From:	Bouncedancer
To:	BOS Public Comment
Subject:	Drones - SR 21-0635
Date:	Monday, August 9, 2021 12:06:50 PM

Nevada County Board of Supervisors 950 Maidu Ave Nevada City, CA 95959

Dear Board of Supervisors,

Please vote "NO" on SR 21-0635. Haven't we had enough government overreach this year? We do NOT want government agents spying on us with drones -- how obnoxious! Looking for illegal grows is not a good enough reason to violate our personal and property rights. Just vote NO. Thank you.

Inger Grape

Sent with ProtonMail Secure Email.

August 2021

Nevada County Board of Supervisors 950 Maidu Ave Nevada City, CA 95959

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to you today to ask that you please vote "NO" on SR 21-0635.

This recommendation by the Nevada County CDA has the ability to be farreaching and is being proposed under the pretense that it will only be used specifically for unpermitted commercial cannabis enforcement. It also allows for county personnel to determine – *without oversight* – when to use this system on a *case-by-case basis*. Even in best-case scenarios, county personnel are prone to having – *and to acting upon* – biases. With these types of enforcement systems in place, our personal and property rights are at risk of being violated.

As members of the Board, you are perfectly aware that there are currently several issues in the County that are dividing us as a community. Now more than ever, we need outstanding leadership, not another far-reaching enforcement tool in the hands of CDA.

Again, I strongly suggest that you vote "NO" on <u>SR 21-0635</u>.

Thank you,

SpeakUp

Cannot identify Dist

New eComment for Nevada County Board of Supervisors August 10, 2021, Meeting

Robert Haglund submitted a new eComment.

Meeting: Nevada County Board of Supervisors August 10, 2021, Meeting

Item: 34. SR 21-0635 Receive the presentation and provide direction regarding implementation of an Unmanned Aircraft System (UAS) pilot program specific to unpermitted commercial cannabis enforcement.

eComment: My concerns aren't theory. They come from experience running aerial ISR for the Air Force, NSA, and JSOC. NSA employees used ISR to spy on love interests. Much more is unreported. It came from people who: Swore an oath to the Constitution Had TS clearance Can be court-martialed W/o these deterrents, we must expect more abuse, not less. You can't claim you expected drone use w/o the abuse. It only benefits contractors wanting surveillance weapons turned inward to keep tax money flowing.

View and Analyze eComments

This email was sent from https://granicusideas.com.

Unsubscribe from future mailings

SpeakUp

Cannot identify Dist

New eComment for Nevada County Board of Supervisors August 10, 2021, Meeting

USAF Veteran submitted a new eComment.

Meeting: Nevada County Board of Supervisors August 10, 2021, Meeting

Item: 34. SR 21-0635 Receive the presentation and provide direction regarding implementation of an Unmanned Aircraft System (UAS) pilot program specific to unpermitted commercial cannabis enforcement.

eComment: Guardrails section tries to dupe those unfamiliar w/ drone capabilities. "Operation of the UAS only from public rights-of-way" attempts impression of public monitoring. But they can still surveil bedrooms. "Reasonable expectation of privacy will remain." What is reasonable? "Pilot program will only be used for unpermitted cannabis enforcement." Says nothing of post-pilot stage. This guarantees mission creep. W/ drones already in air/paid for, why not find other violations or spy on a spouse?

View and Analyze eComments

This email was sent from https://granicusideas.com.

Unsubscribe from future mailings

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am concerned about the use of drones by the county for surveillance. I feel this would be an infringement on Americans right to privacy. I am shocked that such a thing would even be considered.

Please vote against this travesty.

Thank you, Esther Collins District 4