

From: [Pauli Halstead](#)
To: [BOS Public Comment](#)
Subject: Re: Public Comment RE: AB109 Resolution on Adoption of Budget
Date: Sunday, October 11, 2020 9:10:47 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Thank you, Julie. I will choose this latest Public Comment to go be read and go into the record. I can submit the other comments down the road for items not on the agenda. Thank you for your clarification.

Pauli

On Sun, Oct 11, 2020 at 8:32 AM BOS Public Comment
<BOS.PublicComment@co.nevada.ca.us> wrote:

Good Morning Pauli –

I am confused by your emails sent to the BOS.PublicComment email address.

Are you asking for a letter to be read into the record during public comment?

That is the purpose of this email address.

Members of the public can only speak 1 time during an agenda item – you have sent numerous emails.

Is there one in particular that you want read into the record – you will be provided up to 3 minutes.

FYI - the Board members have received all of the emails you have sent.

Other options for providing comment include speaking in the Chambers during the item and calling into the phone number outlined in the agenda.

Again, you will only be provided 1 opportunity to speak, whether it is by email, phone call, or in-person comments.

Thank you,

Julie Patterson Hunter, CCB

Clerk of the Board

From: Pauli Halstead [REDACTED]
Sent: Saturday, October 10, 2020 3:11 PM
To: BOS Public Comment <BOS.PublicComment@co.nevada.ca.us>
Subject: Public Comment RE: AB109 Resolution on Adoption of Budget

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Supervisors;

To foster public trust, the Board of Supervisors and the CCP need to ensure standards of transparency, accuracy, and accountability in all AB109 activities and budgets. It is important to develop improved budget recording, reporting, and approval practices in order that the CCP may fulfill its statutory and fiduciary obligations.

(1). There is no submitted AB109 budget for fiscal year 2020-2021 showing the line-item expenditures for each of the six departments. There is only the memo (attached) from Mike Ertola, Probation Department, recommending the Adoption of the Resolution and listing the basic allocations to each of the six departments. The complete line-item budget breakdowns for each department should be attached to the Resolution but are not.

(2). Replace the use of "status quo" budget requests with a directive that all departments produce due-diligence renewal requests based on prior-year budget-to-actual analysis, functional analysis, and supplantation analysis.

(3). Create, deploy, and require the use of standardized format for budget requests, reporting, and reviewing for all departments funded by AB109 funding.

(4). Ensure the budget template includes *line-item detail* for all approved and actual uses of funds; distinguishing between salary and benefits, in-patient treatment, out-patient treatment, IS connectivity, case management systems, internet, phones computers, officer training, fleet, transitional housing, medical/dental, incentives, GPS, education, career & employment, therapist, etc. The template should also capture monthly year-to-date budget-to actuals expenses.

(5). Hopefully the "proposed" FY 20/21 budget (attached) is not the budget being submitted for approval because it is actually the annual usage summary for 2017-18.

I see no real budget for FY 2020-2021 submitted for approval by the Board of Supervisors. Just a general proposed budget, as submitted, does not represent a fiduciary responsibility on the part of the BOS or the CCP.

Sincerely,

Pauli Halstead

--

A large black rectangular redaction box covering the signature area.

From: [Pauli Halstead](#)
To: [BOS Public Comment](#)
Subject: Revised Public Comment for Agenda Item SR 20-34488
Date: Monday, October 12, 2020 3:16:48 AM
Attachments: [AB109-1 Spreadsheet.xlsx](#)
[CCP 20-21 Budget \(1\).pdf](#)
[BOS Staff Memo CCP Plan 2020-21.pdf](#)

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of County of Nevada email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Julie, Please discard previous Public Comment and use this one. Please post it "with" the agenda item.

Dear Supervisors;

*The Community Corrections Partnership Committee has not met since May 2019. In response to my September PRA Mike Ertola explained that the Executive Committee meetings (which plan the budget) occurred at the general CCP meetings, (which have not met for a year and a half).

*According to Mr. Ertola the Executive Committee did not meet this year because of COVID. "Budget requests were submitted as status quo from the previous year due to looming major fiscal decreases based on the pandemic."

*The 2020-21 Partnership Plan being submitted for approval is exactly the same Partnership Plan, *verbatim*, that was submitted last year. It was just copied and pasted. Does the Board of Supervisors read the Partnership Plans or review the budget details each year?

*To foster public trust, the Board of Supervisors and the CCP need to ensure standards of transparency, accuracy, and accountability in all AB109 activities and budgets. It is important to develop improved budget recording, reporting, and approval practices in order that the CCP may fulfill its statutory and fiduciary obligations.

(1). There is no submitted AB109 budget for fiscal year 2020-2021 showing the line-item expenditures for each of the six departments. There is only the memo (attached) from Mike Ertola, Probation Department, recommending the Adoption of the Resolution and listing the basic allocations to each of the six departments. The complete line-item budget breakdowns for each department should be attached to the Resolution but are not.

(2). Replace the use of "status quo" budget requests with a directive that all departments produce due-diligence renewal requests based on prior-year budget-to-actual analysis, functional analysis, and supplantation analysis.

(3). Create, deploy, and require the use of standardized budget template for requests, reporting, and reviewing for all departments funded by AB109 funding.

(4). Ensure the budget template includes *line-item detail* for all approved and actual uses of funds; distinguishing between salary and benefits, in-patient treatment, out-

patient treatment, IS connectivity, case management systems, internet, phones computers, officer training, fleet, transitional housing, medical/dental, incentives, GPS, education, career & employment, therapist, etc. The template should also capture monthly year-to-date budget-to actuals expenses.

(5). Hopefully the "proposed" FY 20/21 budget (attached) is not the budget being submitted for approval because it is actually the annual usage summary for 2017-18.

I see no real budget for FY 2020-2021 submitted for approval by the Board of Supervisors. Just a general "proposed" budget, as submitted, does not represent a fiduciary responsibility on the part of the BOS or the CCP. I have included the spreadsheet of the CCP expenditures 2011-2020 for the record.

Sincerely,

Pauli Halstead

A solid black rectangular box used to redact the signature of Pauli Halstead.

■