SERVANTS of the citizens of Nevada County. That means you are in your position *to serve the citizens*, NOT to control them.

What is the purpose of all government? See second paragraph of The Declaration of Independence:

....."That to secure these [God given, unalienable] rights, Governments are instituted among men, deriving their just powers from the consent of the governed."

What are "We The People" supposed to do when we are not happy with our government officials because they are not securing and protecting our unalienable rights? Continue reading in the same paragraph of The Declaration of Independence."

----"That whenever

any form of Government becomes destructive to these ends, it is the Right of the People to alter or to abolish it, and to institute new government, laying its foundation on such principles and organizing its powers in such form, as to them shall seem to them most likely to effect their Safety and Happiness."

The time has come for YOU, ALL OF YOU, to be accountable for your actions and decisions. I have already written you previously that YOU ARE IN VIOLATION OF YOUR OATH OF ALLEGIANCE. This is grounds for removal. You are not serving THE PEOPLE, i.e., you are NOT protecting our God-given, unalienable rights.

CHANGE YOUR COURSE OF ACTION NOW!

(1) According to CA law, "A local health emergency can ONLY be called if there is an IMMINENT and **PROXIMATE** THREAT of an **INTRODUCTION** of an infectious disease." In other words, an emergency is immediate, at hand -- not 4+ months LATER! The "introduction" of the disease was back in February. There are NO LEGAL GROUNDS for this unlawful "local health emergency" to continue. Even the heavily manipulated numbers of deaths, active cases, and resolved cases DO NOT SUPPORT A LOCAL HEALTH **EMERGENCY!**

- (2) Your governing body has the LEGAL authority, power and DUTY to terminate this "pretend local health emergency" IMMEDIATELY -- and ALL THE ORDERS that are associated with it, to go away.
- (3) The health orders state that "there is no vaccine for Covid-19." That is NOT legal grounds for a local health emergency. This is DECEIT and FRAUD.

- (4) By now you should be aware that OSHA guidance states that "cloth masks do not protect against COVID-19." There is also no reputable medical science and evidence that supports healthy people wearing masks. Why are you supporting mask wearing?
- (5) You are **DIVIDING** our community and SUPPORTING the public to be HARASSED and INTIMIDATED in places of businesses, even though California Civil Code 51(b) protects us from discrimination based on our medical conditions or religious beliefs and allows for our "free and equal" access to all business establishments of any kind, whatsoever.
- (6) Your actions have and continue to cause small businesses to close, individuals and small businesses to be continually harassed and intimidated, and there has been at

least one suicide.
All because of
YOUR ACTIONS.
AREN'T YOU
PROUD OF
YOURSELVES?
How do you sleep
at night? Where is
your moral
compass?

"Our Constitution was made only for a moral and religious people. It is wholly inadequate for the government of any other."

- John Adams

"Of all the dispositions and habits which lead to political prosperity, Religion and Morality are indispensible supports."

- George Washington (Farewell Address, 1796)

Proverbs 29:2
"When the righteous are in authority, the people rejoice: but when the wicked beareth rule, the people mourn."

(7) Are you prepared for LEGAL ACTION AGAINST YOU PERSONALLY for your FRAUDULENT actions (a felony, with a prison sentence) by not terminating the local health emergency, as required by law?

(8) Nevada County has received or will receive \$10 Million from the state and federal government in emergency aid. It appears that money dictates your decisions, not principals. It appears you are willing to make Nevada County citizens slaves to mask wearing so that YOU can get the \$10 million for purposes the citizens DO NOT WANT, and DO NOT NEED. You all need to go to prison!

(9) Your INACTION has ERODED the public TRUST, and there is an election right around the corner. I think you all know what that means......

(10) You have been reminded that YOU WORK FOR THE PUBLIC, and THE PUBLIC DEMANDS YOU TERMINATE this "pretend local"

health emergency" by a VOTE today!

(11) With all that being said, YOU **HAVE NO BASIS** TO PASS SR 20-3359, continuing to make individual citizens slaves to maskwearing and ruining more small businesses. **There IS NO EMERGENCY!!!** Instead, vote to end the "pretend local health emergency" and end all this nonsense immediately!

Sincerely,

Clarita Nolan